



Monday, May 31, 2021, 6:15 p.m.

Electronic Meeting

The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville
(Mayor and Clerk at Town Hall - 87 Broadway)
Orangeville, Ontario

NOTICE

Due to efforts to contain the spread of COVID-19 and to protect all individuals, the Council Chambers at Town Hall will not be open to the public to attend Council meetings until further notice.

Members of the public who have an interest in a matter listed on the agenda may, up until 10:00 a.m. on the day of a scheduled Council meeting: Email councilagenda@orangeville.ca indicating your request to speak to a matter listed on the agenda. A phone number and conference ID code will be provided to you so that you may join the virtual meeting and provide your comments to Council.

Members of the public wishing to raise a question during the public question period of the Council meeting may beginning at 8:15 p.m. on the evening of the Council meeting, call +1 289-801-5774, and enter Conference ID: 284 998 293#

Correspondence/emails submitted will be considered as public information and entered into the public record.

Accessibility Accommodations

If you require access to information in an alternate format, please contact the Clerk's division by phone at 519-941-0440 x 2256 or via email at clerksdept@orangeville.ca

Pages

1. **Call To Order**
2. **Approval of Agenda**
Recommendations:
That the agenda and any addendums for the May 31, 2021 Council Meeting, be approved.
3. **Disclosure of (Direct and Indirect) Pecuniary Interest**
 - 3.1. **Councillor Post - 2021 Community Grants Update, CPS-2021-045**
4. **Closed Meeting**
Recommendations:
That a closed meeting of Council be held pursuant to s. 239 (2) of the Municipal Act for the purposes of considering the following subject matters:
 - 4.1. **2021-04-26 Closed Council Minutes**

- 4.2. Ministry for Seniors and Accessibility, Provincial Seniors Award**
Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees - Provincial Seniors Award nominations
- 4.3. Orangeville Hydro Services Inc., CAO-2021-007**
Personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees – Public Office Holders – Orangeville Hydro Services Inc.

5. Open Meeting - 7:00 p.m.

6. Singing of National Anthem

7. Land Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge the traditional territory of the Anishinaabe people including the Ojibway, Potawatomi and Odawa of the Three Fires Confederacy.

8. Announcements by Chair

This meeting is being aired on public television and/or streamed live and may be taped for later public broadcast or webcast.

Your name is part of the public record and will be included in the minutes of this meeting.

9. Rise and Report

Recommendations:

That the minutes of the 2021-04-26 Closed Council Meeting be approved;

And that correspondence from the Ministry for Seniors and Accessibility, Provincial Seniors Award regarding personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees - Provincial Seniors Award nominations, be received;

And that confidential report number CAO-2021-007 regarding personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees – Public Office Holders – Orangeville Hydro Services Inc. be received;

And that staff proceed as directed.

10. Adoption of Minutes of Previous Council Meeting

7 - 18

Recommendations:

That the minutes of the following meetings be approved:

2021-05-10 Council Minutes

11. Presentation, Petitions and/or Delegation

- 11.1. Murray Short, RLB and Nandini Syed, Treasurer, 2020 Financial Statements**

19 - 60

- 11.2. Terry Ward, Inspector - Detachment Commander, Dufferin Detachment and Jim Sheehan, Northline Canada, Black Cat Radar Unit**

11.3. Mayor Brown, Police Service Board Composition

12. Staff Reports

- 12.1. New Businesses in Orangeville February 1 – April 30, 2021, CMS-2021-013** 61 - 63

Recommendations:

That report CMS-2021-013 dated May 31, 2021, be received.

- 12.2. 22 John Street, Demolition on a Municipal Heritage Register Property, INS-2021-035** 64 - 69

Recommendations:

That report INS-2021-035, 22 John Street, Demolition on a Municipal Heritage Register Property, be received;

And that Council not oppose the demolition of the detached garage on the property.

- 12.3. 14 William Street, Residential Demolition Permit Application, File No. RD-2021-01, INS-2021-036** 70 - 80

Recommendations:

That report INS-2021-036, 14 William Street, Residential Permit Application, File No. RD-2021-01, be received

And that the residential demolition permit application for 14 William Street, be approved, subject to the following conditions:

- That the applicant allows Heritage Orangeville access to the subject property to document the existing structure and any existing historical elements on the property, prior to demolition;
- That the owner salvages for reuse, any significant architectural artefacts or building materials as may be identified by Heritage Orangeville, and provides such artefacts to the Town or Museum of Dufferin, if requested; and
- That the applicant constructs and substantially completes the new dwelling to be erected on the subject property not later than two (2) years from the date of the issuance of the demolition permit.

- 12.4. Temporary Road Closure Request – Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness, INS-2021-038** 81 - 82

Recommendations:

That report INS-2021-038, dated May 31, 2021, Temporary Road Closure Request – Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness, be received;

And that Council pass a by-law to authorize the temporary road closure of Broadway between 9:00 am and 2:00 pm on June 13, 2021 for a Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness.

- 12.5. Funding for 2020 WSIB Surcharge, CPS-2021-032** 83 - 85

Recommendations:

That Council receive report # CPS-2021-032: Funding for 2020 WSIB surcharge and approve the use of funds from other budget lines to cover an amount of \$71,571.86 overage from the WSIB surcharge. The outstanding balance is due July 1, 2021 to avoid interest charges.

- 12.6. 2021 Community Grants Update, CPS-2021-045 86 - 88

Councillor Post declared a conflict on this item. (I am one of the founders and organizers of Celebrate Your Awesome)

Recommendations:

That report CPS-2021-045, dated May 31, 2021, 2021 Community Grants Update, be received;

And that Council approve distribution of 2021 Community Grant budgeted funds of \$4,500.

- 12.7. Proposed Fees and Charges – Clerk’s Division, CPS-2021-013 89 - 92

Recommendations:

That report CPS-2021-013 Proposed Fees and Charges – Clerk’s Division, be received;

And that Council pass a by-law to implement the fees outlined in Report CPS-2021-013.

- 12.8. Terms of Reference – Men’s Homelessness Committee, CPS-2021-043 93 - 97

Recommendations:

That Report CPS-2021-043 regarding the creation of a Men’s Homelessness Committee be received;

And that the Terms of Reference for a Men’s Homelessness Committee as outlined in Report CPS-2021-043 be approved;

And that staff proceed with the recruitment of members.

- 12.9. Sign Variance Application – 215 Centennial Road - Dollarama, CPS-2021-046 98 - 103

Recommendations:

That Report CPS-CL-2021-46, regarding Sign Variance Application – Dollarama –215 Centennial Rd be received;

And that Council grant a variance to Sign By-law 28-2013, as amended to permit five (5) window signs at 215 Centennial Rd covering 100% of the storefront windows which exceeds the 25% coverage permitted in the by-law;

And that the variance approval be conditional on the applicant obtaining a Sign Permit.

13. Correspondence

Recommendations:

That the following correspondence be received:

- | | | |
|--------|--|-----------|
| 13.1. | Town of Grand Valley, Police Service Board Composition | 104 - 104 |
| 13.2. | Township of Melancthon Police Service Board Composition | 105 - 107 |
| 13.3. | Town of Mono, Police Service Board Composition | 108 - 110 |
| 13.4. | Township of Mulmur, Police Service Board Composition | 111 - 113 |
| *13.5. | Mayor Brown, Police Service Board Composition
To be provided in addendum to agenda to be issued on Monday, May 31, 2021. | 114 - 128 |
| *13.6. | Orangeville Police Services Board, Police Service Board Composition
To be provided in addendum to agenda to be issued on Monday, May 31, 2021. | 129 - 131 |
| 13.7. | Georgian College, Economic Impact Analysis | 132 - 144 |
| 14. | Committee/Board Minutes
Recommendations:
That the minutes of the following meetings be received:

2021-01-21 Heritage Orangeville

2021-02-18 Heritage Orangeville

2021-03-18 Heritage Orangeville

2021-04-22 Heritage Orangeville

2020-12-15 Orangeville Police Service Board | 145 - 170 |
| 15. | Notice of Motion Prior to Meeting
None. | |
| 16. | Notice of Motion at Meeting | |
| 17. | New Business | |
| 18. | Question Period | |
| 19. | By-Laws
Recommendations:
That the by-laws listed below be read three times and finally passed:

A by-law to amend By-law 2008-102 being a By-law to license, regulate and govern mobile food and refreshment vending in the Town

A by-law to temporarily close Broadway from Second Street to Wellington Street on June 13, 2021

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its Regular and Closed Council Meeting held on May 31, 2021 | 171 - 174 |

20. Adjournment

Recommendations:

That the meeting be adjourned.



Council Meeting Minutes

May 10, 2021, 7:00 p.m.

Electronic Meeting

**The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville
(Mayor and Clerk at Town Hall - 87 Broadway)
Orangeville, Ontario**

Members Present: Mayor S. Brown, was present at Town Hall
Deputy Mayor A. Macintosh
Councillor J. Andrews
Councillor G. Peters
Councillor L. Post
Councillor D. Sherwood
Councillor T. Taylor

Staff Present: E. Brennan, CAO
D. Benotto, Software Operations Supervisor, was present at Town Hall
D. Jones, General Manager, Infrastructure Services
C. Khan, Deputy Clerk
K. Landry, Town Clerk, was present at Town Hall
J. Lackey, Manager, Transportation and Development
A. McKinney, General Manager, Corporate Services
R. Osmond, General Manager, Community Services
M. Pourmanouchehri, IT Technician
N. Syed, Treasurer
T. Macdonald, Assistant Clerk

1. Call To Order

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

2. Approval of Agenda

Resolution 2021-170

Moved by Councillor Post

Seconded by Councillor Andrews

That the agenda and any addendums for the May 10, 2021 Council Meeting, be approved.

Carried

3. Disclosure of (Direct and Indirect) Pecuniary Interest

3.1 Councillor Peters - Orangeville & District Senior Citizens Club Lease Agreement, CMS-2021-010

Family member sits on the board

4. Closed Meeting

None

5. Open Meeting - 7:00 p.m.

6. Singing of National Anthem

David Nairn, Theatre Orangeville provided a pre-recorded version of the National Anthem which was played.

7. Land Acknowledgement

The Mayor acknowledged the traditional territory of the Anishinaabe people including the Ojibway, Potawatomi and Odawa of the Three Fires Confederacy.

8. Announcements by Chair

Mayor Brown advised the gallery and viewing audience with respect to the public nature of Council Meetings and that it is webcast.

9. Rise and Report

None.

10. Adoption of Minutes of Previous Council Meeting

Resolution 2021-171

Moved by Councillor Peters

Seconded by Deputy Mayor Macintosh

That the minutes of the following meetings be approved:

2021-04-19 Council - Public Meeting minutes

2021-04-26 Council minutes

Carried

11. Presentation, Petitions and/or Delegation

11.1 Carolina Khan, Deputy Clerk, Accessing By-laws

Carolina Khan, Deputy Clerk provided an overview of the process for accessing by-laws on the Town's website.

11.2 Sania Wadalia, 7-Eleven Application to Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario

Sania Wadalia, President, Orangeville and District Labour Council spoke on the application by 7-Eleven to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario and outlined reasons that she is opposed to the application.

12. Staff Reports

12.1 Financing Leases Outstanding 2020, CPS-2021-027

Resolution 2021-172

Moved by Councillor Taylor

Seconded by Deputy Mayor Macintosh

That report CPS-2021-027 Financing Leases Outstanding 2020, be received.

Carried

12.2 2021 Final Tax Rates, CPS-2021-033

Resolution 2021-173

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

Seconded by Councillor Andrews

That report CPS-2021-033, regarding the 2021 Final Tax Rates, be received;

And that Council pass a by-law to provide for the levy and collection of taxes required for the Town of Orangeville for the year 2021 and for the collection of County of Dufferin and Education taxes.

Carried

12.3 2021 First Quarter Operating Fund Variance, CPS-2021-037

Resolution 2021-174

Moved by Councillor Post

Seconded by Councillor Taylor

That report CPS-2021-037 regarding the 2021 First Quarter Operating Fund Variance, be received.

Carried

12.4 2021 First Quarter Capital Progress, CPS-2021-038

Resolution 2021-175

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

Seconded by Councillor Andrews

That report CPS-2021-038 regarding the 2021 First Quarter Capital Progress, be received.

Carried

12.5 Education Development Charge Update, CPS-2021-039

Resolution 2021-176

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

Seconded by Councillor Taylor

That report CPS-2021-039, dated May 10, 2021, Education Development Charge Update, be received.

Carried

12.6 2021 Community Grants Update, CPS-2021-040

Resolution 2021-177

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Taylor

That report CPS-2021-040, dated May 10, 2021, 2021 Community Grants Update, be received.

And that Council approve distribution of 2021 Community Grant budgeted funds of \$15,000.

Carried

12.7 Limiting Distance Agreement, INS-2021-027

Resolution 2021-178

Moved by Councillor Taylor

Seconded by Councillor Peters

That report INS-2021-027, Limiting Distance Agreement, be received;

And that Council Pass a Bylaw authorizing the Mayor and Clerk to sign a Limiting Distance Agreement with Krzytof Drozdowski, Betty Drozdowski and Steddy Corp.

Carried

12.8 Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Inventory and Reduction Targets, INS-2021-031

Resolution 202-179

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Peters

That report INS-2021-031, Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions Inventory and Reduction Targets be received;

And that the Town adopt a community GHG emissions reduction target of net zero by 2050 in alignment with the ambitiousness of the Paris Agreement;

And that staff develop and set an interim community emissions reduction target once specific mitigation actions are prioritized dependent on level of impact, available resources, and support.

Carried

12.9 On-Demand Transit Service, INS-2021-030

Resolution 2021-180

Moved by Councillor Taylor

Seconded by Deputy Mayor Macintosh

That Report INS-2020-30, On-Demand Transit Service be received;

And that Council direct Staff to engage a consultant to assist in the design of a fixed and on-demand system, utilizing the existing Town owned buses;

And That Council direct Staff to implement the pilot route strategy based on two fixed routes and one "on-demand" route utilizing the existing Town owned buses.

Carried

12.10 Transit Transfer Terminal, INS-2021-032

Resolution 2021-181

Moved by Deputy Mayor Macintosh

Seconded by Councillor Andrews

That Report INS-2021-032, Transit Transfer Terminal be received;

And that Council endorse the Transit Transfer Terminal Plan consisting of:

- **A dedicated drive through road, 6.5 metres in width for Orangeville Transit buses from Centre Street to Dawson Road;**
- **A terminal that has made provisions for future expansion and as such the concept has provided space for six buses meeting specifications for Orangeville's vehicle fleet;**
- **A pedestrian connection to link Centre Street to Dawson Road thus reducing the need for the public to seek passage through the County lands;**
- **A simple bus shelter that is unheated and with no washroom facilities;**
- **A terminal that does not include parking facilities**
- **A drive through road that will be lighted for appropriate safety measures;**

And that Council direct Staff to engage an engineering consultant to complete the final design of the Transit Transfer Terminal.

Yes (4): Mayor Brown, Deputy Mayor Macintosh, Councillor Andrews, and Councillor Sherwood

No (3): Councillor Peters, Councillor Post, and Councillor Taylor

Carried (4 to 3)

12.11 Orangeville & District Senior Citizens Club Lease Agreement, CMS-2021-010

Councillor Peters declared a conflict on this item. (Family member sits on the board)

Note: Councillor Peters turned off his camera and microphone during discussion of this matter.

Resolution 2021-182

Moved by Councillor Post

Seconded by Councillor Taylor

That report CMS-2021-010, Orangeville & District Senior Citizens Club Lease Agreement be received;

And That Council pass a by-law to enter into a lease agreement with the Orangeville & District Senior Citizens Club for 26 Bythia Street;

Carried

12.12 Appointment of Integrity Commissioner, CPS-2021-036

Resolution 2021-183

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Peters

That report CPS-2021-036, Appointment of Integrity Commissioner, be received;

And that Charles A. Harnick, ADR Chambers Inc. be appointed as the Integrity Commissioner for the Town of Orangeville;

And that the Mayor and Clerk be authorized to execute an agreement and any other documents necessary to finalize the appointment;

And that Council pass a by-law appointing Charles A. Harnick, ADR Chambers Inc. as Integrity Commissioner for the Town of Orangeville.

Yes (6): Mayor Brown, Deputy Mayor Macintosh, Councillor Andrews, Councillor Peters, Councillor Post, and Councillor Taylor

No (1): Councillor Sherwood

Carried (6 to 1)

13. Correspondence

The Mayor announced that he will participate in the accountability for Municipal Council Members Townhall Sessions.

Resolution 2021-184

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Sherwood

That the following correspondence be received:

Guy Giorno, Integrity Commissioner, Special Report

Guy Giorno, Integrity Commissioner, Annual Reports (2019-2020, 2020-2021)

Premier Doug Ford and Attorney General Doug Downey, Response to Cannabis Radial Separation

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing

CTC Source Protection Region, Clean Water Risk Management Plans

Headwaters Food and Farming Alliance

Town of Mono, Cannabis Licensing and Enforcement

Town of Shelburne, Paid Sick Days

Town of Grand Valley, 3 Board Police Services Model

Joan Amos, Backyard Fires

Ellan Storey, Fire Pit By-law Burning Extended Hours

Carried

Note: The Treasurer will report back on the Headwaters Food and Farming Alliance request through the Community Grant Program at the May 31, 2021 meeting.

14. Committee/Board Minutes

Resolution 2021-185

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Post

That the minutes of the following meetings be received:

2021-02-24 Library Board Minutes

Carried

15. Notice of Motion Prior to Meeting

15.1 Councillor Post and Councillor Peters, Mobile Food Vendor's By-law

Resolution 2021-186

Moved by Councillor Post

Seconded by Councillor Peters

Whereas Council passed a Mobile Food Vendors' By-law in 2008; and
Whereas Section 9.8 of the By-law prohibits the operation of a refreshment vehicle or refreshment stand within 90 metres of any other refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand and restaurant; and
Whereas members of Council have received a request to consider permitting a refreshment vehicle to locate within 90 metres of another refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand and restaurant; and
Whereas Council deems it appropriate to permit a refreshment vehicle to locate within 90 metres of another refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand or restaurant provided consent is obtained from owner(s) of a refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand and restaurant located within 90 metres of the proposed location of the refreshment vehicle;
Now therefore be it resolved that Council pass a By-law to amend the

Mobile Food Vendor's By-law to permit a refreshment vehicle to locate within 90 metres of another refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand or restaurant conditional upon the submission of a letter in a form as provided by the Town from any and all owner(s) of a refreshment vehicle(s), refreshment stand(s) and restaurant(s) located within 90 metres of the proposed location of the refreshment vehicle indicating they do not object to the said refreshment vehicle being locating within 90 metres of their refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand or restaurant; And that the letter indicating no objection be required to be submitted upon renewal of a refreshment vehicle licence; And that all other requirements of the By-law apply.

Yes (6): Deputy Mayor Macintosh, Councillor Andrews, Councillor Peters, Councillor Post, Councillor Sherwood, and Councillor Taylor

No (1): Mayor Brown

Carried (6 to 1)

16. Notice of Motion at Meeting

None.

17. New Business

The Mayor announced that May 10 is the start of Nurses Week and extended gratitude to all nurses.

18. Question Period

None.

19. By-Laws

Resolution 2021-187

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Peters

That the by-laws listed below be read three times and finally passed:

A by-law to provide for the levy and collection of taxes required for the Town of Orangeville for the year 2021 and for the collection of County of Dufferin and Education taxes.

A by-law to authorize the entering into and execution of a Limiting Distance Agreement with Krzytof Drozdowski, Betty Drozdowski and Steddy Corp.

A by-law to authorize the acquisition of property – 30 Centennial Road

A by-law to authorize the entering into and execution of a Lease Agreement with the Orangeville & District Senior Citizens Club for 26 Bythia Street

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its Regular Council Meeting held on May 10, 2021

Carried

Resolution 2021-188

Moved by Councillor Andrews

Seconded by Councillor Peters

That the by-law listed below be read three times and finally passed:

A by-law to appoint Charles A. Harnick as Integrity Commissioner and authorize entering into an agreement with ADR Chambers Inc and to repeal By-law 2016-081.

Yes (6): Mayor Brown, Deputy Mayor Macintosh, Councillor Andrews, Councillor Peters, Councillor Post, and Councillor Taylor

No (1): Councillor Sherwood

Carried (6 to 1)

20. Adjournment

Resolution 2021-189

Moved by Deputy Mayor Macintosh

Seconded by Councillor Post

That the meeting be adjourned at 8:38 p.m.

Carried

Sandy Brown, Mayor

Karen Landry, Clerk



2020 Town of Orangeville Audited Financial Statements

Presented by: Auditor (RLB) Murray Short, MBA, CPA, CA, C. Dir.

Presented by : Treasurer Nandini Syed, MPA, CMM III, CPA, CMA




Cash Basis Surplus 2020	\$3.3M
Anomaly 2020*	(\$1.4M)
Net Cash Surplus 2020	\$1.9M

*Cash at hand for OPS severance payment due February 2021

How Did We Fare?



Net Cash Surplus: 3-Year Trend



**THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020**

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
INDEX TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	3 - 4
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Consolidated Statement of Financial Position	5
Consolidated Statement of Operations	6
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Net Financial Assets	7
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows	8
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	9 - 23
Consolidated Schedule of Segmented Disclosure	24 - 25
Consolidated Schedule of Tangible Capital Assets	26
Consolidated Schedule of Accumulated Surplus	27
 TRUST FUNDS	
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	29 - 30
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Financial Position	31
Statement of Continuity	32
Notes to the Financial Statements	33 - 34

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Members of Council, Inhabitants and Ratepayers of: The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville, which comprise the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2020 and the consolidated statements of operations, change in net financial assets and cash flows for the year then ended, and notes to the consolidated financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, these consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as at December 31, 2020 and the consolidated results of its operations, change in its net financial assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Basis of Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are independent of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the corporation's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to a going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the corporation or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the corporation's financial reporting process.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements, as a whole, are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the corporation's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the corporation's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the corporation to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the consolidated financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Guelph, Ontario
May 31, 2021

Chartered Professional Accountants
Licensed Public Accountants

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION
AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2020

	2020	2019
FINANCIAL ASSETS		
Cash	\$ 54,061,917	\$ 44,082,839
Restricted cash	9,322,159	9,021,505
Temporary investments (note 2)	14,381,243	14,172,771
Taxes receivable	2,749,454	2,509,837
Accounts receivable	3,976,375	3,688,044
Investments in government business enterprises (note 3)	<u>11,296,224</u>	<u>10,695,444</u>
	<u>95,787,372</u>	<u>84,170,440</u>
LIABILITIES		
Promissory note (note 5)	17,092,566	17,819,909
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	10,685,040	6,758,965
Deferred revenue (note 6)	21,951,136	21,729,781
Long term debt (note 8)	14,980,441	11,487,876
Post employment benefits (note 7)	2,191,922	2,075,912
Landfill post-closure liability (note 10)	<u>428,837</u>	<u>316,727</u>
	<u>67,329,942</u>	<u>60,189,170</u>
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS	<u>28,457,430</u>	<u>23,981,270</u>
NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS		
Tangible capital assets (schedule 2) (note 4)	206,804,535	204,349,120
Inventory	36,280	23,455
Prepaid expenses	<u>261,658</u>	<u>223,313</u>
	<u>207,102,473</u>	<u>204,595,888</u>
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS (schedule 3)	<u>\$235,559,903</u>	<u>\$228,577,158</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	2020 Budget (note 17)	2020 Actual	2019 Actual
REVENUES			
Taxation	\$ 37,270,926	\$ 38,134,885	\$ 36,856,090
Fees and user charges	17,397,585	15,124,126	16,648,877
Grants (note 13)	1,711,234	4,113,595	2,694,075
Other income (note 12)	5,145,743	4,936,574	6,093,122
Equity income from Orangeville Hydro Limited	449,861	1,026,759	851,957
	<u>61,975,349</u>	<u>63,335,939</u>	<u>63,144,121</u>
EXPENDITURES			
General government	9,690,807	8,630,997	8,267,826
Protection services	16,185,726	21,275,266	15,378,442
Transportation services	9,625,926	8,767,143	8,576,074
Environmental services	12,430,556	9,957,135	9,807,458
Health services	117,013	103,730	102,979
Recreation services	8,585,642	6,127,473	6,552,966
Planning and development	1,528,144	1,491,450	1,366,352
	<u>58,163,814</u>	<u>56,353,194</u>	<u>50,052,097</u>
ANNUAL SURPLUS	3,811,535	6,982,745	13,092,024
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, beginning of year	<u>228,577,158</u>	<u>228,577,158</u>	<u>215,485,134</u>
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS, end of year	<u>\$232,388,693</u>	<u>\$235,559,903</u>	<u>\$228,577,158</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN NET FINANCIAL ASSETS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	2020 Budget (note 17)	2020 Actual	2019 Actual
Annual surplus	\$ <u>3,811,535</u>	\$ <u>6,982,745</u>	\$ <u>13,092,024</u>
Acquisition of tangible capital assets	(15,153,066)	(10,242,413)	(7,128,017)
Amortization of tangible capital assets	7,729,911	7,729,911	7,615,082
(Gain) loss on disposal of tangible capital assets	0	(32,387)	412,164
Proceeds on disposal of tangible capital assets	0	89,474	73,717
Writedown of tangible capital assets	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>(238,629)</u>
	<u>(7,423,155)</u>	<u>(2,455,415)</u>	<u>734,317</u>
Change in inventory	0	(12,825)	31,767
Change in prepaid expenses	<u>0</u>	<u>(38,345)</u>	<u>997</u>
	<u>0</u>	<u>(51,170)</u>	<u>32,764</u>
(DECREASE) INCREASE IN NET FINANCIAL ASSETS	\$ <u>(3,611,620)</u>	4,476,160	13,859,105
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS at beginning of year		<u>23,981,270</u>	<u>10,122,165</u>
NET FINANCIAL ASSETS at end of year		\$ <u>28,457,430</u>	\$ <u>23,981,270</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	2020	2019
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Annual surplus for the year	\$ 6,982,745	\$ 13,092,024
Items not requiring an outlay of cash		
Writedown of tangible capital assets	0	(238,629)
Equity income from Orangeville Hydro Limited	(1,026,759)	(851,957)
Amortization of tangible capital assets	7,729,911	7,615,082
(Gain) loss on disposal of tangible capital assets	<u>(32,387)</u>	<u>412,164</u>
	13,653,510	20,028,684
Changes in non-cash working capital		
Accounts receivable	(288,331)	2,812,449
Prepaid expenses	(38,345)	997
Inventory	(12,825)	31,767
Taxes receivable	(239,617)	85,122
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	3,926,075	(1,446,052)
Post employment benefits	116,010	124,159
Landfill post-closure liability	112,110	(166,552)
Deferred revenue	<u>221,355</u>	<u>4,139,445</u>
	<u>17,449,942</u>	<u>25,610,019</u>
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Promissory note	(727,343)	2,819,909
Long term debt	<u>3,492,565</u>	<u>(1,462,117)</u>
	<u>2,765,222</u>	<u>1,357,792</u>
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) CAPITAL ACTIVITIES		
Acquisition of tangible capital assets	(10,242,413)	(7,128,017)
Proceeds on disposal of capital assets	<u>89,474</u>	<u>73,717</u>
	<u>(10,152,939)</u>	<u>(7,054,300)</u>
CASH PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Dividends from Orangeville Hydro Limited	<u>425,979</u>	<u>535,281</u>
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	10,488,204	20,448,792
NET CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF YEAR	<u>67,277,115</u>	<u>46,828,323</u>
NET CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 77,765,319</u>	<u>\$ 67,277,115</u>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CONSISTS OF:		
Cash	\$ 54,061,917	\$ 44,082,839
Restricted cash	9,322,159	9,021,505
Temporary investments	<u>14,381,243</u>	<u>14,172,771</u>
	<u>\$ 77,765,319</u>	<u>\$ 67,277,115</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards and include the following significant accounting policies:

(a) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

The consolidated financial statements of the Corporation of the Town of Orangeville are the representation of management. They have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles established by the Public Sector Accounting Board of Chartered Professional Accountants Canada.

(b) REVENUE RECOGNITION

Taxation revenues are recognized as described in paragraph (n). Grants are recognized as described in paragraph (m). Fees and user charges are recognized when the related service is provided.

Investment income earned on surplus funds (other than obligatory funds) are reported in the period earned. Investment income earned on obligatory reserve funds is added to the fund balance and forms part of the respective deferred revenue balances.

Other income is recognized when received or receivable and collection is reasonably assured.

(c) USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. By their nature, these estimates are subject to measurement uncertainty and actual results could differ from management's best estimates as additional information becomes available in the future. Significant estimates made by management include the useful lives of tangible capital assets, the valuation of accounts receivable, accrued liabilities, post employment benefits and landfill post-closure liability.

(d) BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements reflect the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses of all municipal organizations, committees, and boards which are owned or controlled by Council. All interfund assets and liabilities and revenues and expenses have been eliminated on consolidation.

The following boards and municipal enterprises owned or controlled by Council have been consolidated:

Town of Orangeville Library Board
Town of Orangeville Business Improvement Area
Orangeville Railway Development Corporation
Town of Orangeville Police Services Board

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(d) BASIS OF CONSOLIDATION (continued)

Government business enterprises and partnerships are separate legal entities which do not rely on the municipality for funding. Investments in government business enterprises are accounted for using the modified equity method. The following government business enterprises are reflected in the consolidated financial statements:

Orangeville Hydro Limited - 94.5%
Orangeville Hydro Services Limited - 100%

(e) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The municipality classifies all of its financial instruments at amortized cost. The maximum exposure to credit risk is the carrying value of the financial instruments. These financial instruments include cash, temporary investments, accounts and grants receivable, temporary borrowing, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, and long-term liabilities. They are initially recognized at cost and subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment losses on financial assets.

Transaction costs related to financial instruments in the amortized cost category are added to the carrying value of the instrument. Writedowns of financial assets in the amortized cost category are recognized when the amount of a loss is known with sufficient precision, and there is no realistic prospect of recovery. Financial assets are then written down to net realizable value with the writedown being recognized in the consolidated statement of operations.

(f) CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

The Town considers all short term, highly liquid investments with an original maturity of six months or less to be cash equivalents.

(g) INVENTORY

Inventory held for consumption are measured at the lower of cost and replacement cost.

(h) TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

Tangible capital assets are recorded at cost which includes all amounts that are directly attributable to acquisition, construction, development or betterment of the asset. Amortization is provided over the estimated useful life of the assets. The useful life of the assets is based on estimates made by Council. The following rates and methods are used:

Land improvements	15	years straight line basis
Buildings	15-40	years straight line basis
Roads	15-40	years straight line basis
Water and sewer systems	40	years straight line basis
Equipment	5-20	years straight line basis
Vehicles	5-9	years straight line basis

Tangible capital assets received as contributions are recorded at fair value at the date of receipt and also are recorded as revenue.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(h) TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS (continued)

The Town capitalizes interest costs associated with the acquisition or construction of a tangible capital asset until long term financing is put in place.

The financial information recorded includes the actual or estimated historical cost of tangible capital assets. When historical cost records were not available, other methods were used to estimate the costs and accumulated amortization of the assets.

(i) INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets, art and historic treasures, and items inherited by right of the Crown, such as Crown lands, forests, water, and mineral resources, are not recognized in these consolidated financial statements

(j) NON-FINANCIAL ASSETS

Non-financial assets have useful lives that extend beyond the current year and are not intended for sale in the ordinary course of business. These assets do not normally provide resources to discharge the liabilities of the municipality unless they are sold.

(k) DEFERRED REVENUE

Revenue restricted by legislation, regulation or agreement and not available for general municipal purposes is reported as deferred revenue on the consolidated statement of financial position.

The revenue is reported on the consolidated statement of operations in the year in which it is used for the specified purpose.

(l) COUNTY AND SCHOOL BOARDS

The municipality collects taxation revenue on behalf of the County of Dufferin and the school boards. The taxation, other revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities with respect to the operations of the County of Dufferin and the school boards are not reflected in these consolidated financial statements.

(m) GOVERNMENT TRANSFERS

Government transfers are recognized as revenue in the consolidated financial statements when the transfer is authorized and any eligibility criteria are met, except to the extent that transfer stipulations give rise to an obligation that meets the definition of a liability, in which case, it is initially recognized as deferred revenue. This revenue is recognized in the consolidated statement of operations as the transfer stipulations are settled.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

(n) **TAXATION AND RELATED REVENUES**

Property tax billings are prepared by the Town based on assessment rolls issued by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation. Tax rates are established annually by Town Council, incorporating amounts to be raised for local services. A normal part of the assessment process is the issue of supplementary assessment rolls, which provide updated information with respect to changes in property assessment. Once a supplementary assessment roll is received, the Town determines the taxes applicable and renders supplementary tax billings.

Taxation revenues are recorded at the time tax billings are issued. Taxes are recorded at estimated amounts when they meet the definition of an asset, have been authorized and the taxable event occurs. For property taxes, the taxable event is the period for which the tax is levied. Taxes receivable are recognized net of an allowance for anticipated uncollectable amounts.

The Town is entitled to collect interest and penalties on overdue taxes. These revenues are recorded in the period the interest and penalties are levied.

(o) **TRUST FUNDS**

Funds held in trust by the municipality, and their related operations, are not included in these consolidated financial statements. The financial activity and position of the trust funds are reported separately on the trust funds statement of financial position and statement of continuity.

(p) **POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS**

The municipality provides post-employment health, dental and life insurance benefits to eligible retired employees. The benefits earned by employees are determined using management's best estimate of expected benefit costs and are expensed as services are rendered.

Defined contribution plan accounting is applied to the municipality's multi-employer defined benefit pension plan.

2. TEMPORARY INVESTMENTS

	2020	2019
Unrestricted One Investment Program money market fund	\$ 4,271,405	\$ 4,231,864
Restricted term deposits	<u>10,109,838</u>	<u>9,940,907</u>
	<u>\$ 14,381,243</u>	<u>\$ 14,172,771</u>

Investments have a market value of \$14,382,815 (2019 - \$14,204,671) at the end of the year.

The municipality has externally restricted funds that are segregated and will be used only for specific purposes. Restricted term deposits earn interest at 0.72 - 0.75% (2019 - 2.03 - 2.22%) and mature between September 7, 2021 and November 9, 2021 (2019 - May 11, 2020 to September 5, 2020).

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

3. INVESTMENTS IN GOVERNMENT BUSINESS ENTERPRISES

The Town of Orangeville owns a 94.50% interest in Orangeville Hydro Limited and has a 100% interest in Orangeville Hydro Services Inc. Details of these investments are as follows:

	2020	2019
Investment in Orangeville Hydro Limited		
Investment in common shares	\$ 7,815,535	\$ 7,815,535
Net equity	<u>3,480,688</u>	<u>2,879,908</u>
	<u>11,296,223</u>	<u>10,695,443</u>
Investment in Orangeville Hydro Services Inc.		
Investment in common shares	<u>1</u>	<u>1</u>
	<u>\$ 11,296,224</u>	<u>\$ 10,695,444</u>

Condensed financial results for the government business enterprises are disclosed in Note 15.

Related party transactions between the municipality and its government business enterprises are as follows:

	2020	2019
Expenses		
Streetlighting maintenance, water and sewer billing charge from Orangeville Hydro Limited	\$ <u>492,661</u>	\$ <u>417,621</u>

Orangeville Hydro Limited also collects water and sewage billings on behalf of the municipality and remits the amounts to the municipality on a monthly basis.

4. TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

The net book value of tangible capital assets not being amortized because they are under construction is \$35,917,898 (2019 - \$35,732,963). The assets under construction include land improvements, buildings, roads, water and sewer systems and equipment.

The municipality holds various works of art and historical treasures. These items are not recognized as tangible capital assets in the consolidated financial statements because a reasonable estimate of the future benefits associated with such property cannot be made.

5. PROMISSORY NOTE

	2020	2019
Ontario Infrastructure and Lands Corporation Loan	\$ <u>17,092,566</u>	\$ <u>17,819,909</u>

The Ontario Infrastructure and Lands Corporation (OILC) loan represents interim construction financing for a water pollution control plant. Interest is calculated at OILC's cost of funds plus OILC's prevailing spread with interest payable monthly, with no predetermined date of repayment. The Town of Orangeville has been approved for financing up to \$22,000,000. Repayment terms of principal and interest will be determined upon completion of the water pollution control plant project.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

6. DEFERRED REVENUE

	Opening	Contributions Received	Investment Income	Revenue Recognized	Ending
Obligatory Reserve Funds					
Development charges	\$ 14,895,029	\$ 213,877	\$ 167,522	\$ (1,179,888)	\$ 14,096,540
Building permits	674,149	0	5,574	(160,187)	519,536
Recreational land	1,044,244	77,306	8,408	0	1,129,958
MTO transit program	83,670	283,526	2,876	(287,000)	83,072
Transportation grants	779,798	816,152	6,542	(701,249)	901,243
Federal gas tax	2,162,943	876,717	15,844	(509,918)	2,545,586
Other	121,767	0	967	0	122,734
	<u>19,761,600</u>	<u>2,267,578</u>	<u>207,733</u>	<u>(2,838,242)</u>	<u>19,398,669</u>
Other					
Grants	209,260	5,165	0	(73,562)	140,863
Tax levies	1,524,638	1,967,221	0	(1,524,638)	1,967,221
Deposits	234,283	328,492	0	(118,392)	444,383
	<u>1,968,181</u>	<u>2,300,878</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>(1,716,592)</u>	<u>2,552,467</u>
	<u>\$ 21,729,781</u>	<u>\$ 4,568,456</u>	<u>\$ 207,733</u>	<u>\$ (4,554,834)</u>	<u>\$ 21,951,136</u>

7. POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The municipality provides certain benefits, including retirement benefits and other post-employment benefits, to its employees. The employee benefits liabilities as at December 31, 2020 are as follows:

	2020	2019
Post-employment benefits	\$ 2,269,663	\$ 2,140,542
Vested sick leave	3,523	3,523
Workplace Safety and Insurance Board obligations	<u>42,882</u>	<u>42,882</u>
	2,316,068	2,186,947
Unamortized actuarial losses	<u>(124,146)</u>	<u>(111,035)</u>
	<u>\$ 2,191,922</u>	<u>\$ 2,075,912</u>

The municipality has not made allocations to fund these liabilities at this time and future expenditures are anticipated to be recovered from tax billings.

The total expenses related to retirement benefits, other than pensions, include the following components:

	2020	2019
Current period benefit cost	\$ 153,669	\$ 144,862
Retirement benefit interest	86,475	81,251
Amortization of unamortized actuarial gains	<u>(13,111)</u>	<u>(13,104)</u>
Total expenses related to retirement benefits	<u>\$ 227,033</u>	<u>\$ 213,009</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

7. POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (continued)

Post-employment benefits

The Town of Orangeville sponsors a defined benefit plan for retirement benefits, other than pensions, to substantially all employees. The plan provides extended health care, dental and life insurance benefits to employees to a maximum of age 65. Total benefit payments paid by the municipality on behalf of retirees during the year were \$85,623 (2019 - \$56,718).

Workplace Safety and Insurance Board obligations

The municipality was a Schedule 2 employer under the Workplace Safety and Insurance Act until the end of 2009 and, as such, assumed responsibility for financing its workplace safety insurance costs. The accrued obligation represents the actuarial valuation of claims to be insured based on the history of claims with municipal employees.

A Workplace Safety and Insurance reserve fund, funded by contributions from the current fund, has been established to protect against any unknown future liability. The balance of the reserve at December 31, 2020 was \$268,454 (2019 - \$289,954).

The actuarial valuations were based on a number of assumptions about future events such as inflation rates, interest rates, medical inflation rates, wage and salary increases and employee turnover and mortality. The most recent actuarial valuations were performed in 2019. The assumptions used reflect the municipality's best estimates.

Discount rate	4.00% per year (2019 - 4.00%)
Dental premium rates	Escalate at 3.75% per year (2019 - 3.75%)
Health care premium rates	6.42%, reducing by 0.333% per year to 3.75% per year in 2028 vs 2027 (2019 - 6.75%)
Future salaries	Escalate at 2.75% per year (2019 - 2.75%)
Future inflation rate	1.75% per year (2019 - 1.75%)

8. LONG TERM DEBT

	2020	2019
Bank loan payable for Westdale improvement area, interest at 3.39% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$23,364 blended principal and interest, due November 2026	\$ 1,500,837	\$ 1,726,327
Bank loan payable for 29 First Street, interest at prime minus 0.75% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$733 plus interest, due May 2035	126,764	135,557
Loan payable for streetlights, interest at 7.50% per annum, repayable in variable monthly instalments of blended principal and interest, due June 2026	654,003	736,243
Bank loan payable for various transportation capital projects, interest at 3.53% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$28,178 blended principal and interest adjusted every six months, due December 2026	1,826,180	2,094,315

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

8. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)	2020	2019
Bank loan payable for police station and Westdale improvement area, interest at 3.26% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$19,995 blended principal and interest, due March 2026	1,156,026	1,354,638
Bank loan payable for Alder Street recreation centre, interest at 3.34% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$36,084 blended principal and interest, due December 2025	1,990,906	2,351,081
Bank loan payable for 120 Diane Drive, interest at 3.61% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$7,884 blended principal and interest, due December 2028	656,649	725,981
Bank loan payable for Humber College land, interest at 2.82% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$16,916 blended principal and interest, due March 2026	989,734	1,162,080
Bank loan for Tourism Information Centre, interest at 3.35% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$3,975 blended principal and interest, due December 2023	135,967	178,307
Bank loan payable for BIA parking lot, interest at 2.92% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$4,424 blended principal and interest, due April 2029	392,359	433,376
Bank loan payable for BIA, 82 Broadway, interest at 2.68% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$3,547 blended principal and interest, due December 2022	551,016	578,409
Capital lease payable for police phone system, interest at 4.10% per annum, repayable in monthly instalments of \$1,907 blended principal and interest, due June 2020	0	11,562
Revolving bank loan payable for Ontario Police Services severance, interest at prime minus 0.75% per annum, no set terms of repayment, due 10 years from initial advance	5,000,000	0
	<u>\$ 14,980,441</u>	<u>\$ 11,487,876</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

8. LONG TERM DEBT (continued)

Future minimum payments on long term obligations are as follows:

2021	\$ 1,553,070
2022	1,612,874
2023	1,675,572
2024	2,126,448
2025	1,729,069
Thereafter	<u>6,283,408</u>
	<u>\$ 14,980,441</u>

Interest expense in the amount of \$386,544 (2019 - \$439,627) has been recognized on the consolidated statement of operations.

The annual principal and interest payments required to service the long term liabilities of the municipality are within the annual debt repayment limit prescribed by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.

9. PENSION AGREEMENTS

The municipality makes contributions to the Ontario Municipal Employees' Retirement System (OMERS), which is a multi-employer plan, on behalf of 192 (2019 - 252) members of its staff. On October 2, 2020, the municipality disbanded the Orangeville Police Services, which had a total of 55 members.

This plan is a defined benefit plan which specifies the amount of the retirement benefits to be received by the employees based on the length of service and rates of pay. Employees and employers contribute jointly to the plan. The amount contributed to OMERS for 2020 by the municipality was \$1,894,055 (2019 - \$1,937,195). The contribution rate for 2020 was 9.0% to 15.8% (2019 - 9.0% to 15.8%) depending on age and income level.

OMERS is a multi-employer plan, therefore any pension plan surpluses or deficits are a joint responsibility of Ontario municipal organizations and their employees. As a result, the municipality does not recognize any share of the OMERS pension surplus or deficit. The last available report for the OMERS plan was December 31, 2020. At that time, the plan reported a \$3.21 billion actuarial deficit (2019 - \$3.40 billion deficit), based on actuarial liabilities of \$111.82 billion (2019 - \$106.44 billion) and actuarial assets of \$105.58 billion (2019 - \$109.38 billion). Ongoing adequacy of the current contribution rates will need to be monitored as fluctuations in financial markets may lead to increased future funding requirements.

10. LANDFILL POST-CLOSURE LIABILITY

The estimated post-closure liability for a landfill site that closed in 1970 as at December 31, 2020 is \$428,837 (2019 - \$316,727). The liability is based on average monitoring, maintenance and consulting costs of \$11,764 (2019 - \$9,042) on an annual basis inflated at a rate of 1.9% (2019 - 2.0%) per year and discounted at a rate of 3.66% (2019 - 3.97%). Current engineering studies suggest that monitoring would be required for another 58 years.

The municipality has not made allocations to fund the liability at this time and future expenses are anticipated to be recovered from taxation billings.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

11. OPERATIONS OF SCHOOL BOARDS AND THE COUNTY OF DUFFERIN

During the year, the following taxation revenue was raised and remitted to the school boards and the Corporation of the County of Dufferin:

	2020	2019
School boards	\$ 10,825,675	\$ 11,374,022
The Corporation of the County of Dufferin	<u>16,136,795</u>	<u>16,187,011</u>
	<u>\$ 26,962,470</u>	<u>\$ 27,561,033</u>

12. OTHER INCOME

	2020 Budget	2020 Actual	2019 Actual
Penalties and interest on taxation	\$ 320,000	\$ 90,493	\$ 434,501
Licenses and permits	642,738	571,784	484,903
Other fines and penalties	174,320	66,906	228,613
Investment income	200,000	680,347	1,040,643
Rents, concessions and franchises	255,236	279,968	417,288
Donations	4,600	57,168	40,336
Gain (loss) on disposal of tangible capital assets	0	32,387	(412,164)
Development charges, recreational land	1,795,329	1,162,579	2,194,805
Other	<u>1,753,520</u>	<u>1,994,942</u>	<u>1,664,197</u>
	<u>\$ 5,145,743</u>	<u>\$ 4,936,574</u>	<u>\$ 6,093,122</u>

13. GRANTS

	2020 Budget	2020 Actual	2019 Actual
Province of Ontario			
General government	\$ 0	\$ 673,400	\$ 587,246
Transportation	287,000	1,084,679	333,843
Protection	1,125,514	1,329,676	1,115,909
Recreation	30,700	30,708	30,708
Planning and development	160,394	216,738	183,482
Environmental	<u>62,500</u>	<u>63,062</u>	<u>19,438</u>
	<u>1,666,108</u>	<u>3,398,263</u>	<u>2,270,626</u>
Government of Canada			
General government	0	0	44,590
Transportation	0	501,294	89,048
Recreation	<u>29,600</u>	<u>19,199</u>	<u>106,270</u>
	<u>29,600</u>	<u>520,493</u>	<u>239,908</u>
Other			
General government	0	0	5,000
Protection	0	125,000	125,000
Recreation	15,526	54,824	43,641
Planning and development	<u>0</u>	<u>15,015</u>	<u>9,900</u>
	<u>15,526</u>	<u>194,839</u>	<u>183,541</u>
	<u>\$ 1,711,234</u>	<u>\$ 4,113,595</u>	<u>\$ 2,694,075</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

14. TRUST FUNDS

The trust funds administered by the municipality, amounting to \$3,435,504 (2019 - \$3,197,442), have not been included in the consolidated statement of financial position nor have the operations been included in the consolidated statement of financial activities. At December 31, 2020, the trust fund balances are as follows:

	2020	2019
Cemetery Perpetual Care Fund	\$ 540,787	\$ 503,308
Ferns Memorial Fund	33,881	33,619
Development Fund	2,826,664	2,626,427
Pre-Need Fund	<u>34,172</u>	<u>34,088</u>
	<u>\$ 3,435,504</u>	<u>\$ 3,197,442</u>

15. MUNICIPAL ENTERPRISES

The following summarizes the financial position and operations of the municipal enterprises which have been reported in these financial statements.

Investment in Orangeville Hydro Limited

Orangeville Hydro Limited is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Province of Ontario and provides municipal electrical services. The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville owns 94.50% of the outstanding shares of Orangeville Hydro Limited. Orangeville Hydro Limited has been reported in these consolidated financial statements using the modified equity method.

	2020	2019
Statement of Financial Position		
Assets	<u>\$ 34,302,686</u>	<u>\$ 31,653,443</u>
Liabilities	\$ 22,336,948	\$ 20,323,451
Shareholder's Equity	<u>11,965,738</u>	<u>11,329,992</u>
	<u>\$ 34,302,686</u>	<u>\$ 31,653,443</u>
Statement of Operations		
Revenues	\$ 39,106,543	\$ 35,161,301
Expenses	<u>38,965,271</u>	<u>35,082,294</u>
Net income for the year	<u>\$ 141,272</u>	<u>\$ 79,007</u>
Dividends paid during the year	<u>\$ 450,771</u>	<u>\$ 566,435</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

15. MUNICIPAL ENTERPRISES (continued)

Investment in Orangeville Hydro Services Inc.

Orangeville Hydro Services Inc. is a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Province of Ontario and is currently inactive. The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville owns 100% of the outstanding shares of Orangeville Hydro Services Inc.

Statement of Financial Position

Assets	\$ <u>1</u>	\$ <u>1</u>
Shareholder's Equity	\$ <u>1</u>	\$ <u>1</u>

16. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit Risk

The municipality is exposed to credit risk through the possibility of non-collection of accounts receivable. The majority of its receivables are from rate payers and government entities. For accounts receivable, the municipality measures impairment based on how long the amounts have been outstanding. For amounts outstanding greater than 91 days, an impairment allowance may be set up. The amounts outstanding at year end, which is the municipality's maximum exposure to credit risk related to accounts receivable, were as follows:

	0 - 30 days	31 - 90 days	91 - 365 days	1 - 2 years	3 - 5 years
Accounts receivable	\$ 3,745,238	\$ 56,848	\$ 45,779	\$ 0	\$ 128,510
Taxes receivable	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1,816,288</u>	<u>831,018</u>	<u>102,148</u>
Total	<u>\$ 3,745,238</u>	<u>\$ 56,848</u>	<u>\$ 1,862,067</u>	<u>\$ 831,018</u>	<u>\$ 230,658</u>

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the municipality will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The municipality undertakes a planning and budgeting process to help determine the funds required to support the municipality's normal operating requirements on an ongoing basis. The municipality ensures that there are sufficient funds to meet its short term requirements, taking into account its anticipated cash flows from operations and its holdings of cash and cash equivalents. To achieve this aim, it seeks to maintain an available line of credit balance as approved by the appropriate borrowing bylaw to meet, at a minimum, expected requirements for a period of at least 90 days. The following table sets out the contractual maturities (representing undiscounted contractual cash-flows) of financial liabilities:

	0 - 30 days	31 - 90 days	91 - 365 days	1 - 5 years	6 years and later
Promissory note	\$17,092,566	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	10,685,040	0	0	0	0
Long term debt	<u>126,674</u>	<u>258,027</u>	<u>1,168,369</u>	<u>7,143,963</u>	<u>6,283,408</u>
Total	<u>\$ 27,904,280</u>	<u>\$ 258,027</u>	<u>\$ 1,168,369</u>	<u>\$ 7,143,963</u>	<u>\$ 6,283,408</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

16. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT (continued)

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The municipality is exposed to interest rate risk arising from the possibility that changes in interest rates will affect the variable rate of temporary borrowings and certain long term liabilities. The municipality is also exposed to interest rate risk arising from the possibility that changes in interest rates will affect the value of the fixed income denominated investments.

There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies, procedures and methods used to measure risks.

17. BUDGETS

Under Canadian public sector accounting standards, budget amounts are to be reported on the statement of operations and changes in net financial assets for comparative purposes. The 2020 budget amounts for the Town of Orangeville, approved by Council, have been reclassified to conform to the presentation of the consolidated statements of operations and changes in net financial assets. Amortization is not included in the budget approved by Council. For comparative purposes, budget figures have been adjusted for amortization based upon actual. The following is a reconciliation of the budget approved by Council.

	2020 Budget	2020 Actual	2019 Actual
Annual surplus	\$ 3,811,535	\$ 6,982,745	\$ 13,092,024
Amortization of tangible capital assets	7,729,911	7,729,911	7,615,082
Change in unfunded liabilities	0	(3,492,565)	1,315,399
Change in Equity in Orangeville Hydro Limited	<u>0</u>	<u>(600,780)</u>	<u>(316,676)</u>
	11,541,446	10,619,311	21,705,829
Net transfers to reserves	2,120,578	(9,531,938)	(10,114,575)
Acquisition of tangible capital assets	(15,153,066)	(10,242,413)	(7,128,017)
Proceeds on disposal of tangible capital assets	0	89,474	73,717
Writedown of tangible capital assets	0	(32,387)	412,164
Prior year capital projects expended	0	2,790,297	(1,596,422)
Proceeds from long term debt	2,418,094	5,000,000	3,183,581
Debt principal repayments	<u>(2,283,052)</u>	<u>(2,234,779)</u>	<u>(1,825,788)</u>
	(1,356,000)	(3,542,435)	4,710,489
Prior year general surplus	<u>1,356,000</u>	<u>6,850,266</u>	<u>2,139,777</u>
General surplus (schedule 3)	<u>\$ 0</u>	<u>\$ 3,307,831</u>	<u>\$ 6,850,266</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

18. CONTRACTUAL COMMITMENTS

The Town of Orangeville has entered into the following contracts:

- a) A five-year contract for transit bus services at an approximate cost of \$379,000 per year, beginning January 2, 2010, with the annual costs adjusted by CPI. This contract has been extended for an additional six years and six months with the same terms and conditions.
- b) A three-year contract for the haulage and disposal of biosolids at an approximate cost of \$677,400 per year, beginning May 1, 2020, with the annual costs adjusted by CPI.
- c) A one-year contract for the supply of treatment chemicals for drinking water and wastewater system at an approximate cost of \$38,272 per year, beginning January 1, 2017. This contract was extended for four years with the same terms and conditions.

19. CONTINGENCIES

The Town has been served with various claims as a result of accidents and other incidents. The Town is not aware of any possible settlements in excess of its liability insurance coverage. The outcomes of these claims are not determinable at this time. Should any liability be determined and not covered by insurance, it will be recognized in the period when determined.

20. MATERIAL UNCERTAINTY DUE TO THE NOVEL CORONAVIRUS (COVID-19)

During the year and subsequent to year end, the Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) significantly impacted the economy in Canada and globally. The global pandemic has disrupted economic activities and has resulted in the Town implementing a closure of non-essential programming and services. Although the disruption from the virus is expected to be temporary, given the dynamic nature of these circumstances, the duration of business disruption and the related financial impact cannot be reasonably estimated at this time. This may impact the timing and amounts realized on the Town's assets and its future ability to deliver all programming.

21. SEGMENTED DISCLOSURE

The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville is a diversified municipal government institution that provides a wide range of services to its citizens such as police, fire, environmental, planning, community, and water services. Distinguishable functional segments have been separately disclosed in the segmented information. The nature of the segments and the activities they encompass are as follows:

Recreation and Cultural Services

This service area provides services meant to improve the health and development of the municipality's citizens. Recreational programs and cultural programs are provided at the recreation complexes. Also, the municipality provides library services to assist with its citizens' informational needs.

Environmental Services

Environmental services consists of costs associated with managing solid waste. This service also provides the municipality's drinking water. The department processes and cleans sewage and ensures the municipality's water system meets all Provincial standards.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

21. SEGMENTED DISCLOSURE (continued)

Health Services

Health services are comprised of public health services which works to improve the overall health of the population and overcome health inequalities by providing services to individuals and communities. Also, the municipality provides cemetery services including cemetery maintenance and care.

Planning and Development

This department provides a number of services including town planning, maintenance and enforcement of building and construction codes and review of all property development plans through its application process. This department also includes the economic development committee and the downtown business improvement area.

Protection to Persons and Property

Protection is comprised of police services, fire protection and bylaw enforcement. The police services works to ensure the safety and protection of the citizens and their property. The fire department is responsible for providing fire suppression services, fire prevention programs, training, and education. The by-law enforcement department works to ensure that citizens and their property are protected by ensuring the Town's bylaws are being adhered to.

Transportation Services

Transportation is responsible for providing the municipality with road maintenance, winter control services, street light maintenance, parking lots, and equipment maintenance. Transportation is also responsible for providing bus services to residents throughout the Town of Orangeville.

General Government

This item related to the revenues and expenses that relate to the operations of the municipality itself and cannot be directly attributed to a specific segment.

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies. The revenues and expenses and equity in government business enterprises and government business partnerships that are directly attributable to a particular segment are allocated to that segment. Amounts that are directly attributable to a number of segments have been allocated on a reasonable basis. Taxation has not been allocated to individual segments.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF SEGMENTED DISCLOSURE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

(Schedule 1)

	General Government	Protection Services	Transportation Services	Environmental Services	Health Services	Recreation Services	Planning and Development	Total 2020
REVENUE								
Taxation	\$14,911,263	\$12,832,960	\$ 5,248,614	\$ 0	\$ 12,313	\$ 3,912,687	\$ 1,217,048	\$38,134,885
Fees and user charges	762,985	90,353	299,398	13,147,057	91,101	523,035	210,197	15,124,126
Grants	673,400	1,454,676	1,585,973	63,062	0	104,731	231,753	4,113,595
Equity in income of Orangeville Hydro Limited	1,026,759	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,026,759
Other income	1,450,809	1,855,830	202,506	1,088,278	0	288,267	50,884	4,936,574
	<u>18,825,216</u>	<u>16,233,819</u>	<u>7,336,491</u>	<u>14,298,397</u>	<u>103,414</u>	<u>4,828,720</u>	<u>1,709,882</u>	<u>63,335,939</u>
EXPENSES								
Salaries and benefits	5,448,591	16,331,674	2,048,736	2,183,469	83,171	3,432,840	947,566	30,476,047
Interest on debt	67,671	41,462	177,336	0	0	72,829	27,246	386,544
Materials and supplies	1,713,146	1,281,436	1,633,305	2,843,938	15,923	580,618	212,645	8,281,011
Contracted services	1,002,011	3,007,092	1,914,849	2,339,877	4,636	175,940	233,219	8,677,624
Other transfers	4,658	159,711	606	144,446	0	200,855	49,524	559,800
Rents and financial Amortization	46,957	(11)	145,706	9,176	0	28,223	12,206	242,257
	<u>347,963</u>	<u>453,902</u>	<u>2,846,605</u>	<u>2,436,229</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1,636,168</u>	<u>9,044</u>	<u>7,729,911</u>
	<u>8,630,997</u>	<u>21,275,266</u>	<u>8,767,143</u>	<u>9,957,135</u>	<u>103,730</u>	<u>6,127,473</u>	<u>1,491,450</u>	<u>56,353,194</u>
ANNUAL SURPLUS (DEFICIT)	<u>\$10,194,219</u>	<u>\$ (5,041,447)</u>	<u>\$ (1,430,652)</u>	<u>\$ 4,341,262</u>	<u>\$ (316)</u>	<u>\$ (1,298,753)</u>	<u>\$ 218,432</u>	<u>\$ 6,982,745</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF SEGMENTED DISCLOSURE
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

(Schedule 1) (continued)

	General Government	Protection Services	Transportation Services	Environmental Services	Health Services	Recreation Services	Planning and Development	Total 2019
REVENUE								
Taxation	\$12,387,867	\$12,700,323	\$ 5,253,717	\$ 0	\$ 14,749	\$ 5,261,803	\$ 1,237,631	\$36,856,090
Fees and user charges	1,371,940	422,276	193,431	12,703,565	72,264	1,602,721	282,680	16,648,877
Grants	636,836	1,240,909	422,891	19,438	0	180,619	193,382	2,694,075
Equity in income of Orangeville Hydro Limited	851,957	0	0	0	0	0	0	851,957
Other income	<u>2,119,695</u>	<u>1,731,263</u>	<u>(147,109)</u>	<u>2,050,256</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>286,408</u>	<u>52,609</u>	<u>6,093,122</u>
	<u>17,368,295</u>	<u>16,094,771</u>	<u>5,722,930</u>	<u>14,773,259</u>	<u>87,013</u>	<u>7,331,551</u>	<u>1,766,302</u>	<u>63,144,121</u>
EXPENSES								
Salaries and benefits	5,198,325	13,096,044	2,022,978	2,337,544	64,550	4,176,433	874,093	27,769,967
Interest on debt	74,941	48,393	200,022	0	0	85,283	30,988	439,627
Materials and supplies	1,957,691	1,417,645	1,936,058	2,557,706	21,019	351,282	225,790	8,467,191
Contracted services	654,048	127,166	1,482,415	2,394,446	17,410	132,265	148,765	4,956,515
Other transfers	344	145,207	0	142,543	0	217,405	64,800	570,299
Rents and financial	63,449	18,094	121,387	0	0	20,310	10,176	233,416
Amortization	<u>319,028</u>	<u>525,893</u>	<u>2,813,214</u>	<u>2,375,219</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1,569,988</u>	<u>11,740</u>	<u>7,615,082</u>
	<u>8,267,826</u>	<u>15,378,442</u>	<u>8,576,074</u>	<u>9,807,458</u>	<u>102,979</u>	<u>6,552,966</u>	<u>1,366,352</u>	<u>50,052,097</u>
ANNUAL SURPLUS (DEFICIT)	<u>\$ 9,100,469</u>	<u>\$ 716,329</u>	<u>\$ (2,853,144)</u>	<u>\$ 4,965,801</u>	<u>\$ (15,966)</u>	<u>\$ 778,585</u>	<u>\$ 399,950</u>	<u>\$13,092,024</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

SCHEDULE OF TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS

(Schedule 2)

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Land	Land Improvements	Buildings	Roads	Water and Sewer Systems	Equipment	Vehicles	2020	2019
COST									
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 13,800,587	\$ 19,038,419	\$ 70,461,555	\$ 94,160,624	\$ 123,623,546	\$ 20,236,821	\$ 8,527,419	\$ 349,848,971	\$ 343,382,648
Additions during the year	0	527,643	2,730,383	2,624,496	387,540	3,460,913	511,438	10,242,413	7,128,017
Disposals during the year	0	0	(44,592)	0	0	(104,442)	(325,173)	(474,207)	(661,694)
Balance, end of year	<u>13,800,587</u>	<u>19,566,062</u>	<u>73,147,346</u>	<u>96,785,120</u>	<u>124,011,086</u>	<u>23,593,292</u>	<u>8,713,684</u>	<u>359,617,177</u>	<u>349,848,971</u>
ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION									
Balance, beginning of year	0	11,440,539	16,446,102	54,320,917	47,395,776	11,464,276	4,432,241	145,499,851	138,299,211
Amortization	0	644,586	1,251,178	2,199,098	2,027,570	1,039,559	567,920	7,729,911	7,615,082
Accumulated amortization on disposals	0	0	0	0	0	(91,947)	(325,173)	(417,120)	(414,442)
Balance, end of year	<u>0</u>	<u>12,085,125</u>	<u>17,697,280</u>	<u>56,520,015</u>	<u>49,423,346</u>	<u>12,411,888</u>	<u>4,674,988</u>	<u>152,812,642</u>	<u>145,499,851</u>
NET BOOK VALUE OF TANGIBLE CAPITAL ASSETS	<u>\$ 13,800,587</u>	<u>\$ 7,480,937</u>	<u>\$ 55,450,066</u>	<u>\$ 40,265,105</u>	<u>\$ 74,587,740</u>	<u>\$ 11,181,404</u>	<u>\$ 4,038,696</u>	<u>\$ 206,804,535</u>	<u>\$ 204,349,120</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
SCHEDULE OF ACCUMULATED SURPLUS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

(Schedule 3)

	2020	2019
SURPLUSES		
Invested in tangible capital assets	\$206,804,535	\$204,349,120
Unfunded long-term liabilities	(14,980,441)	(11,487,876)
Promissory note	(17,092,566)	(17,819,909)
Tangible capital assets to be financed in future	(2,337,829)	(3,268,218)
Unfunded post-employment benefits	(2,191,922)	(2,075,912)
Unfunded solid waste and post-closure costs	(428,837)	(316,727)
General surplus	3,307,831	6,850,266
Equity in Orangeville Hydro Limited	11,296,224	10,695,444
	<u>184,376,995</u>	<u>186,926,188</u>
RESERVES AND RESERVE FUNDS		
Reserves	13,717,285	11,874,933
Discretionary reserve funds		
Insurance, sick leave and WSIB	44,161	43,813
Water and sewer	20,728,735	17,524,787
General capital	14,247,397	10,184,691
Other	2,445,330	2,022,746
	<u>37,465,623</u>	<u>29,776,037</u>
	<u>51,182,908</u>	<u>41,650,970</u>
ACCUMULATED SURPLUS	<u>\$235,559,903</u>	<u>\$228,577,158</u>

**THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
TRUST FUNDS
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020**

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Members of Council, Inhabitants and Ratepayers of: The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Opinion

We have audited the accompanying financial information of the trust funds of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville, which comprise the statement of financial position as at December 31, 2020 and the statement of continuity of the trust funds for the year then ended, and notes to the financial information, including a summary of significant accounting policies.

In our opinion, these financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the trust funds of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as at December 31, 2020 and the continuity of the trust funds for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Basis of Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Information section of our report. We are independent of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada, and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial information in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial information that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial information, management is responsible for assessing the trust funds' ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to a going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the trust funds or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the trust funds' financial reporting process.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Information

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial information, as a whole, is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial information.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgement and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit. We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial information, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or override of internal control.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the corporation's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the corporation's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial information or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the corporation to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial information, including the disclosures, and whether the financial information represents the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

We communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.

Guelph, Ontario
May 31, 2021

Chartered Professional Accountants
Licensed Public Accountants

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

TRUST FUNDS

STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION

AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Pre-Needs	Cemetery Perpetual Care	Ferns Memorial	Development Fund	2020 Total	2019 Total
Assets						
Cash	\$ 36,380	\$ 393,875	\$ 0	\$ 625,344	\$ 1,055,599	\$ 832,685
Accrued interest	0	2,065	0	8,343	10,408	12,063
Accounts receivable	0	0	900	0	900	900
Investments, at cost	0	182,251	14,470	2,191,833	2,388,554	2,373,249
Due (to) from other funds	<u>(3,088)</u>	<u>(15,467)</u>	<u>18,411</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
	<u>\$ 33,292</u>	<u>\$ 562,724</u>	<u>\$ 33,781</u>	<u>\$ 2,825,664</u>	<u>\$ 3,455,461</u>	<u>\$ 3,218,897</u>
Liabilities						
Due (to) from Town of Orangeville	\$ (4,000)	\$ 21,937	\$ (100)	\$ (1,000)	\$ 16,837	\$ 18,335
Accounts payable	<u>3,120</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>3,120</u>	<u>3,120</u>
	<u>(880)</u>	<u>21,937</u>	<u>(100)</u>	<u>(1,000)</u>	<u>19,957</u>	<u>21,455</u>
Fund balance	<u>34,172</u>	<u>540,787</u>	<u>33,881</u>	<u>2,826,664</u>	<u>3,435,504</u>	<u>3,197,442</u>
	<u>\$ 33,292</u>	<u>\$ 562,724</u>	<u>\$ 33,781</u>	<u>\$ 2,825,664</u>	<u>\$ 3,455,461</u>	<u>\$ 3,218,897</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

TRUST FUNDS

STATEMENT OF CONTINUITY

AS AT DECEMBER 31, 2020

	Pre-Needs	Cemetery Perpetual Care	Ferns Memorial	Development Fund	2020 Total	2019 Total
Fund balance, beginning of year	\$ 34,088	\$ 503,308	\$ 33,619	\$ 2,626,427	\$ 3,197,442	\$ 3,394,459
Receipts						
Perpetual care	5,435	28,790	0	0	34,225	26,435
Investment income	414	8,689	262	46,450	55,815	43,024
Fees and deposits	0	0	0	317,048	317,048	435,713
Loss on disposition of investments	0	0	0	(8,221)	(8,221)	(713)
	<u>5,849</u>	<u>37,479</u>	<u>262</u>	<u>355,277</u>	<u>398,867</u>	<u>504,459</u>
Expenses						
Transfer to Town of Orangeville	5,765	0	0	0	5,765	2,040
Payments and deposits returned	0	0	0	155,040	155,040	699,436
	<u>5,765</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>155,040</u>	<u>160,805</u>	<u>701,476</u>
Net surplus (deficit)	<u>84</u>	<u>37,479</u>	<u>262</u>	<u>200,237</u>	<u>238,062</u>	<u>(197,017)</u>
Fund balance, end of year	<u>\$ 34,172</u>	<u>\$ 540,787</u>	<u>\$ 33,881</u>	<u>\$ 2,826,664</u>	<u>\$ 3,435,504</u>	<u>\$ 3,197,442</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
TRUST FUNDS
NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards and include the following significant accounting policies:

(a) ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY

The financial statements of the The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville trust funds are the representation of management. They have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles established by the Public Sector Accounting Board of Chartered Professional Accountants Canada. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial information, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from management's best estimates as additional information becomes available in the future.

(b) BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

Revenues and expenses are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. The accrual basis of accounting recognizes revenues as they become available and measurable; expenses are recognized as they are incurred and measurable as a result of receipt of goods or services and the creation of a legal obligation to pay.

(c) FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville trust funds classifies all of its financial instruments at amortized cost. The maximum exposure to credit risk is the carrying value of the financial instruments. These financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, investments, accounts payable and due to general account. They are initially recognized at cost and subsequently carried at amortized cost using the effective interest rate method, less any impairment losses on financial assets.

Transaction costs related to financial instruments in the amortized cost category are added to the carrying value of the instrument. Writedowns of financial assets in the amortized cost category are recognized when the amount of a loss is known with sufficient precision, and there is no realistic prospect of recovery. Financial assets are then written down to net realizable value with the writedown being recognized in the statement of continuity.

THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE

TRUST FUNDS

NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

2. INVESTMENTS

Investments totaling \$501,126 (2019 - \$627,347) consist of corporate and government bonds with interest rates ranging from 1.939% to 4.25% (2019 - 1.939% to 4.75%). The market value of these investments was \$517,107 (2019 - \$621,530).

Investments totaling \$181,458 (2019 - \$306,174) consist of cash and Guaranteed Investment Certificates with interest rates of 2.81% - 2.91% (2019 - 0.01% to 2.91%). The market value of these investments was \$183,543 (2019 - \$307,127).

Investments totaling \$1,137,808 (2019 - \$1,115,170) consist of term deposits with interest rates of 0.75% (2019 - 2.03%). The market value of these investments was \$1,143,653 (2019 - \$1,122,489).

Investments totaling \$568,162 (2019 - \$328,401) consist of a money market fund. The market value of this investment is \$568,162 (2019 - \$328,401).

3. FINANCIAL INSTRUMENT RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that one party to a financial instrument will cause a financial loss for the other party by failing to discharge an obligation. The municipality is exposed to credit risk arising from its cash and accounts receivable.

Liquidity Risk


Liquidity risk is the risk that the municipality encounters difficulty in meeting its obligations associated with financial liabilities. Liquidity risk includes the risk that, as a result of operational liquidity requirements, the municipality will not have sufficient funds to settle a transaction on the due date; will be forced to sell financial assets at a value, which is less than what they are worth; or may be unable to settle or recover a financial asset. Liquidity risk arises from due to general account and accounts payable. The organization has sufficient bank and investment balances to extinguish its liabilities.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The municipality is exposed to interest rate risk arising from the possibility that changes in interest rates will affect the value of fixed income denominated investments.



There have been no significant changes from the previous year in the exposure to risk or policies, procedures and methods used to measure risks.

**The Town of Orangeville
Summary of Key Operating Measures
December 31, 2020**





	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Expenses - General government	9.9%	11.3%	15.4%	16.5%	15.3%
Expenses - Protection services	29.1%	29.7%	29.8%	30.7%	37.8%
Expenses - Transportation services	17.7%	17.7%	17.3%	17.1%	15.6%
Expenses - Environmental services	20.3%	18.9%	19.1%	19.6%	17.7%
Expenses - Health services	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Expenses - Recreation and cultural services	19.7%	19.5%	15.4%	13.1%	10.9%
Expenses - Planning and development	3.0%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.6%



The Town of Orangeville Summary of Key Operating Measures December 31, 2020




**The Town of Orangeville
Summary of Key Operating Measures
December 31, 2020**



The Town of Orangeville
Summary of Key Operating Measures
December 31, 2020



The Town of Orangeville
Summary of Key Operating Measures
December 31, 2020



Subject: New Businesses in Orangeville February 1 – April 30, 2021

Department: Community Services

Division: Economic Development and Culture

Report #: CMS-2021-013

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That report CMS-2021-013 dated May 31, 2021 be received.

Background and Analysis

The attached list of new businesses registered/established within the Town of Orangeville is developed as a result of input from a number of Town Departments, including the Small Business Enterprise Centre/Economic Development, Administration, Clerk's Office, Planning, and Building/By-law.

Staff endeavour to provide a comprehensive and inclusive listing. However, our ability to include all businesses starting in Orangeville will be effected by the following limitations:

- Business registrations are completed via the internet and the Town does not have access to these registrations unless performed within the Economic Development/SBEC Resource Centre.
- Sole proprietors operating under their own legal names only are not required to register their businesses.
- A large number of home-based proprietorships are established each year, many of them offering very specific services to targeted markets. As a result of the volume of these operations, and for the convenience of Council, staff will provide numbers of home-based operations started in town, based on the information available to staff, as opposed to providing in-depth information about them.

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Economic Vitality

Objective: Attract, retain, and expand business

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Economic Development & Culture

Strategy: Enhance economic resiliency through attraction, expansion and retention of diverse business industries.

Notice Provisions

Financial Impact

There is no financial impact coming out of this report.

Respectfully submitted

Raymond Osmond
General Manager, Community Services

Reviewed by

Ruth Phillips
Manager, Economic Development & Culture

Prepared by

Betty Ann Lusk
Administrative Assistant, Economic Development & Culture

Attachment(s): 1. New Businesses Information Report February 1 – April 30, 2021.

Attachment 1 – New Business Information Report

Businesses Registered/Established within the Town of Orangeville February 1 – April 30, 2021

Business Name	Owner/Contact	Business Type	Location
Recess Play	Madison & Blake MacDonald	Recreation	150 First Street
Hempire House	Andre, Chris and Sharlene Lochan	Retail	59 First Street
Broadway Cannabis	Jeff Patterson	Retail	71 Broadway
Paramount Cannabis Company	Jourdan Sewchand	Retail	520 Riddell Road, Unit G-C
M & J's African Grocery	Joshua Adams	Retail	121 First Street, Unit
Orangeville Flowers	Sara Pamenter and Sheryl Fergus	Retail	121 First Street, Unit 2B
J's DIY	Jenn Etheridge	Retail	85 Broadway, Unit 2
Chariots of Simcoe	Sati Bhogal	Automotive	324 Broadway
Chafford 200 Medical Centre	Dr. Olatunde Idris Alausa / Dr. Adebajo/ Dr. Ajayi	Medical	195 Broadway
Georgian Shores Catering	Wade Plewes and Dave Scoffield	Food Shop & Catering	280 Broadway, Unit 1
The Wellness Lab	Dr. S. Glassford and Dr. A. Setnik	Medical	295A Broadway
Broadway Gold Exchange	Anthony Vrbaneck	Gold Dealer	68 Broadway
Daal Roti Express	Payal Rana	Take-out Restaurant	75 First Street, Unit 11

In addition, 2 home-based operations were registered within the Town of Orangeville during the period of February 1 – April 30, 2021. (based on information available from various Town Departments.)

Reports outlining commercial/industrial permits issued for new construction, expansion or interior renovation to accommodate new business are now submitted to Council by the Building Office and no longer form part of this report.

Subject: 22 John Street, Demolition on a Municipal Heritage Register Property

Department: Infrastructure Services

Division: Planning

Report #: INS-2021-035

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That report INS-2021-035, 22 John Street, Demolition on a Municipal Heritage Register Property, be received;

And that Council not oppose the demolition of the detached garage on the property.

Background

The subject property is known municipally as 22 John Street and is located on the west side of John Street, between Church Street to the north and Little York Street to the south. The property is more generally situated within a mature residential neighbourhood containing many properties of cultural heritage value or interest. There is a two-storey detached dwelling situated on the property, which was built in 1878 and consists of a wood-frame structure with shiplap siding. The [property](#) is listed on Orangeville's [Municipal Register of Non-Designated Properties of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest](#), due to the heritage attributes associated with the architectural design and period of construction of the dwelling.

The owner has advised the Town of their intent to demolish an existing 6.7m x 6.7m (22ft. x 22ft.) detached garage on the property. This occurred by way of a building permit application received in February 2021 for a slightly larger 7.3m x 7.6m (24ft. x 25ft.) detached garage to be constructed in the same location as the existing garage. Demolishing the existing garage is necessary to make way for the proposed new garage. Attachment 1 includes a survey plan illustrating the location of the existing garage, as well as building plans of the proposed new garage to be constructed in the same location.

Analysis

The purpose of listing non-designated properties on the Municipal Heritage Register is to provide interim protection from demolition. The Ontario Heritage Act (“the Act”) requires the owner of a listed property to give Council at least 60 days written notice of an intention to demolish or remove any structure from the property, together with plans or other required information that justify the demolition. Council may agree to the demolition, or initiate the process to enhance the property’s heritage protection by designating it under the Part IV of the Act. Council must consult with Heritage Orangeville before allowing the demolition or removal of a structure from a listed property.

This matter was reviewed by Heritage Orangeville at their meeting of April 22, 2021. The existing detached garage has no attributes contributing to the heritage value of the property and Heritage Orangeville expressed no concerns with respect to its demolition.

This report recommends that Council not oppose the accessory structure demolition on the subject property.

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Community Stewardship

Objective: Maintain and Protect our Built and Natural Heritage

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Land Use and Planning

Strategy: Co-ordinate land use and infrastructure planning to promote healthy, liveable and safe communities.

Notice Provisions

There are no public notification provisions applicable to this report.

Financial Impact

There are no financial impacts anticipated to the Town arising from this report.

Respectfully submitted

Prepared by

Douglas G. Jones, M.E.Sc., P. Eng.
General Manager, Infrastructure Services

Brandon Ward, MCIP, RPP
Manager, Planning, Infrastructure Services

Attachment(s): 1. Survey Plan and Building Drawings

THIS PLANNING IS A PLAN OF SUB. "SAND" WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE PLANNING	"DEPOSITED UNDER" THE REGISTRY ACT AUGUST 7, 1968 D.G. Cullen D J CUellen Ontario Land Surveyor	PLAN 7R 2523 FILED IN THE August 22, 1968 John Crawford and Reg. Tech. of Ontario REGISTERED SUFFERIN (No 7)
--	--	---

PART SCHEDULE				
PART	LOT	LOCATION	INST. N°	AREA
1	Part of L&T 90 All of LOT 91	Registered Plan 195 (Town of Orangeville)	MF 91423	0.39 Ac

LT DENOTES L THOMSON, O L S
P1 DENOTES REGISTERED PLAN N# 195

PLAN OF SURVEY OF
PART OF LOT 90
ALL OF LOT 91
REGISTERED PLAN N^o 195
TOWN OF ORANGEVILLE
COUNTY OF DUFFERIN

SCALE : 1 INCH = 20 FEET

1986

D. J. CULLEN, O.L.S.
SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE


1 THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SURVEY ACT AND THE REGISTRY ACT AND THE REGULATIONS MADE THEREUNDER

2 THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE 13TH DAY OF AUGUST 1986

AUG 27 7, 1986 D.J. Cullen
D.J. CULLEN
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR

BEARING REFERENCE


BEARING - ARE ASTRONOMIC, DERIVED FROM THE SOUTH WEST LIMIT OF JOHN STREET SHOWN AS N 43° 30' 00" W ON PLAN 7R - 949.

 DENOTES SURVEY MONUMENT FOUND
 DENOTES STANDARD IRON BAR PLANTED (1" x 40")
 DENOTES IRON BAR PLANTED (3/8" x 24")

D. J. CULLEN LIMITED
ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR
59 THIRD STREET, ORANGEVILLE, ONTARIO
L9W 2B3 TEL: 319-94

DRAWN BY J. WATTS, CPT - C57 123 J. COLLIER, CPT	PARTY CHIEF P. HILLS
ALC BY E. LLOYD, CPT	PROJECT NO 127 -


PROPOSED SEVERANCE.
using above survey - R. DIXON Oct 1976.



LEFT ELEVATION


SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"

12'-0"
building
height



FRONT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"




RIGHT ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"

12'-0"
building
height

12'-0"
building
height



REAR ELEVATION

SCALE: 1/4"=1'-0"

NOTES:

OWNER & BUILDER/ CONTRACTOR(S) TO REVIEW PLAN FOR COMPLETENESS AND ACCURACY PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. NOTARY ASSOCIATED DESIGNS, INC. OF ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

ASSUMED DESIGN LOADS:
LIVE LOAD @ FLOOR = 40 PSF
DEAD LOAD @ FLOOR = 10 PSF
LIVE/SNOW LOAD @ ROOF = 20 PSF
DEAD LOAD @ ROOF = 17 PSF
SOIL BEARING PRESSURE = 1500 PSF

COPYRIGHT © 2011, ASSOCIATED DESIGNS, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.
REPRODUCTION OF THIS DRAWING IS PROHIBITED PRIOR TO WRITTEN PERMISSION.

GARAGE
01008

THE GARAGE

TITLE:	ELEVATIONS	DATE:	10/15/11	SCALE:	NOTED	BY:	BT	CHK:	BT	APP:	BT
--------	------------	-------	----------	--------	-------	-----	----	------	----	------	----

Associated DESIGNS

ASSOCIATED DESIGNS, INC.
www.associateddesigns.com

1100 JACOBS DRIVE
EUGENE, OREGON
97402-1833
(541) 461-2082
FAX: (541) 461-2174

REVISIONS:	
REVISION OF:	646-005

PROJECT:
20-171
SHEET
2 OF **4**

Subject: 14 William Street, Residential Demolition Permit Application, File No. RD-2021-01

Department: Infrastructure Services

Division: Planning

Report #: INS-2021-036

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That report INS-2021-036, 14 William Street, Residential Permit Application, File No. RD-2021-01, be received

And that the residential demolition permit application for 14 William Street, be approved, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the applicant allows Heritage Orangeville access to the subject property to document the existing structure and any existing historical elements on the property, prior to demolition;
2. That the owner salvages for reuse, any significant architectural artefacts or building materials as may be identified by Heritage Orangeville, and provides such artefacts to the Town or Museum of Dufferin, if requested; and
3. That the applicant constructs and substantially completes the new dwelling to be erected on the subject property not later than two (2) years from the date of the issuance of the demolition permit.

Background

The subject property is known as 14 William Street and is located south of Church Street and west of Henry Street, as shown on the Location Map included in Attachment 1. The property contains a two-storey detached dwelling constructed in 1874, with a single-storey addition constructed on the side and rear of the dwelling. The property is listed on Orangeville's Municipal Register as a Non-Designated Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, due to the heritage attributes associated with the unique architectural style (Second Empire) and period of construction of the detached dwelling.

On March 3, 2021, the owner applied for a building permit to demolish the addition on the side and rear of the dwelling and construct a larger two-storey addition in the same location. This work was part of an overall revitalization of the existing main dwelling, which included the new addition construction to create a second dwelling unit. Because of the property's listing on the Municipal Heritage Register, the proposed demolition was reviewed by Heritage Orangeville at their March 18th meeting. Heritage Orangeville expressed no concerns with this demolition, given that the building addition has no historical or architectural attributes contributing to the heritage value of the property.

As the owner proceeded with their renovation work for the existing dwelling, they discovered that the building is in a severe state of structural deterioration and disrepair. This necessitated additional unforeseen restoration work that would render project cost-prohibitive to the owner, with little benefit in comparison to a complete re-build of the dwelling. The owner therefore submitted a new building permit application to demolish the entire dwelling and construct a new and expanded replacement dwelling in its place. The proposed new dwelling would replicate the architectural design of the existing dwelling. It involves a building footprint that is generally similar to that of the existing dwelling, plus the additional dwelling space to the rear (as contemplated by the original building addition iteration). Floor plans and elevation drawings of the proposed new dwelling are included in Attachment 2.

Because this proposal now involves demolishing the entire dwelling, this necessitated the submission of a residential demolition permit application, which was submitted to the Town on April 8, 2021. The purpose of this report is to analyze the proposal relative to the demolition control framework and provide a recommendation for a Council decision concerning this application.

Demolition Control

The Town's Demolition Control Area By-law (No. 2003-126) is administered in accordance with the Planning Act, which allows municipalities to establish a demolition control area prohibiting any residential demolition without approval by Council, or their delegated authority. Under this By-law, all lands within the Town's jurisdiction are subject to demolition control and require Council approval for any residential dwelling demolition. Circumstances where a dwelling is deemed an immediate safety hazard by the Chief Building Official are exempt from this demolition control requirement.

Demolition control intends to preserve residential properties by preventing premature demolition of viable housing stock and ensuring that any replacement development is approved and constructed within a reasonable time period thereafter. Demolition approvals can therefore include conditions to be fulfilled prior to, or in conjunction with the demolition occurrence.

Demolition control also avoids the creation of vacant lots for prolonged periods and susceptibility to property standards infractions (i.e. trespassing, vandalism). To achieve this, demolition control works in tandem with a property standards By-law, which ensures that properties are maintained to an appropriate standard. This prevents properties from being neglected in a way that could accelerate their deterioration to a point where demolition becomes an apparent necessity.

Ontario Heritage Act

For any proposed demolition of a property listed on a Municipal Register as a Non-Designated Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, the Ontario Heritage Act requires that the municipal council be provided with a 60-day Notice of the intent to demolish. The purpose of the notice period is to provide the municipality sufficient time to determine whether there is merit to initiate a process to designate the property in order to give it enhanced long-term protection under Part IV (individual designation) of the Act. The 60-day notification period is deemed to commence on the date that the residential demolition permit application is received by the Town.

Application Review

The residential demolition permit application was circulated to Internal Divisions, as well as Heritage Orangeville, for review and comment. No concerns were received from the Internal Divisions circulated for review.

Heritage Orangeville reviewed this demolition permit application at their Committee meeting of May 13, 2021. The Committee was provided with information documenting the existing conditions of the building. Building Division staff also provided comments to the Committee with respect to the deteriorated conditions of the building, based on site inspections conducted by staff. The Committee expressed no concerns with the proposed demolition proceeding.

Staff recommend approval of this residential demolition permit application, subject to conditions to be fulfilled prior to the demolition proceeding. These conditions will enable Heritage Orangeville to document the existing structure at their discretion and will ensure that any salvageable building artefacts that may be of interest to Heritage Orangeville are reclaimed to the satisfaction of the Committee. The recommended conditions are consistent with the demolition control policies found under the Implementation section of the Town's Official Plan, which enable the inclusion of such conditions and requirements in the demolition control process. An additional recommended condition would require new building construction to be completed within two years of demolition approval, ensuring that the site does not remain vacant for a prolonged period.

Strategic Alignment**Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan**

Priority Area: Community Stewardship

Objective: Maintain and Protect our Built and Natural Heritage

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Land Use and Planning

Strategy: Co-ordinate land use and infrastructure planning to promote healthy, liveable and safe communities.

Notice Provisions

There are no public notification provisions applicable to this report.

Financial Impact

There are no financial impacts anticipated to the Town arising from this report.


Respectfully submitted

Prepared by

Douglas G. Jones, M.E.Sc., P. Eng.
General Manager, Infrastructure Services

Brandon Ward, MCIP, RPP
Manager, Planning, Infrastructure Services

Attachments: 1. Location Map
2. Proposed Dwelling Plans





THESE DRAWINGS MUST BE SIGNED
TO BE VALID FOR PERMIT.
THEY ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE
ORIGINAL ADDRESS
IN THE TITLE BLOCK



JOHN VANDERWOERD,
M.A.A.T.O. BCIN: 21611

STARTING DATE: Aug 17, 2020	LAST REVISION DATE: Apr 13, 2021
--------------------------------	-------------------------------------



Addition

Existing Plans

9 of 10

SCALE:
3/16" = 1'-0"

NOTES:

THESE DRAWINGS MUST BE SIGNED
TO BE VALID FOR PERMIT.
THEY ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE
ORIGINAL ADDRESS
IN THE TITLE BLOCK



The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for this design, and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code to be a designer.

QUALIFICATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.5.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.

REGISTRATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.4.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.
Firm Name: Vanderwoerd Drafting & Design
BCIN 38975



VANDERWOERD
DRAFTING & DESIGN

John Vanderwoerd, M.A.A.T.O.
34 Duke Street, Arthur, Ontario N0G 1A0
www.home-design-bcin.ca
519-848-2128

DRAWN BY:
JOHN VANDERWOERD,
M.A.A.T.O. BCIN: 21611

CONTRACTOR

STARTING DATE:
Aug 17, 2020

LAST REVISION DATE:
Apr 13, 2021

CUSTOMER:


PROJECT:
Addition

DRAWING TITLE:
Proposed Main Floor


DRAWING #:
20-321

SCALE:
1/4" = 1'-0"

PAGE #:
2 of 10



PROPOSED MAIN FLOOR
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



PROPOSED SECOND FLOOR
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

NOTES:
THESE DRAWINGS MUST BE SIGNED
TO BE VALID FOR PERMIT.
THEY ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE
ORIGINAL ADDRESS
IN THE TITLE BLOCK



The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for this design, and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code to be a designer.

QUALIFICATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.5.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.

[Signature]
John Vanderwoerd BCIN 21611

REGISTRATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.4.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.
Firm Name: Vanderwoerd Drafting & Design
BCIN 38975

VANDERWOERD
DRAFTING & DESIGN

John Vanderwoerd, M.A.A.T.O.
34 Duke Street, Arthur, Ontario N0G 1A0
www.home-design-bcin.ca
519-848-2128

DRAWN BY:
JOHN VANDERWOERD,
M.A.A.T.O. BCIN: 21611

CONTRACTOR

STARTING DATE:
Aug 17, 2020

LAST REVISION DATE:
Apr 13, 2021

CUSTOMER:


PROJECT:
Addition

DRAWING TITLE:
Proposed Second Floor


DRAWING #:
20-321

PAGE #:
3 of 10

SCALE:
1/4" = 1'-0"



FRONT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"



REAR ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

NOTES:

THESE DRAWINGS MUST BE SIGNED TO BE VALID FOR PERMIT. THEY ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE ORIGINAL ADDRESS IN THE TITLE BLOCK

The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for this design, and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code to be a designer.

QUALIFICATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.5.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.

[Signature]
John Vanderwoerd BCIN 21611

REGISTRATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.4.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.
Firm Name: Vanderwoerd Drafting & Design
BCIN 38975

VANDERWOERD
DRAFTING & DESIGN

John Vanderwoerd, M.A.A.T.O.
34 Duke Street, Arthur, Ontario N0G 1A0
www.home-design-bcin.ca
519-848-2128

DRAWN BY:

JOHN VANDERWOERD,
M.A.A.T.O. BCIN: 21611

CONTRACTOR

STARTING DATE:

Aug 17, 2020

LAST REVISION DATE:

Apr 13, 2021

CUSTOMER:

PROJECT:

Addition

DRAWING TITLE:

Front & Rear Elevation

DRAWING #:


20-321

SCALE:

1/4" = 1'-0"

PAGE #:

6 of 10



LEFT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

NOTES:
THESE DRAWINGS MUST BE SIGNED
TO BE VALID FOR PERMIT.
THEY ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE
ORIGINAL ADDRESS
IN THE TITLE BLOCK



The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for this design, and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code to be a designer.

QUALIFICATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.5.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.

[Signature]
John Vanderwoerd BCIN 21611

REGISTRATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under Division C-3.2.4.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.
Firm Name: Vanderwoerd Drafting & Design
BCIN 38975

VANDERWOERD
DRAFTING & DESIGN

John Vanderwoerd, M.A.A.T.O.
34 Duke Street, Arthur, Ontario N0G 1A0
www.home-design-bcin.ca
519-848-2128

DRAWN BY:
JOHN VANDERWOERD,
M.A.A.T.O. BCIN: 21611

CONTRACTOR

STARTING DATE:
Aug 17, 2020

LAST REVISION DATE:
Apr 13, 2021

CUSTOMER:

PROJECT:
Addition

DRAWING TITLE:
Left Elevation

DRAWING #:
20-321

PAGE #:
7 of 10

SCALE:
1/4" = 1'-0"



RIGHT ELEVATION
SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"

NOTES:

THESE DRAWINGS MUST BE SIGNED
TO BE VALID FOR PERMIT.
THEY ARE VALID ONLY FOR THE
ORIGINAL ADDRESS
IN THE TITLE BLOCK



The undersigned has reviewed and takes responsibility for this design, and has the qualifications and meets the requirements set out in the Ontario Building Code to be a designer.

QUALIFICATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under
Division C-3.2.5.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.

John Vanderwoerd BCIN 21611

REGISTRATION INFORMATION
Required unless design is exempt under
Division C-3.2.4.1 of the 2012 O.B.C.
Firm Name: Vanderwoerd Drafting & Design
BCIN 38975



John Vanderwoerd, M.A.A.T.O.
34 Duke Street, Arthur, Ontario N0G 1A0
www.home-design-bcin.ca
519-848-2128

DRAWN BY:

JOHN VANDERWOERD,
M.A.A.T.O. BCIN: 21611

CONTRACTOR

STARTING DATE:
Aug 17, 2020

LAST REVISION DATE:
Apr 13, 2021

CUSTOMER:

PROJECT:

Addition

DRAWING TITLE:

Right Elevation

DRAWING #:
20-321

PAGE #:

8 of 10

SCALE:
1/4" = 1'-0"

Subject: Temporary Road Closure Request – Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness

Department: Infrastructure Services

Division: Public Works

Report #: INS-2021-038

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That report INS-2021-038, dated May 31, 2021, Temporary Road Closure Request – Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness, be received;

And that Council pass a by-law to authorize the temporary road closure of Broadway between 9:00 am and 2:00 pm on June 13, 2021 for a Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness.

Background and Analysis

Town staff have been approached regarding a proposed event that will require road closures in the downtown core. A Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness is scheduled for the morning of Sunday June 13, 2021. The event is scheduled to run from 10:00 am to Noon. The walk will begin and end at Alexandra Park, with participants walking on Broadway from Second Street to Wellington Street and back. There have been discussions involving the organizers, OPP, Community Services, Infrastructure Services and Councillor Post regarding the logistics. It is understood that the event will only proceed if the current public health restrictions are lifted.

By-Law 018-2017 is a by-law to delegate to staff the authority to approve temporary road closures. Specifically, the by-law delegates to staff the authority to temporarily close all roads in Town for the purpose of construction or maintenance work, and the authority to close all roads in Town with the exception of Broadway and First Street for special events. By-law 018-2017 includes a list of special events that take place on an annual basis (in non-COVID times) for which the authority to temporarily close Broadway and/or First Street has also been delegated to staff. Currently the Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness event is not included in the list of events for which the authority to temporarily close Broadway and/or First Street has been delegated to staff.

Thus, Council approval is required to close Broadway from Wellington Street to Second Street to accommodate this event.

Note that Second Street will also be closed from Broadway to First Avenue for this event, however Council approval for this closure is not required as the authority to close Second Street is delegated to staff through By-law 018-2017.

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Community Stewardship

Objective: Engaged and Involved

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Social Well-Being

Strategy: Build social networks that encourage well-being and celebrate the community.

Notice Provisions

None.

Financial Impact

The proposed road closures will result in overtime costs, however the cost associated with overtime to facilitate events is included in the approved Operating Budget. Thus, there is no financial impact associated with this report.

Respectfully submitted
Douglas G. Jones, M.E.Sc., P.Eng.
General Manager, Infrastructure Services

Attachments:

1. None

Subject: Funding for 2020 WSIB Surcharge

Department: Corporate Services

Division: Human Resources

Report #: CPS-2021-032

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That council receive report # CPS-2021-032: Funding for 2020 WSIB surcharge and approve the use of funds from other budget lines to cover an amount of \$71,571.86 overage from the WSIB surcharge. The outstanding balance is due July 1, 2021 to avoid interest charges.

Background and Analysis

The Town has received rebates from WSIB for a number of years. In 2020, we received the notification of a surcharge.

This WSIB surcharge is based on the period of time from 2016 to 2019. Our claims costs in 2016, 2017, 2018 were within expected costs, this is what our premiums are based on. In 2019 the Town of Orangeville had two unexpected long term mental health claims. These two large claims caused our costs to exceed the predicted/allowable costs that our WSIB premiums cover, which resulted in a surcharge.

The WSIB 2020 total surcharge was for the approx. \$ 422,000.00. There is approx. \$351,000.00 available in our WSIB Reserve Fund. The Town has taken steps to mitigate the shortfall by participating in the WSIB Health and Safety Excellence Program. This will provide us with a rebate of \$22,000.00.

The recommendation is to use \$300,000.00 from the WSIB Reserve fund. This allows us to keep some funds in this reserve and then fund the balance through the Contingency Reserve.

WSIB Surcharge	\$422,000.00
Rebate	\$22,000.00
WSIB Reserve	\$300,000.00
Contingency Reserve	\$100,000.00

The WSIB Health and Safety Excellence Program, which started in 2020, allows us to participate every year to be eligible for a rebate. The Town continues to participate in this program.

Its worth noting that starting in 2020, the WSIB moved to a new billing model. There will no longer be rebates (except through the WSIB Health and Safety Excellence program) or surcharges. The new system has more predictability from a budgeting perspective; it is based on a premium scale that moves up or down depending on our claims costs.

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Fiscal responsibility; transparent and fair decision making process

Objective: Ensure that the Town remains in good standing wit the WSIB

Financial Impact

This will be a one-time financial impact for the amount indicated above. The new WSIB billing model does not allow for surcharges going forward. The new model will allow for better budgeting and predicting of future costs.

Respectfully submitted

Andrea McKinney
General Manager, Corporate Services

Prepared by

Cathy Moran
Human Resources and Health and Safety Officer
Human Resources

Attachment(s): Not applicable

Subject: 2021 Community Grants Update

Department: Corporate Services

Division: Finance

Report #: CPS-2021-045

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That report CPS-2021-045, dated May 31, 2021, 2021 Community Grants Update, be received.

And that Council approve distribution of 2021 Community Grant budgeted funds of \$4,500.

Background and Analysis

Each year during the Annual Budget deliberations, Council considers a budget amount for Community Grant Funding. Eligible organizations are required to submit an application before the deadline outlining the need and uses for any funds requested. After the approval of the Annual Budget, staff review the applications for eligibility and present the applications for Council consideration. The purpose of this report is to provide information to Council on the applications received for the Community Grant Program for 2021; and to seek Council's decision on the distribution of 2021 budgeted funds.

On May 10, 2021 a letter from the Headwaters Food and Farming Alliance was brought forth to council requesting \$500. Council has requested that the Treasurer report back on the request through the Community Grant program. An application was received on May 7, 2021 from this organization with an updated request of \$1,000 in total. The funds will be used to support publishing costs related to the 2021 Headwaters Farm Fresh Guide for both the print guide and to update the online map and listing. This annual publication is a partnership between HFFA and In The Hills Magazine.

Two additional applications have been received since the previous update. The Orangeville & District Horticultural Society submitted an application that was received on April 27, 2021. They are requesting funding of \$2,000. The funding will be used

towards the maintenance and improvement of 11 community gardens. Celebrate Your Awesome submitted an application that was received on May 9, 2021. They are requesting funding of \$1,500. The funding will be used to cover costs associated with a virtual event including videography, sound, editing, performers, etc.

The 2021 approved budgeted amount for this program is \$80,000, of which, \$61,580 was previously approved by council to be used. Therefore, the remaining amount in this program is \$18,420. The total requests for 2021, including those mentioned above, result in a favourable variance of \$13,920.

Based on the eligibility requirements of the Community Grant Funding program (Table 1.0) we recommend that council approve the funding request of \$4,500.

Table 1.0

Eligibility Requirements	Celebrate Your Awesome	Headwaters Food and Family Alliance	Orangeville & District Horticultural Society
Demonstrable or potential social, economic benefit	Yes	Yes	Yes
In keeping with stated goals and objectives set by Council	Yes	Yes	Yes
Membership or registrants comprised of Orangeville residents	Yes	Yes	Yes
Services available to citizens in the community on an equal basis	Yes	Yes	Yes
Track record of providing value to the community for funding received	N/A	Yes	Yes
Demonstrates a reasonable effort to raise funds from other sources	Yes	Yes	Yes
Funds being received from other levels of government	No	Yes	Yes
Charitable or Not-for-profit organization	Neither	Yes	Neither
Application received by deadline	Yes	Yes	Yes

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Strong Governance

Objective: Financial Responsibility

Notice Provisions

None

Financial Impact

Favourable variance of \$13,920 (under budget).

Respectfully submitted

Nandini Syed, MPA, CMM III, CPA, CMA
Treasurer, Corporate Services

Prepared by

Rebecca Medeiros, CPA, CA
Financial Analyst - Operations

Reviewed by

Faye West, CPA, CGA
Deputy Treasurer, Corporate Services

Attachment(s): Not applicable

Subject: Proposed Fees and Charges – Clerk’s Division

Department: Corporate Services

Division: Clerks

Report #: CPS-2021-013

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That report CPS-2021-013 Proposed Fees and Charges – Clerk’s Division be received;

And that Council pass a by-law to implement the fees outlined in Report CPS-2021-013.

Background and Analysis

Section 391 (1) of the Municipal Act provides municipalities with the authority to imposes fees or charges on persons:

- (a) for services or activities provided or done by or on behalf of it;
- (b) for costs payable by it for services or activities provided or done by or on behalf of any other municipality or local board; and
- (c) for the use of its property including property under its control.

The proposed fees outlined below reflect the costs for providing the service which includes:

- Expenses
- Staff time to accept, review, process and issue a licence or commission a document.

Lottery Licences

The Alcohol and Gaming Commission permits a charge of up to 3% of the prize value to be charged as a lottery licence fee. The Town's practice has been to charge 3% of the prize value and staff do not recommend a change to this fee structure.

Marriage Licences

The implementation of an on-line marriage licence option for the public has created a verification process for staff with respect to the provision of marriage licenses which includes:

- a review of the marriage licence application and supporting documentation
- co-ordination of an appointment to issue the licence
- processing of payment

In the event of a cancellation once payment has been accepted, and before the marriage licence has been printed, a fee of \$25.00 is applied. The cost to the Town to purchase each marriage licence is \$48.00 and if an appointment is cancelled within 48 hours of the appointment time a fee of \$73.00 is applied.

Outlined below are current Town of Orangeville fees, comparator municipality fees as well as the proposed changes to the fees.

Fee Type	Current Orangeville Fee	Last Updated	Caledon	Centre Wellington	Shelburne	New Proposed Fee
Lottery Licence	3% of total prize value	Not applicable	3% of total prize value	3% of total prize value	3% of total prize value	No change
Marriage Licence Issuance	\$115.00 Note: Cost to the Town to purchase licence is \$48.00 per each licence	2007-12-10 (2007-107)	\$150.00	\$140.00	\$120.00	\$135.00 per each licence
Marriage Licence Cancellation – prior to printing	\$25.00	Not applicable	Nil	Nil	Nil	No change

Marriage Licence Cancellation – after printing	\$73.00	Not applicable	Nil	Nil	Nil	No change
Commissioner of Oaths	\$10.00 – first page \$15.00 – multiple pages	2004-09-01 (CL-2014-016)	\$33.90 1-4 documents \$57.63 5 or more documents	\$20.00	\$10.00 resident \$20.00 non-resident	\$20.00 per document to be commissioned

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Municipal Services

Objective: Respectful of the Cost and Impact to the Community

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Corporate and Fiscal

Strategy: Create and Integrate Sustainability Principles into Town Policies, Processes and Practices

Notice Provisions

Not Applicable

Financial Impact

The proposed fees are recommended to assist with the recovery of costs for providing the services.

Respectfully submitted

Reviewed by

Andrea McKinney
General Manager, Corporate Services

Karen Landry
Town Clerk, Corporate Services

Prepared by

Tracy Macdonald
Assistant Clerk, Corporate Services

Attachment(s): Not Applicable

Subject: Terms of Reference – Men’s Homelessness Committee

Department: Corporate Services

Division: Clerks

Report #: CPS-2021-043

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That Report CPS-2021-043 regarding the creation of a Men’s Homelessness Committee be received; and

That the terms of reference for a Men’s Homelessness Committee as outlined in Report CPS-2021-043 be approved; and

That staff proceed with the recruitment of members.

Background and Analysis

On April 26, 2021 Council passed the following resolution:

“Whereas women and children who find themselves in difficult circumstances, sometimes homeless, are supported by a strong network including Dufferin Child and Family Services and Family Transition Place

And whereas there are men that can also find themselves in difficult circumstances, including homelessness,

And whereas the Town of Orangeville is a caring and benevolent community that should be offering support to men in need,

And whereas there is a need for a men’s emergency shelter and other supporting resources in the Town of Orangeville,

That a Mayor’s Special Committee on Men’s Homelessness be created to explore options and approach various stakeholders to research the issue with the goal of resolving the men’s emergency shelter issue in Orangeville.”

Terms of Reference

Goals and Reporting to Council

The mandate of the Committee is to advise and identify options for the establishment of a permanent emergency shelter and support services to assist men in crisis.

The Committee will bring forward a recommendation report to Council on the following:

- completion of a needs assessment
- identification of options for the location of a permanent emergency shelter
- identification of operational needs, program delivery considerations and resources
- identification of funding sources and fundraising opportunities

Membership

The Committee shall consist of eleven (11) members comprised of:

- 3 Members of Council including the Mayor
- 6 citizens who reside in, work or volunteer in the Town of Orangeville
- 2 representatives from either of the following:
 - Dufferin County Community Advisory Board;
 - Dufferin County Health & Human Services Committee; or
 - Social Service Agency

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Community Stewardship

Objective: Engaged and Involved

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Social well-being

Strategy: Promote Orangeville as an engaged, inclusive, community for all

Notice Provisions

Staff will give notice inviting interested community members to apply.

Financial Impact

Staff resources are required to provide administrative support to the committee. The committee will report directly to Council regarding any funds required to support the completion of its objectives.

Respectfully submitted

Andrea McKinney
General Manager, Corporate Services

Prepared by

Karen Landry
Town Clerk, Corporate Services

Attachment(s): 1. Terms of Reference – Men's Homelessness Committee



Appendix A: Terms of Reference Template

Men's Homelessness Terms of Reference

Date Approved by Council: **May 31, 2021**

Sunset Date: Will dissolve upon final reporting to Council

Mandate:

To advise and explore options and approach various stakeholders to research the issue homelessness with the goal of resolving any men's emergency shelter issue in Orangeville.

Goals/Objectives:

The goals and objectives are to:

Phase I

- Review needs assessment currently underway through University of Guelph
- Meet with County Staff to review current status of men's homelessness in Orangeville and Dufferin County

Phase II

- Evaluate completed needs assessment and formulate recommendations regarding next steps
- Report to Council on the results of the needs assessment and recommendations regarding next steps including any funding requirements

The Committee may also invite representatives from social service agencies and other organizations that have subject matter expertise to share information about resources, identify service needs and provide feedback to the Committee.

Reporting to Council:

The Committee will report to Council through the distribution of minutes as well as the submission of report(s) which will identify any funding requirements to support the completion of its objectives.

Enabling Legislation, By-Law or Staff Report:

Council passed resolution 2021-167 to establish a committee to explore options and approach various stakeholders on Men's Homelessness and the establishment of Men's Emergency Shelter in Orangeville.

Committee Composition:

The Committee shall consist of nine (9) members comprised of:

- 3 Members of Council including the Mayor
- 6 citizens who reside in, work or volunteer in the Town of Orangeville

Skills Requested

Individuals with service sector experience in housing and outreach, emergency shelter, drop-ins, mental health, addictions, legal and youth or who experience/have experienced homelessness or are directly impacted by homelessness.

Administration Section

Department Linkage: CAO's Office

Staff Support: Executive Assistant to the Mayor and CAO

Meeting Frequency: Monthly or at the call of the Chair

**Subject: Sign Variance Application – 215 Centennial Road -
Dollarama**

Department: Corporate Services

Division: By-law/Property Standards

Report #: CPS-2021-046

Meeting Date: 2021-05-31

Recommendations

That Report CPS-CL-2021-46, regarding Sign Variance Application – Dollarama – 215 Centennial Rd be received; and

That Council grant a variance to Sign By-law 28-2013, as amended to permit five (5) window signs at 215 Centennial Rd covering 100% of the storefront windows which exceeds the 25% coverage permitted in the by-law; and

That the variance approval be conditional on the applicant obtaining a Sign Permit.

Background and Analysis

In 2013, Council passed Sign By-law 28-2013 to regulate the quantity and quality of signs throughout the Town.

In early March 2021, By-law Division staff received correspondence from Louis-Charles Guy, Ad Display, on behalf of Dollarama at 215 Centennial Road, requesting the placement of five (5) window signs on the premise.

The proposal submitted by Ad Display, showed five (5) vinyl window graphic signs “lifestyle images” measuring 9.25m² (99.5 sq. ft) per window in total sign area (1.78 metres by 5.35 metres). The construction and design of the signs are of a lightweight vinyl material with the purpose of resolving an interior heating problem within the store. A drawing of the proposed signage has been attached as **Attachment #1** for reference.

By-law Division staff conducted a review of the proposal in conjunction with the regulations of Sign By-law 28-2013, as amended. As per section 4.8. titled “Signs for Which a Permit is not Necessary” provides a comprehensive listing of sign types for which permits are not required. However, section 4.8 does provide regulations for these various signs. Section 4.8 subsection (J) allows for the placement of window signs provided the sign(s) do not exceed 25% of the total window area.

Attachment #2 titled “store front elevation” shows the exterior windows facing the parking lot of 215 Centennial Rd. The building shows five (5) blackened windows, which have been fully painted from the interior of the store which is 100% coverage of the window area, as shown as **Attachment #3**. The applicant has indicated that these window coverings tend to crack and break due to sun exposure.

The address in question is a high traffic mixed commercial/retail plaza with food services, medical and retail businesses. Dollarama, being an anchor tenant, provides regular traffic to and from the plaza. The additional window signs add a more attractive and appealing look in contrast to the existing window coverings, and By-law Division staff support the proposed variance.

Strategic Alignment

Orangeville Forward – Strategic Plan

Priority Area: Strong Governance

Objective: Transparent and fair decision making processes

Sustainable Neighbourhood Action Plan

Theme: Not applicable

Strategy: Not applicable

Notice Provisions

Not applicable.

Financial Impact

Revenues have been collected for the sign variance fee of \$200.00. Additional revenue for the sign permit fee of \$1000.00 will be submitted by the applicant upon approval of the variance.

Respectfully submitted

Reviewed by

Andrea McKinney
General Manager, Corporate Services

Karen Landry
Town Clerk, Corporate Services


Prepared by

Chris Johnston,
By-law and Property Standards Officer, Corporate Services

Attachment(s):

1. Proposed Signage
2. Store Front Elevation
3. Existing Window Coverings


ATTACHMENT #1 – PROPOSED SIGNAGE



ATTACHMENT #2 – STORE FRONT ELEVATION



ATTACHMENT #3 – EXISTING WINDOW COVERINGS



From: Sabrina VanGerven <svangerven@townofgrandvalley.ca>
Sent: Friday, April 30, 2021 10:29 AM
To: fred.simpson@townofmono.com; rknechtel@mulmur.ca; tatkinson@mulmur.ca; dholmes@melancthontownship.ca; Susan Stone <ssstone@eastgarafraxa.ca>; Jessica Kennedy <jkennedy@eastgarafraxa.ca>; Nicole Martin <nmartin@amaranth.ca>; jwilloughby@shelburne.ca; info <info@orangeville.ca>
Cc: Meghan Townsend <mtownsend@townofgrandvalley.ca>
Subject: Resolution of Grand Valley Council

Good morning,

At the April 27, 2021 regular meeting of Council the following resolution was passed:

2021-04-47

Moved by S Miles, Seconded by E Taylor

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Council supports the 3 Board Police Services Model proposed by the Town of Mono;

AND FURTHER directs staff to circulate a copy of this resolution to all municipalities in Dufferin County.

CARRIED

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Kind regards,
Sabrina VanGerven

Sabrina VanGerven | Deputy Clerk/Communications Coordinator
Town of Grand Valley | 5 Main Street North, Grand Valley, ON L9W 5S6

From: Denise Holmes <dholmes@melancthontownship.ca>

Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2021 4:00 PM

Good afternoon,

At the meeting of Council held on May 6, 2021, the below motion from Mulmur Township was discussed and the following motion was introduced and passed:

Moved by White, Seconded by Mercer

Be it resolved that: "Council endorses Mulmur Township's motion regarding the Police Service Board Composition, in its entirety, and directs the Clerk to share this motion with the other municipalities in Dufferin." **Carried.**

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Thank you.

*Denise B. Holmes, AMCT
CAO/Clerk, Township of Melancthon
519-925-5525 Ext. 101*

Please be advised that effective April 19, 2021, the Office will be closed to the Public due to the Extended State of Emergency/Stay-At-Home Order issued on April 17, 2021 until further notice. If you require assistance, please contact the office at 519-925-5525, as Staff are still working in the Office. We may be able to provide 'curbside pick-up' of some items. We are encouraging everyone to take advantage of the online services offered for payment of taxes, payment of dog tags, fire permits, planning applications,

etc.

From: Roseann Knechtel <rknechtel@mulmur.ca>

Sent: Thursday, May 6, 2021 11:57 AM

Hi Everyone,

Please find the attached motion passed at the Mulmur Council meeting on May 5, 2021.

6.2 Police Service Board Composition

Moved by Cufaro and Seconded by Clark

That Council supports being included in a rural municipalities Police Service Board;

AND THAT Council requests that each municipality would have a Council representative on the Police Services Board;

AND THAT Council support the inclusion of citizen representation;

AND THAT Council support the removal of Provincial Appointees;

AND FURTHER THAT Council supports the completion of the online proposal to the Solicitor General as selected by the Mayor's sub-committee.

	Yea	Nay
Councillor Boxem	Y	
Councillor Clark	Y	
Councillor Cufaro	Y	
Deputy Mayor Hawkins	Y	
Mayor Horner	Y	

CARRIED.

If you have any questions or concerns please let me know.

Have a great day,

Roseann Knechtel, BA, MMC | Deputy Clerk / Planning Coordinator

Township of Mulmur | 758070 2nd Line East | Mulmur, Ontario L9V 0G8

Phone 705-466-3341 ext. 223 | Fax 705-466-2922 | rknechtel@mulmur.ca

This message (including attachments, if any) is intended to be confidential and solely for the addressee. If you received this e-mail in error, please delete it and advise me immediately. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free and the sender does not accept liability for errors or omissions.



April 16, 2021

Hon. Sylvia Jones,
Solicitor General
18th Floor, 25 Grosvenor Street
Toronto, Ontario M7A 1Y6

Dear Madame Minister:

We are in receipt of your letter of March 17, 2021 regarding a OPP Detachment Board Framework. This response includes comments on your proposal and incorporates suggestions we were in the process of developing when your letter arrived.

Police Service Board Experience in the Town of Mono

At the outset, you should know the current Police Services Board (PSB) model has served Mono well since 1998 when we became one of the first municipalities in Ontario to enter into a contract with the OPP.

The original contract reflected the same level of policing we received prior to 1998. Within a few years we elected to further contract for three-quarters of a full-time officer specifically to address speeding and other Highway Traffic Act offences. That arrangement has worked so well that last year, we increased this enhancement by a further 50% of a full-time officer's time.

Our PSB has three members, one of whom is appointed from the community, the other is a member of Mono Council while the third is a provincial appointee. A member of Mono staff serves as secretary. The Mono PSB meets at most quarterly. Meetings are open to the public. Per diems paid last year totalled \$540. The Council representative is not remunerated and the municipality remunerates the Province's appointee.

The Association of Ontario Municipalities (AMO) Position on PSBs

All municipalities should have representation on a Detachment PSB.

We agree.

The Province should cease making appointments to OPP Detachment PSBs.

We agree.

AMO argues provincially appointed members bring nothing to their role that isn't covered by community members appointed by municipalities. There is good precedence for exclusive municipal appointment of community representatives, Alberta, Quebec, Saskatchewan and Manitoba being examples of provinces where municipalities name community representatives.

Moreover, the rate at which provincial appointees are named is a problem that spans multiple governments and is on-going. There are currently no fewer than 31 vacancies province wide representing over 12% of all provincially appointed PSB positions including one in Melancthon; vacant now for 3 years.

The Detachment Model as Applies to Dufferin

When the process to examine PSB structure started we were assured of two things. First, flexibility to meet local circumstances and second, consultation. Dufferin County's opportunity to participate in a Regional Roundtable on PSB structure occurred on February 28, 2020 in Orillia. Due to one of the worst storms that winter, only one person from Dufferin was able to attend this last in a series of consultations. An email was sent that day to Ministry organizers asking for another opportunity to present our views. It went unanswered.

The current request for a position on PSB structure lacks any flexibility. We are directed to submit a form to be filled out by one municipality on behalf of all municipalities. The Province's insistence on matching local community appointments effectively forces us to choose between elected or community representation on PSBs or potentially no representation at all for some if we are to keep PSBs reasonably sized.

Dufferin County is not monolithic in terms of policing needs and priorities. Orangeville and Shelburne have challenges and issues not shared by rural neighbours including a recent transition to OPP policing. Rural municipalities have issues with speeding and property issues not shared to the same extent by urbanized municipalities.

All municipalities share in common a very sharp decline in Provincial Offence charge revenue (50% or more) This revenue offsets, at least in part, policing costs. This is a problem that remains unaddressed by the Province and the Courts.

Options for Dufferin

Our preferred option is to leave the current structure and governance in place, at least for the time being. The Provincial initiative appears to be a solution searching for a problem. Local PSBs cost the Province nothing as we remunerate membership. If the issue is that OPP Detachment Commanders are forced to attend too many meetings, this can be addressed. We take no issue with capping the number of meetings or per diem paid to PSB members. If there are other problems with some PSBs, then address those problems individually.

If the status quo is not possible, another possible model could be three PSBs representing the following municipal groupings:

1. Orangeville and Shelburne
2. Amaranth, Grand Valley and East Garafraxa
3. Mono, Mulmur and Melancthon

Rationale

Orangeville and Shelburne are urbanized and share similar challenges. Moreover, they are new to OPP policing and will have transitional issues for some time.

The other two municipal groupings: Amaranth, Grand Valley and East Garafraxa; and Mono, Mulmur and Melancthon, have very similar policing issues and challenges. Both groupings have urbanized areas but are predominantly rural in nature.

Membership

The overall objective is to ensure council, local and Provincial representation in an appropriate balance. This can be achieved with each municipality appointing at least one councillor and a member of the public. If the Province also appoints a representative, it will result in 9 member PSBs for Mono, Mulmur and Melancthon; and Amaranth, Grand Valley and East Garafraxa.

The PSB for Orangeville/Shelburne could have three councillors for each municipality along with one community member appointed by each Council. If matched by Provincial appointments, the result would be a 10 member board. This option would reduce the number of attendances for the Detachment Commander while preserving elected and community representation on Dufferin PSBs.

We are pleased to discuss this further with you and your officials.

Regards,

TOWN OF MONO



Laura Ryan
Mayor

cc: All Dufferin Municipalities



MINUTES

JOINT POLICE SERVICES BOARD (PSB)

Wednesday April 23rd, 2021

9:00 am – ZOOM Electronic Meeting

Present: Althea Alli –Shelburne
Heather Asling – Orangeville
Tracey Atkinson – Mulmur
Alan Blundell - Melancthon
John Creelman - Mono
Bob Currie –Amaranth
Wayne Evans – Mono
Mike Fazackerley - Shelburne
Denise Holmes – Melancthon
Roseann Knechtel - Mulmur Secretary
Walter Kolodziechuk – Amaranth
Karen Landry – Orangeville
Josh MacEwen – Member of the Public
Nicole Martin – Amaranth
Ian McSweeney – Orangeville
Jeff Sedgwick – Chair Mulmur
Todd Taylor - Orangeville
Meghan Townsend – Grand Valley
Mike Walker – Mono
Inspector Terry Ward – Dufferin OPP
Darryn White - Melancthon
Amie Zukowski - Amaranth

1. a) Call to Order by the Chair

The meeting was called to order at 9:00 a.m. by Chair Jeff Sedgwick

2. Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest – None

3. Approval of the Agenda

Moved by Currie and Seconded by Evans

THAT the Agenda for the Joint Police Services Board be approved as amended to add a verbal update from the Joint Mayor's Meeting to item 7.2 as well as remove Mike Walker's name from item 7.4.

Carried.

4. Approval of Minutes – October 23, 2020

Moved by Creelman and Seconded by Evans

THAT the Minutes of the Joint Meeting of the Dufferin County Section 10 Police Services Board dated October 23, 2020 approved.

Carried.

5. Business Arising from the Minutes - None

6. Presentations/Delegations – None

7. New Business (Discussion Items)

7.1 Welcome to new Inspector Terry Ward

Chair Sedgwick welcomed Inspector Terry Ward

7.2 Police Service Board Changes

7.2.1 Verbal Update from Joint Mayors Meeting – Darryn White

A verbal update was provided. Mayors from five municipalities met to discuss and gather information surrounding the letter from the Solicitor General and future Police Service Board compositions. Members discussed next steps.

7.2.2 Letter from Solicitor General re: composition proposal

7.2.3 Board Proposal Process

7.2.4 Board Proposal Q&A

7.2.5 Invitation from Solicitor General to Info Session

Moved by McSweeney and Seconded by White

That the Joint PSB supports consideration of the Town of Mono's proposal and recommends the mayors move forward with examining the Town of Mono's proposal and engage their Councils and police services boards for approval;
AND FURTHERMORE, that they appoint an individual to submit comments to the Solicitor General through the online portal.

Carried.

7.3 Community Safety and Wellbeing Plan Update

7.3.1 Dufferin Report to Committee, January 28, 2021

7.3.2 Letter from Solicitor General re: CSWB Plan

7.4 Traffic Dampering – Using Cut-outs of Various Images

Member Blundell presented the use of cut-outs as traffic and speed deterrents. Members were supportive of the idea and are interested to hear Melancthon's results.

7.5 Towing By-law – Karen Landry

Karen Landry provided a verbal update on the status of creating a uniform Towing By-law for Dufferin County. Members provided comments and direction on chasing and ensuring pounds are kept in Dufferin County. Landry will move forward with the comments received and will bring a draft bylaw to the Board at a future date.

8. Correspondence (Information Items)

- 8.1. Ministry of the Attorney General – Letter dated December 8, 2020
Re: Expanding the Use of Certified Evidence in Provincial Offences Act Courts
- 8.2 Ontario Improving Safety and Enforcement for Towing Industry, March 2, 2021

Moved by Currie and Seconded by Walker

THAT the correspondence (Information) Items be received.

Carried.

9. OPP Report

Inspector Terry Ward provided verbal update which including the amalgamation of Orangeville and Shelburne Police Service and the creation of new units and positions.

Moved by Creelman and Seconded by Currie

THAT the Detachment Report verbal update from Inspector Ward be received.

Carried.

10. Other Business and Items for Future Meetings

11. Closed Session – None

12. Confirm Proceedings

Moved by Kolodziechuk and Seconded by Evans

THAT all actions of the Joint Police Services Board, with respect to every matter addressed and/or adopted by the Board on the above date, are hereby adopted, ratified, and confirmed, and that each motion, resolution, and other action taken by the Board members and officers at the meeting held on the above date are hereby adopted, ratified and confirmed.

Carried.

13. Adjourn/Next Meeting Date

Moved by Blundell and Seconded by Creelman

THAT the meeting of the Section 10 Joint Police Services Board adjourn at 10:35 am to meet again of October 22, 2021 at 9:00am and that such meeting is to be hosted by the Town of Orangeville.

Carried.

May 31 2021

Report to Council – OPP Detachment Boards

The Ontario Association of Police Service Boards (OAPSB) states that the responsibilities of Municipal Police Service Boards are two-fold:

- establishing priorities, objectives and policies for police services in their community;
- monitoring performance of their police service and its leader

Municipal Councils are elected to provide governance for all departments of the Municipality – including Policing as laid out in the Municipal Act. Policing is largest component of the Municipal Budget in every municipality in Ontario. Yet, in Orangeville 5 members of a majority non-elected committee have been given the authority to oversee multi-million dollar spending decisions – without the Municipality being involved. In Orangeville’s case the Article 29 Disbandment Clause inserted by the 2014-2018 PSB into the Uniform and Civilian Collective Bargaining Agreements and into Executive contracts was put in as a purely punitive measure to dissuade any further Town Council from considering a contract with OPP. In the case of the last Collectively Bargained Agreements, Orangeville Town Staff was removed from the meetings when this financially damaging clause was put into the agreements.

The PSB is supposed to be an oversight body. A referee, so to speak, between the Municipality and the Police Force. Unfortunately, in Orangeville’s case, the PSB was run out of the Police Chief’s office in a clear conflict of interest. When I became Chair of the Police Service Board – the agendas were prepared by the Executive Assistant to the Police Chief. Meetings were held in the secure Police Station instead of in a neutral site – where members of the Public could feel free to comment, positively or negatively about the operation of the Police Service. Open and transparent Police Service Boards are not run out of the Police Station. Historically, the PSB was too close to the Police Service in Orangeville.

The bold step taken by this Town Council to move forward, despite the financial land mines set by the last Police Service Board – and the subsequent financial decisions by the Chief of Police after the OPP decision to further damage the fiscal operations of the Police Service – and ultimately the Town of Orangeville – has resulted in an incredible improvement in the quality of policing in Orangeville and Dufferin County. Other issues uncovered by the Stephen Fisher trial illustrated that the Police Service was dysfunctional and the PSB was ineffective in controlling the quality of Policing in Orangeville.

All of what I have witnessed has led me to the conclusion that Police Service Boards do not work. They have not worked effectively in Orangeville for decades. It is my firm opinion, based on close observation of the Police Service and the Police Service Board – that the elected members of the Town Council are fully capable – and have been given the power through the Municipal Act to govern all departments of the Municipality. In the attached letter from AMO providing guidance to Municipalities – it states - ***“If municipalities are to be adequately represented on consolidated OPP detachment boards, the province should relinquish responsibility for provincial appointments to OPP detachment boards”***

This underlines the point that the responsibility for oversight of Policing in the Municipality should lie with the elected Town Council – not provincial political appointees. Effectively, through the existing legislation the Province has told the Municipality that you have to pay for policing in your Town – but you don’t have fiscal control over major contract and budgetary decisions. Under the OPP – that power

has been taken from the PSB. The contract with OPP is with Orangeville Town Council. Orangeville Town Council now makes fiscal decisions regarding policing.

Another interesting recommendation of the May 2021 AMO report is the suggested inclusion of Municipal Employees on Police Services Boards. From the AMO letter -

*In **Alberta**, police boards (called policed commissions or committees) are composed entirely of municipal council appointees which include municipal staff and community representatives.*

*Similarly in **Quebec**, Surete du Quebec (SQ) policed municipalities have public security committees composed of 4-7 members of a municipal council.*

*In **Saskatchewan**, police commissions are composed of all municipally appointed representatives, including the mayor, councillors, and community representatives (members at large).*

Manitoba permits the appointment of municipal employees to boards

My position is clear – Municipalities do not need Police Service Boards with provincial political appointees. It would make much more sense to me that the CAO of a municipality was involved in a Policing Oversight Committee. Let me re-iterate, the Town Council, as elected by the residents and taxpayers is fully capable of providing governance over Police Matters – in fact, anything to the contrary flies in the face of transparency and democracy. Adding trusted municipal staff to the Policing Oversight Committee would only strengthen it.

The letter that will come from Orangeville Town Council to the Solicitor General will be developed through consensus and voted on by all 7 members of Council. There are other divergent views. I look forward to the discussion and will accept and support the wishes of Council

Option 1 – status quo – leave the PSB set up as it is.

Option 2 – Dufferin County Joint PSB Statement – a joint meeting of the Dufferin County Police Service Boards adopted a recommendation of one (1) OPP Detachment Board for the urban municipalities of Orangeville and Shelburne and two (2) OPP Detachment Boards for the combined municipalities of a) Mulmur, Melancthon and Mono and b) Grand Valley, Amaranth and East Garafraxa

Option 3 – the Orangeville PSB has weighed in wishing to keep its autonomy

Option 4 – Mayor's recommendation that PSB's be disbanded under OPP when the new CSPA becomes law and that the Detachment Commander is required to report quarterly to Town Council to discuss issues and be available for additional meetings in the event of Special Circumstances. This arrangement would allow the input and support of all senior Town Staff.

Option 5 – a Dufferin County Police Commission which is composed of the Mayor's and CAO's of each municipality.

Sincerely

Mayor Sandy Brown
Town of Orangeville

New Ontario Provincial Police Detachment Boards: Building a Framework for Better Policing Governance

Discussion Paper

May 1, 2020

Introduction

Police service boards are the vital link between the police and democratic governance.

This is just as true for municipalities who contract with the Ontario Provincial Police for public safety services.

The government has launched a consultation with municipalities on re-constituting OPP Detachment Boards. With all governments now seized with COVID-19 emergency response, all consultations on new policing regulations have now ceased. The immediate public health crisis is the first priority of the provincial and municipal governments. Consideration of the issues raised in this paper must not distract from that priority. However, policing regulation discussions will resume at some point in the months ahead. It remains valuable for municipalities to consider what the future of police governance should look like once that conversation restarts.

At present, OPP boards are aligned within existing municipal boundaries. In the future, the government is aiming to create regional or detachment-based boundaries for boards (with some exceptions). However, the details of these new boards have not been determined. This is an opportunity for municipalities to provide input to the government on board boundaries, the size and composition of those boards, and whether provincial appointees continue to be made.

The government has not made any decisions on these issues. If any municipal council or a group of councils has suggestions on how these boards should be established, this is an opportunity to put those ideas forward.

This paper is not an exhaustive list of issues presented by the establishment of new OPP detachment boards. Rather it is intended to help guide municipal input to the Ministry and lay the groundwork for a successful transition to new boards. It asserts a number of key principles to inform the discussion and attempts to lay the framework for the future of successful OPP governance at a local or regional level.

Background

Policing is a vital local service. Out of fiscal necessity, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) has put forward comprehensive recommendations during the provincial government's lengthy review of policing legislation in recent years. The need to modernize the delivery of this service is well-documented in the many submissions AMO has made to the government on behalf of our municipal members. Here are three examples:

In 2014, AMO's OPP Billing Steering Committee put forward a [report](#) which researched options to equitably allocate policing costs for municipalities which use the Ontario Provincial Police. The Committee conducted a review of the government's proposed billing model and examined other model options so implications could be understood. It also underscored the need for efficiency and effectiveness improvements for policing in general.

In 2015, AMO's Policing Modernization Task Force issued its [report](#) which included 34 recommendations on how to modernize policing for the future. The task force interviewed experts, reviewed the best academic research available, sent representatives to the 2015 Summit on the Economics of Policing and Community Safety in Ottawa, and had thorough and lengthy discussions

on specific issues about the future of policing. These recommendations were divided into four key themes: Partnership, Productivity, Performance, and Personnel.

In 2016, AMO issued a *Municipal Guide to Police Services Act Consultations*. The [guide](#) highlighted municipal issues associated with community safety and well-being planning; modernizing what police do; the education and training of officers; and accountability to the public and governance.

In particular, the Policing Modernization Report prioritized three key recommendations above all else:

1. Make changes to the interest arbitration system.
2. Improve the quality of the existing governance and civilian oversight system.
3. Make legislative changes to permit the greater transfer of specific functions to civilians or other security providers where appropriate.

In 2019, the Ontario Legislature passed Bill 68 the *Comprehensive Ontario Police Services Act, 2019*. While the Act did not address interest arbitration, it did make some significant changes to the second two priorities – improving governance and providing some allowance for the role that civilians play in delivering public safety and security.

With the legislation passed, the focus of this paper is on the regulations needed to support priority recommendation #2 as it pertains to OPP detachment boards.

Guiding Principles

Key principles and objectives which guide AMO on the issue of establishing new OPP local governance boards include the following:

1. Policing governance is a valuable means of ensuring community expectations are reflected in how a community is policed.
2. Good governance of policing matters to municipalities of all sizes, contract or not.
3. Municipalities should be provided every opportunity to develop and propose locally developed board composition ideas to the province.
4. Successful governance includes provincial support and funding for training new board members in alignment with the municipal electoral cycle.
5. All municipalities should have the opportunity to select a representative on an OPP detachment board.
6. Community or municipal staff representatives (i.e. municipally selected, non-elected officials) should serve on OPP detachment boards wherever possible.
7. To achieve municipal representation, detachment-based boards (or portions of a detachment) will need to be larger than they have been in the past.
8. If municipalities are to be adequately represented on consolidated OPP detachment boards, the province should relinquish responsibility for provincial appointments to OPP detachment boards.
9. Detachment boundaries should change in cases where it would support good governance and municipal representation.

10. Explore the potential use of DSSABs as OPP detachment boards in the north through discussions with FONOM, NOMA, DSSABs, and northern municipalities on a case by case basis. This could be a potential opportunity to align social services with policing in ways that have been provincially mandated through municipal community safety and well-being planning and which minimize administrative duplication. (See below for specific considerations and exemptions.)
11. OPP detachment board operation costs should, 1) be provincially supported through training and grants and 2) equitably distributed between municipalities.
12. Memoranda of Understanding with the Ministry of the Attorney General or transfer agreements between municipalities which govern *Provincial Offences Act* administration and fine revenue distribution may need to be updated depending on local circumstance.

Government Consultations to date - Regional Roundtables – OPP Detachment Boards

The government recently held seven discussion meetings across the province in February 2020 focusing on OPP detachment boards and the new policing legislation. The province did not lead discussions that provided specific details on how boards would be reconstituted. No plans have been announced.

Key municipal considerations included:

Structure of Boards and Local Say

- There shall be one OPP detachment board per detachment (with flexibility for unique circumstances/geography). A board's composition, terms of office, and remuneration will be provided for in regulations and has yet to be determined.
- In effect, these changes extend police governance to about 200 municipalities (which do not have a board, i.e. Section 5.1) but will consolidate multiple existing boards within a detachment.

Activity of Boards

- Boards shall determine local objectives, priorities, and policies in consultation with the Detachment Commander, consistent with the Solicitor General's strategic plan for the OPP.
- The Commissioner of the OPP shall consult with a Board regarding the selection of the Detachment Commander.
- The Detachment Commander shall prepare and adopt a local action plan in consultation with the board.
- Training for board members will become mandatory (Ministry support and funding is needed).

Financial Considerations

- There will be no distinction between contract and non-contract in the future. Effectively all policing will become contract.
- The focus of the billing-related regulations will be to address transition matters and to account for service differences between municipalities as well as existing contracts expiring at the end of 2020.

- It should be noted, billing model changes will not lower the overall cost of policing for the municipal sector.

AMO has impressed upon the Ministry of the need for:

- Open and transparent discussions;
- A recognition that policing is fundamentally local (i.e. it is important to maintain the close proximity of a community to its board and the police);
- Locally workable governance arrangements; and
- The representation of every municipal council.

Considerations for municipalities without existing detachment boards

Boards are an opportunity to expand the democratic oversight and governance of policing. In the words of Sir Robert Peel, the father of modern policing, “the police are the public and the public are the police.”

A detachment board helps to align policing objectives, priorities, and policies with community expectations. If your municipality is unaccustomed to having a board, the establishment of a board is an opportunity for a municipality of any size to have a greater say and establish a relationship with your Detachment Commander and the officers who police your community. It is also an opportunity to align municipal public safety expectations with those of neighbouring communities and clearly express those views in a coordinated manner with the Detachment Commander.

There is also the simple fact that policing is all the better for it. Good governance includes police officers who know their work matters to people who care. Good governance includes Chiefs and Detachment Commanders who are supported. Boards legitimize the work of the police. Municipal elected officials ask the public to pay for all of it and therefore municipalities need a say in policing on behalf of the community, through a board. It should not be viewed as an imposition but rather a democratic opportunity.

Financially and administratively, transfer agreements between municipalities regarding *Provincial Offences Act* fine revenue may need to be updated. This might include the need to review the Memoranda of Understanding with the Ministry of the Attorney General depending on local circumstance.

For communities with existing OPP boards

The legislation aims to consolidate existing municipal board boundaries with OPP detachment board boundaries (thus potentially including multiple neighbouring municipalities in the same detachment). However, the legislation provides for flexibility to address unique geographic circumstances. If you feel your area’s needs are unique, help the Ministry understand that uniqueness in a province-wide context.

In addition, attendees to roundtable meetings were told the Ministry is open to considering board composition suggestions from municipalities within regions or detachments. This is an opportunity to potentially shape the composition of a board in your area and develop a local solution.

While legislation dictates the size and composition of municipal police service boards (non-OPP), no such restriction exists for OPP detachment boards at present. Also undetermined at this point is

which bodies (provincial or municipal or both) will appoint board members. Municipal police service boards (non-OPP) have municipally and provincially appointed representatives. Future OPP detachment boards could be composed entirely of municipal appointees. Please see below for more information regarding provincial appointees.

Provincial Appointees

AMO values the importance of all police service/OPP detachment board members regardless of which authority has made the appointment. AMO's commentary on provincial appointees is not intended to detract from the contributions these individuals have made to good governance.

However, one of the issues which has historically plagued policing governance are delays associated with the provincial government making its appointments in a timely way.

Unfilled provincial appointees make good governance more difficult. AMO sought legislative change to improve the provincial appointment process for all boards (OPP and municipal) but that change did not occur in legislation. The need remains and it can still be addressed in regulations for OPP policed municipalities.

Unfilled or delayed provincial appointments are an impediment to diversity, representativeness and good governance practice. According to the Ontario Association of Police Service Boards, in March 2017 over 90 of 250 provincial board positions were unfilled and vacant. Challenges with timely provincial appointments are a long-standing historical issue which is not confined to 2017.

To be clear, the province already has a significant role to play with the OPP. The provincial government hires the Commissioner and negotiates the collective agreement with the Ontario Provincial Police Association. Municipalities pay the contracts for the services of the OPP to deliver local public safety. Communities need local representatives who can be diligently selected and, on the job, without the delays and extended vacancies associated with the provincial OPP detachment board appointment process. Diligent selection of appointees is now enshrined in law. Now is the time to let municipalities meet those legal expectations without the red tape of appointments from Queen's Park.

Given the above issues, and if municipalities are to be adequately represented on consolidated boards, it is the time to dispense with provincial appointees to OPP detachment boards. There is plenty of Canadian precedence for fully municipally appointed police service boards:

In **Alberta**, police boards (called policed commissions or committees) are composed entirely of municipal council appointees which include municipal staff and community representatives.

Similarly in **Quebec**, Surete du Quebec (SQ) policed municipalities have public security committees composed of 4-7 members of a municipal council.

In **Saskatchewan**, police commissions are composed of all municipally appointed representatives, including the mayor, councillors, and community representatives (members at large).

Manitoba permits the appointment of municipal employees to boards.

Therefore, while some municipalities might like to keep existing boards as they are, there is also an opportunity for fully municipally appointed boards, subject to provincial regulation. This would be a step in the right direction.

Other Appointees

There is precedence in Canada for municipal staff members to be appointed to police service boards (i.e. Manitoba and Alberta). Municipal staff representation on OPP detachment boards in Ontario could help to better support, for example, the policy drafting functions of a board.

Community representatives (as selected by a municipal council, but not elected officials) have also played an important role in reflecting community expectations and policing governance. That should continue in the future provided all municipalities are represented.

Northern District Social Services Administration Boards (DSSAB)

Existing board structures between multiple municipalities currently exist in Northern Ontario through District Social Services Administration Boards. These existing structures and board representation frameworks could be used to function as an OPP detachment board. Thus, a DSSAB could fulfill a dual role – existing social service responsibilities and a new mandate of policing.

Individual municipal governments would continue to be billed separately for OPP services. Therefore, existing rules regarding DSSAB apportionment of costs would NOT apply.

Given the provincial desire for a greater alignment of community safety and well-being objectives with policing, DSSABs could be an effective vehicle for such alignment. This is especially the case when considering the new municipal mandate of required community safety and well-being plan development.

Of course, there would need to be some specific carve outs for a DSSAB functioning as an OPP detachment board. First, northern cities with their own police services would need to be excluded from OPP detachment board composition. Second, representation from unincorporated areas on boards would need to be restricted given that municipal property taxes are not paid in these areas. Third, the expense of an OPP detachment board would need to be divided only among those using the OPP.

The appropriateness of DSSABs fulfilling this added function is best assessed on a case by case basis. Some DSSABs have multiple OPP detachments within them. What works in one catchment area (or district) might not work in another.

This idea is subject to the review, consideration, and input of FONOM, NOMA, DSSABs, and northern municipalities. AMO emphasizes municipal self-determination and cooperation in re-establishing OPP Boards. The idea is best assessed at a local level.

OPP Advisory Council

The establishment of this Council is to provide advice to the Solicitor General with respect to the use of the Solicitor General's powers related to the OPP. More generally, this change will enhance civilian governance of the OPP.

The AMO Board has recently adopted a position regarding the Council's composition. With over 300 municipalities using the services of the OPP, AMO seeks the authority to recommend municipal appointees to the Council. AMO's position is that half of Council's composition should be designated municipal appointees.

In addition, given the purpose of the Council, no member should be a former or current member of an Ontario police service or police association. This emphasizes the distinction between employee and employer and the civilian role in the function of advising the Solicitor General.

Conclusion and Next Steps

This paper aimed to summarise some key issues and assert principles to guide the new OPP detachment board framework. AMO encourages municipalities to share their thoughts, questions and board proposals. Together, and with provincial leadership, we can build a successful local governance framework for policing in over 300 municipalities.

The twelve principles are designed to establish a framework for successful governance which emphasizes municipal self-determination and cooperation in re-establishing OPP boards. While the government's regulatory development is on hold, this is an opportunity to discuss with neighbouring municipalities, locally workable options regarding board representation to present to the Ministry.

For additional questions, please contact:
Matthew Wilson, Senior Advisor, mwilson@amo.on.ca or 416-971-9856 extension 323.

Solicitor General

Office of the Solicitor General

25 Grosvenor Street, 18th Floor
Toronto ON M7A 1Y6
Tel: 416 325-0408
MCSCS.Feedback@Ontario.ca

Solliciteur général

Bureau du solliciteur général

25, rue Grosvenor, 18^e étage
Toronto ON M7A 1Y6
Tél. : 416 325-0408
MCSCS.Feedback@Ontario.ca



132-2021-404
By email

March 17, 2021

Dear Heads of Council and First Nations Chiefs:

I am writing to provide you with an update on the new Ontario Provincial Police (OPP) detachment boards under the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019* (CSPA).

As you may remember, in February 2020, the Ministry of the Solicitor General conducted seven regional roundtable sessions across the province. Discussions at these sessions focused on new OPP-related regulatory requirements under the CSPA. Municipalities and First Nation communities receiving policing services from the OPP were invited to learn more about new OPP-related legislative and regulatory requirements and provide the ministry with feedback to inform the development of related regulatory proposals. In addition, we heard from many of you through various letters and engagement opportunities, including meetings with the Association of Municipalities of Ontario MOU Table and Rural Ontario Municipal Association about what you would like your new OPP detachment board to look like.

In response to your feedback, an OPP detachment board framework has been developed that we hope will provide municipalities and First Nation communities receiving direct and/or supplemental services from the OPP the flexibility to create a board that reflects your community and local needs.

Under this framework, municipalities and First Nation communities receiving direct and/or supplemental services from an OPP detachment are being asked to submit one proposal (per detachment) indicating the composition of their board and, if needed, a rationale for multiple boards and the composition of each additional board.

Municipalities and First Nations within a detachment are asked to work together to determine the composition of their board(s) as well as the manner in which they will submit their proposal to the ministry. For example, after determining the composition of the detachment board(s), municipalities and First Nations within a detachment area may select one municipality or First Nation to complete and submit the proposal.

../2

Dear Heads of Council and First Nations Chiefs
Page 2

Proposals must meet base requirements set by the ministry, which include a minimum number of five members per board and a requirement that each board should be composed of 20% community representatives and 20% provincial appointees. To that end, municipalities and First Nations are not required at this time to identify the names of the individuals that will be participating on the detachment board. Rather, you are only asked to identify the number of seats each municipality and First Nation will be allocated on the detachment board as well as the number of community representatives and provincial appointments.

To streamline and support the proposal process, the ministry has developed a digital form that can be accessed using the link included [here](#).

The ministry will work with municipalities and First Nations to obtain outstanding information/proposals and support you in submitting a completed proposal. If, however, a proposal still does not meet the minimum requirements, or a proposal is not submitted and/or if no consensus is reached on the composition of the board then the ministry will determine the composition of the detachment board.

Completed proposals are to be submitted to the ministry by **Monday, June 7, 2021**.

We recognize the significant implications that the current COVID-19 emergency has had on municipalities and First Nations across the province. To this end, in addition to the written supporting material attached here, we are also pleased to work with you directly through virtual information sessions.

If you have questions related to OPP detachment boards under the CSPA, please contact Sarah Caldwell, Director of Community Safety and Intergovernmental Policy, at sarah.caldwell@ontario.ca. If you have questions about the proposal process or would be interested in a virtual information session, please contact Joanna Reading, Senior Policy Advisor, at joanna.reading@ontario.ca

Sincerely,



Sylvia Jones
Solicitor General

Enclosures

c: Chief Administrative Officers

Municipal Clerks



ORANGEVILLE POLICE SERVICES BOARD

c/o Town of Orangeville – 87 Broadway, Orangeville L9W 1K1 Telephone: (519) 941-5650

Fax: (519) 940-8275

Chair T. Taylor • Vice-Chair I. McSweeney • K. Krakar • A. MacIntosh • M. Rose

June XXX, 2021

Hon. Sylvia Jones,
Solicitor General
18th Floor, 25 Grosvenor Street
Toronto, Ontario M7A 1Y6

Dear Minister Jones:

Thank you for your letter of March 18, 2021 regarding the government's desire to develop a new framework for OPP Detachment Boards.

Prior to our transition to the Dufferin Detachment of the OPP on October 1, 2020, Orangeville was historically policed by its own municipal police service. As a result of this transition, the Orangeville Police Services Board (PSB) ceased to be a section 31 board and became a section 10 board under the Police Services Act (PSA).

Situation at Present:

The PSB has five members, two of whom are members of Orangeville Council, another two are provincial appointees, and the fifth was appointed by Council from the community. The PSB also employs the services of a part-time secretary.

The PSB currently meets monthly, although we expect, once we have completed dealing with pre-transition legacy issues, regular meeting frequency will be reduced. These regular meetings have both an in-camera session and a public session component. We also hold special meetings from time to time to deal with urgent issues. Council remunerates all members with nominal honorariums and nominal special meeting per diems for approved work.

Orangeville is home to the County Courthouse and jail and provides all requirements and staffing for the facility.

Solution-Based Options for Dufferin County:

We believe there is a need for the Ministry to better define the perceived problems that the proposed new framework intends to solve. We agree with our colleagues in Mono that this cannot be a question of cost to the Province since municipalities fully fund their respective

police service boards. We agree as well that if the number and frequency of police service board meetings within a Detachment represents a burdensome time commitment for Detachment Commanders and staff, this should be addressed directly and can be mutually resolved. Similarly, if the Province is concerned by specific problems experienced with certain police services board, these problems should be identified and dealt with directly with these boards.

It has been suggested that the police service boards of the two urban centres of Shelburne and Orangeville should consolidate into a single board. While this suggestion may appear viable in concept, in practice it would fall short of the needs of both communities and is, at best, premature. Orangeville recently transitioned to the OPP in October, 2020 and Shelburne in February 2021. Both communities need time to properly adjust to the oversight and operational aspects of their new policing service, and to work through the many legacy issues specific to each.

Shelburne at a population of 8,000 and Orangeville at 30,000 are quite different in terms of their community priorities and policing needs. Moreover, geographically, we are not contiguous municipalities being separated by approximately 25 Kms.

Today, police services are under extreme scrutiny regarding systemic racism, bias, inequity and social injustice. While all communities face these realities, it is essential to recognize their unique demographics in terms of policing needs and oversight. For example, Shelburne reports a total BIPOC (black indigenous and people of colour) component of 18% of population compared to Orangeville at 8%. Orangeville, however, has a larger population of marginalized Indigenous and 2SLGBTQ1A+ citizens. (CITATION REQUIRED)

Another major concern for all communities, but for larger urban communities in particular, is the rise in mental health issues and opioid usage. The Orangeville OPP recently assigned a dedicated officer to the growing mental health problems and this officer liaises with the social services agencies in the community.

Future:

The provision of "Adequate and Effective" policing is a localized responsibility. As such, the Orangeville PSB should remain autonomous for the foreseeable future while we work through section 10 transition.

With respect to Dufferin County as a whole, we believe that there is a strong rationale for multiple boards even under a restructured framework. If the Ministry determines that some degree of consolidation is absolutely necessary, we propose that a four police services board model should be adopted for Dufferin County based on the following groupings of municipalities:

1. Orangeville

2. Shelburne
3. Amaranth, Grand Valley, East Garafraxa
4. Mono, Mulmur, Melancthon

The Orangeville Police Services Board believes that if some consolidation is required this four board approach would recognize the need for autonomy within Orangeville and Shelburne. This would enable police services oversight tailored to the very different needs of the two urban communities. While at the same time allowing the other six more rural communities to consolidate their police services oversight; grouped along shared regional geography and community interests. In this regard, we support the submissions of the Town of Mono.

In conclusion, Orangeville needs the flexibility and independence as an autonomous board to reflect our community's local perspectives and priorities. This will allow the Board to deliver on our base oversight requirements set out by the Ministry under section 10 and continue to complete our transition to the new OPP Detachment Board model in early 2022.

We would be pleased to discuss this further with you or your staff.

Sincerely,

The Orangeville Police Services Board

Chair Todd Taylor
Vice-Chair Ian McSweeney
Member Ken Krakar
Member Andy MacIntosh
Member Mary Rose



ORANGEVILLE POLICE SERVICES BOARD

c/o Town of Orangeville – 87 Broadway, Orangeville L9W 1K1 Telephone: (519) 941-5650

Fax: (519) 940-8275

Chair T. Taylor • Vice-Chair I. McSweeney • K. Krakar • A. MacIntosh • M. Rose

June XXX, 2021

Hon. Sylvia Jones,
Solicitor General
18th Floor, 25 Grosvenor Street
Toronto, Ontario M7A 1Y6

Dear Minister Jones:

Thank you for your letter of March 18, 2021 regarding the government's desire to develop a new framework for OPP Detachment Boards.

Prior to our transition to the Dufferin Detachment of the OPP on October 1, 2020, Orangeville was historically policed by its own municipal police service. As a result of this transition, the Orangeville Police Services Board (PSB) ceased to be a section 31 board and became a section 10 board under the Police Services Act (PSA).

Situation at Present:

The PSB has five members, two of whom are members of Orangeville Council, another two are provincial appointees, and the fifth was appointed by Council from the community. The PSB also employs the services of a part-time secretary.

The PSB currently meets monthly, although we expect, once we have completed dealing with pre-transition legacy issues, regular meeting frequency will be reduced. These regular meetings have both an in-camera session and a public session component. We also hold special meetings from time to time to deal with urgent issues. Council remunerates all members with nominal honorariums and nominal special meeting per diems for approved work.

Orangeville is home to the County Courthouse and jail and provides all requirements and staffing for the facility.

Solution-Based Options for Dufferin County:

We believe there is a need for the Ministry to better define the perceived problems that the proposed new framework intends to solve. We agree with our colleagues in Mono that this cannot be a question of cost to the Province since municipalities fully fund their respective

police service boards. We agree as well that if the number and frequency of police service board meetings within a Detachment represents a burdensome time commitment for Detachment Commanders and staff, this should be addressed directly and can be mutually resolved. Similarly, if the Province is concerned by specific problems experienced with certain police services board, these problems should be identified and dealt with directly with these boards.

It has been suggested that the police service boards of the two urban centres of Shelburne and Orangeville should consolidate into a single board. While this suggestion may appear viable in concept, in practice it would fall short of the needs of both communities and is, at best, premature. Orangeville recently transitioned to the OPP in October, 2020 and Shelburne in February 2021. Both communities need time to properly adjust to the oversight and operational aspects of their new policing service, and to work through the many legacy issues specific to each.

Shelburne at a population of 8,000 and Orangeville at 30,000 are quite different in terms of their community priorities and policing needs. Moreover, geographically, we are not contiguous municipalities being separated by approximately 25 Kms.

Today, police services are under extreme scrutiny regarding systemic racism, bias, inequity and social injustice. While all communities face these realities, it is essential to recognize their unique demographics in terms of policing needs and oversight. For example, Shelburne reports a total BIPOC (black indigenous and people of colour) component of 18% of population compared to Orangeville at 8%. Orangeville, however, has a larger population of marginalized Indigenous and 2SLGBTQ1A+ citizens. (CITATION REQUIRED)

Another major concern for all communities, but for larger urban communities in particular, is the rise in mental health issues and opioid usage. The Orangeville OPP recently assigned a dedicated officer to the growing mental health problems and this officer liaises with the social services agencies in the community.

Future:

The provision of "Adequate and Effective" policing is a localized responsibility. As such, the Orangeville PSB should remain autonomous for the foreseeable future while we work through section 10 transition.

With respect to Dufferin County as a whole, we believe that there is a strong rationale for multiple boards even under a restructured framework. If the Ministry determines that some degree of consolidation is absolutely necessary, we propose that a four police services board model should be adopted for Dufferin County based on the following groupings of municipalities:

1. Orangeville

2. Shelburne
3. Amaranth, Grand Valley, East Garafraxa
4. Mono, Mulmur, Melancthon

The Orangeville Police Services Board believes that if some consolidation is required this four board approach would recognize the need for autonomy within Orangeville and Shelburne. This would enable police services oversight tailored to the very different needs of the two urban communities. While at the same time allowing the other six more rural communities to consolidate their police services oversight; grouped along shared regional geography and community interests. In this regard, we support the submissions of the Town of Mono.

In conclusion, Orangeville needs the flexibility and independence as an autonomous board to reflect our community's local perspectives and priorities. This will allow the Board to deliver on our base oversight requirements set out by the Ministry under section 10 and continue to complete our transition to the new OPP Detachment Board model in early 2022.

We would be pleased to discuss this further with you or your staff.

Sincerely,

The Orangeville Police Services Board

Chair Todd Taylor
Vice-Chair Ian McSweeney
Member Ken Krakar
Member Andy MacIntosh
Member Mary Rose

May 19, 2021

Mayor Sandy Brown
Town of Orangeville
87 Broadway
Orangeville, ON L9W 1K1

Dear Mayor Brown:

I certainly hope this finds you and your family well. I write today to share the executive summary of our recent Economic Impact Analysis report. After reading the report, I'm certain that now, more than ever, we need Georgian graduates. They're the innovators and professionals who will play a vital role in the evolution and stabilization of our economy, as well as contributing citizens who will work to improve and strengthen our communities. I truly believe Georgian graduates will be the resilient leaders who define and influence a better future for us all.

This report was prepared by Economic Modeling Specialists (EMSI), a labour market analytics firm, that analyzes Georgian's economic impact for the 2019-20 year. It will come as no surprise to you as a valued stakeholder that Georgian significantly influences both the lives of our students and the local economy, and that many of our alumni choose to live and work near the college after they graduate – making an incredible difference in our region.

Overall, Georgian College contributes \$1.7 billion in income to the Central Ontario economy, approximately 5.3 per cent of the total gross regional product. This equates to 22,752 regional jobs, or one out of every 15 jobs in the Georgian catchment area. Our alumni alone generate \$1.4 billion in added income!

Along with the report, I've attached a fact sheet that outlines how our Orangeville Campus in particular creates a positive impact on the business community and generates a return on investment to students, taxpayers and society. We pride ourselves on being an access college and these results are proof that having multiple campus locations in our region is a benefit to our students and each of the communities we serve.


I'm deeply appreciative of your continued support and investment in Georgian. You play an important role in our students' success and I hope you are as proud of the results as I am.

...2

Enjoy the read!

Be safe, stay well and choose kindness.


In gratitude,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "MaryLynn West-Moynes". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style with a large loop at the end of the last name.

Dr. MaryLynn West-Moynes
President and CEO

Encl.

c: Brenda Burman, Campus Manager, Orangeville Campus and Centre for Career & Employment
Community Services



The Economic Value of Georgian College



GEORGIAN COLLEGE CREATES VALUE IN MANY WAYS.

The college plays a key role in helping students increase their employability and achieve their individual potential. It draws students to the region, generating new dollars and opportunities for the Georgian catchment area.* Georgian provides students with the education, training, and skills they need to have fulfilling and prosperous careers. Furthermore, Georgian is a place for students to meet new people, increase their self-confidence, and strengthen their overall health and well-being.

Georgian influences both the lives of its students and the regional economy. The college supports a variety of industries in the Georgian catchment area, serves regional businesses, and benefits the provincial government through increased tax revenues and public sector savings. The benefits created by Georgian even extend to society as a whole in Ontario, which benefits from an expanded economy and improved quality of life.

This study measures the economic impacts created by Georgian on the business community and the benefits the college generates in return for the investments made by its key stakeholder groups—students, taxpayers, and society. The following two analyses are presented:



Georgian influences both the lives of its students and the regional economy.



Economic impact analysis



Investment analysis

All results reflect employee, student, and financial data, provided by the college, for fiscal year (FY) 2019-20. Impacts on the Georgian catchment area economy are reported under the economic impact analysis and are measured in terms of added income. The returns on investment to students, taxpayers, and society in Ontario are reported under the investment analysis.

* For the purposes of this report, the Georgian catchment area consists of Bruce County, Dufferin County, Grey County, Simcoe County, and the District Municipality of Muskoka in Ontario.



Georgian promotes economic growth in the Georgian catchment area through its direct expenditures and the resulting expenditures of students and regional businesses. The college serves as an employer and buyer of goods and services for its day-to-day operations. The college's activities attract students from outside the Georgian catchment area, whose expenditures benefit regional vendors. In addition, Georgian is a primary source of postsecondary education to Georgian catchment area residents and a supplier of trained workers to regional industries, enhancing overall productivity in the regional workforce.

Operations spending impact



Georgian adds economic value to the Georgian catchment area as an employer of regional residents and a large-scale buyer of goods and services. In FY 2019-20, the college employed faculty and staff equivalent to 1,247 full-time employees, 93% of whom lived in the Georgian catchment area. Total payroll at Georgian was \$141.4 million, much of which was spent in the region for groceries, mortgage and rent payments, dining out, and other household expenses. In addition, the college spent \$75.6 million on day-to-day expenses related to facilities, supplies, and professional services.

Georgian's day-to-day operations spending added \$202.9 million in income to the region during the analysis year. This figure represents the college's payroll, the multiplier effects generated by the in-region spending of the college and its employees, and a downward adjustment to account for funding that the college received from regional sources. The \$202.9 million in added income is equivalent to supporting 2,043 jobs in the region.

Student spending impact



Around 36% of credit students attending Georgian originated from outside the region in FY 2019-20, and some of these students relocated to the Georgian catchment area to attend Georgian. These students may not have come to the region if the college did not exist. In addition, some in-region students, referred to as retained students, would have left the Georgian catchment area if not for the existence of Georgian. While attending the college, these relocated and retained students spent money on groceries, accommodation, transportation, and other household expenses. This spending generated \$64.4 million in added income for the regional economy in FY 2019-20, which supported 1,447 jobs in the Georgian catchment area.

IMPACTS CREATED BY GEORGIAN IN FY 2019-20



Operations spending impact

\$202.9 million



Student spending impact

\$64.4 million



Alumni impact

\$1.4 billion



TOTAL ECONOMIC IMPACT

\$1.7 billion

OR

Jobs supported

22,752

Alumni impact



The education and training Georgian provides for regional residents has the greatest impact. Since its establishment, students have studied at Georgian and entered the regional workforce with greater knowledge and new skills. Today, thousands of former Georgian students are employed in the Georgian catchment area. As a result of their education, the students receive higher earnings and increase the productivity of the businesses that employ them. In FY 2019-20, Georgian alumni generated \$1.4 billion in added income for the regional economy, which is equivalent to supporting 19,262 jobs.

Total impact

Georgian added \$1.7 billion in income to the Georgian catchment area economy during the analysis year, equal to the sum of the operations spending impact, the student spending impact, and the alumni impact. For context, the \$1.7 billion impact was equal to approximately 5.3% of the total gross regional product (GRP) of the Georgian catchment area. This contribution that the college provided on its own is as large as the entire educational services industry in the region.

Georgian's total impact can also be expressed in terms of jobs supported. The \$1.7 billion impact supported 22,752 regional jobs, using the jobs-to-sales ratios specific to each industry in the region. This means that one out of every 15 jobs in the Georgian catchment area is supported by the activities of Georgian and its students. In addition, the \$1.7 billion, or 22,752 supported jobs, stemmed from different industry sectors. Among non-education industry sectors, Georgian's activity and alumni in the health care and social assistance industry sector supported 7,853 jobs in FY 2019-20. These are impacts that would not have been generated without the college's presence in the Georgian catchment area.

One out of every 15 jobs in the Georgian catchment area is supported by the activities of Georgian and its students.

TOP INDUSTRY IMPACTS (JOBS SUPPORTED)



7,853

Health Care & Social Assistance



2,574

Retail Trade



2,091

Accommodation & Food Services



1,477

Public Administration



1,183

Other Services (except
Public Administration)



An investment analysis evaluates the costs associated with a proposed venture against its expected benefits. If the benefits outweigh the costs, then the investment is financially worthwhile. The analysis presented here considers Georgian as an investment from the perspectives of students, taxpayers, and society in Ontario.

Student perspective



In FY 2019-20, Georgian served 25,695 credit and 4,361 non-credit students. In order to attend the college, students paid for tuition, fees, books, and supplies. Additionally, students gave up money they would have otherwise earned had they been working instead of attending college. The total investment made by Georgian's students in FY 2019-20 amounted to a present value of \$534.5 million, equal to \$142 million in out-of-pocket expenses and \$392.5 million in foregone time and money.

In return for their investment, Georgian's students will receive a stream of higher future earnings that will continue to grow throughout their working lives. For example, the average Georgian diploma graduate from FY 2019-20 will see an increase in earnings of \$10,600 each year compared to a person with a high school diploma or equivalent working in Ontario. Over a working lifetime, the benefits of the diploma over a high school diploma will amount to an undiscounted value of \$392,200 in higher earnings per graduate. Altogether, Georgian's FY 2019-20 students will receive \$954.3 million in higher future earnings over their working lives, as a result of their education and training at Georgian.

The students' benefit-cost ratio is 1.8. In other words, for every dollar students invest in Georgian, in the form of out-of-pocket expenses and foregone time and money, they will receive a cumulative value of \$1.80 in higher future earnings. Annually, the students' investment in Georgian has an average annual internal rate of return of 12%.



The average diploma graduate from Georgian will see an increase in earnings of **\$10,600** each year compared to a person with a high school diploma or equivalent working in Ontario.



Source: Derived from data supplied by Statistics Canada and the Emsi CRIQ model.

Taxpayer perspective



Georgian generates more in tax revenue than it takes. These benefits to taxpayers consist primarily of taxes that the provincial government will collect from the added revenue created in the province. As Georgian students earn more, they will make higher tax payments throughout their working lives. Students' employers will also make higher tax payments as they increase their output and purchases of goods and services.

By the end of the FY 2019-20 students' working lives, the provincial government will have collected a present value of \$391.7 million in added tax revenue.

Benefits to taxpayers also consist of savings generated by the improved lifestyles of Georgian students and the corresponding reduced government services. Education is statistically correlated with a variety of lifestyle changes.

Students' Georgian education will generate savings in three main categories: 1) health care, 2) crime, and 3) income assistance. Improved health will lower students' demand for health care services. In addition, students will be less likely to interact with the criminal justice system, resulting in a reduced demand for law enforcement and reduced victim costs. Georgian students will be more employable, so their reduced demand for income assistance, such as welfare and unemployment benefits, will benefit taxpayers. For a list of study references, contact the college for a copy of the main report. Altogether, the present value of the benefits associated with a Georgian education will generate \$5.4 million in savings to provincial taxpayers.

Total taxpayer benefits amount to \$397.1 million, the present value sum of the added taxes and public sector savings. Taxpayer costs are \$73.6 million, equal to the amount of provincial government funding Georgian received in FY 2019-20. These benefits and costs yield a benefit-cost ratio of 5.4. This means that for every dollar of public money invested in Georgian in FY 2019-20, taxpayers will receive a cumulative value of \$5.40 over the course of the students' working lives. The average annual internal rate of return for taxpayers is 26.6%, which compares favourably to other long-term investments in the public and private sectors.

For every dollar of public money invested in Georgian, taxpayers will receive a cumulative value of **\$5.40** over the course of the students' working lives.

Social perspective



Society as a whole in Ontario benefits from the presence of Georgian in two major ways. Primarily, society benefits from an increased economic base in the province. This is attributed to higher student earnings and increased business output, which raise economic prosperity in Ontario.

Benefits to society also consist of the savings generated by the improved lifestyles of Georgian students. As discussed in the previous section, education is statistically correlated with a variety of lifestyle changes that generate social savings. Note that these costs are avoided by the consumers but are distinct


from the costs avoided by the taxpayers outlined above. Healthcare savings include avoided medical costs associated with smoking, alcohol dependence, obesity, and mental illness. Crime savings include reduced criminal justice system expenditures, lower victim costs, and increased productivity of individuals who are working rather than spending time in custody. Income assistance savings include the reduced demand for employment insurance benefits and employment-related social assistance. For a list of study references, contact the college for a copy of the main report.

Altogether, the social benefits of Georgian equal a present value of \$5.2 billion. These benefits include \$5.2 billion in added income through students’ increased lifetime earnings and increased business output, as well as \$28.4 million in social savings related to health, crime, and income assistance in Ontario. People in Ontario invested a present value total of \$625.2 million in Georgian in FY 2019-20. These costs include all college and student costs.

The benefit-cost ratio for society is 8.3, equal to the \$5.2 billion in benefits divided by the \$625.2 million in costs. In other words, for every dollar invested in Georgian, people in Ontario will receive a cumulative value of \$8.30 in benefits. The benefits of this investment will occur for as long as Georgian’s FY 2019-20 students remain employed in the provincial workforce.

Summary of investment analysis results

The results of the analysis demonstrate that Georgian is a strong investment for all three major stakeholder groups—students, taxpayers, and society. As shown, students receive a great return for their investments in a Georgian education. At the same time, taxpayers’ investment in Georgian returns more to government budgets than it costs and creates a wide range of social benefits throughout Ontario.



STUDENT PERSPECTIVE		TAXPAYER PERSPECTIVE		SOCIAL PERSPECTIVE	
Present value benefits		Present value benefits		Present value benefits	
\$954.3 million		\$397.1 million		\$5.2 billion	
Present value costs		Present value costs		Present value costs	
\$534.5 million		\$73.6 million		\$625.2 million	
Net present value		Net present value		Net present value	
\$419.8 million		\$323.5 million		\$4.6 billion	
Benefit-cost ratio	Rate of return	Benefit-cost ratio	Rate of return	Benefit-cost ratio	Rate of return
1.8	12%	5.4	26.6%	8.3	n/a*

* The rate of return is not reported for the social perspective because the beneficiaries of the investment are not necessarily the same as the original investors.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study demonstrate that Georgian creates value from multiple perspectives. The college benefits regional businesses by increasing consumer spending in the region and supplying a steady flow of qualified, trained workers to the workforce. Georgian enriches the lives of students by raising their lifetime earnings and helping them achieve their individual potential. The college benefits provincial taxpayers through increased tax receipts and a reduced demand for government-supported social services. Finally, Georgian benefits society as a whole in Ontario by creating a more prosperous economy and generating a variety of savings through the improved lifestyles of students.

About the study

Data and assumptions used in the study are based on several sources, including the FY 2019-20 academic and financial reports from Georgian, industry and employment data from Statistics Canada, outputs of Emsi's Canadian Regional Input-Output model, and a variety of studies and surveys relating education to social behaviour. The study applies a conservative methodology and follows standard practice using only the most recognized indicators of investment effectiveness and economic impact. For a full description of the data and approach used in the study, please contact Georgian for a copy of the main report.

The results of this study demonstrate that Georgian creates value from **multiple perspectives**.



Emsi is a labour market analytics firm that integrates data from a wide variety of sources to serve professionals in postsecondary education, economic development, workforce development, talent acquisition, and site selection. Emsi is a leading provider of economic impact studies and labour market data to educational institutions in Canada, the U.S. and internationally. Since 2000, Emsi has completed over 2,200 economic impact studies for institutions across three countries. For more information about Emsi's products and services, visit www.economicmodeling.com.

The Economic Value of Georgian College's Orangeville Campus



Georgian College's Orangeville Campus creates a significant positive impact on the business community and generates a return on investment to its major stakeholder groups—students, taxpayers, and society. Using a two-pronged approach that involves an economic impact analysis and an investment analysis, this study calculates the benefits received by each of these groups. Results of the analysis reflect fiscal year (FY) 2019-20.



ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

In FY 2019-20, the Orangeville Campus added **\$10.1 million** in income to the Dufferin County economy, a value approximately equal to **0.5%** of the county's total gross regional product (GRP). Expressed in terms of jobs, the Orangeville Campus' impact supported **140 county jobs**.

For the local economy, a service area consisting of the Town of Orangeville, the Orangeville Campus and its students added **\$7.2 million** in income, approximately equal to **0.7%** of the local region's GRP and supporting **97 town jobs**. By comparison, this impact from the campus is larger than the entire arts, entertainment and recreation industry in the Town of Orangeville.



OPERATIONS SPENDING IMPACT

- The Orangeville Campus employed 20 full-time equivalent employees in FY 2019-20. Payroll amounted to \$2 million, much of which was spent in the county for groceries, mortgage and rent payments, dining out, and other household expenses. The campus spent another \$957,600 on day-to-day expenses related to facilities, supplies, and professional services.
- The net impact of the campus' operations spending added **\$2.8 million** in income to the county economy. The net impact of the campus' operations spending added **\$2.6 million** to the Town of Orangeville.

STUDENT SPENDING IMPACT

- Around 26% of credit students attending the Orangeville Campus originated from outside the county in FY 2019-20, and some of these students relocated to Dufferin County to attend the Orangeville Campus. In addition, a number of local students would have left the county if not for the Orangeville Campus.
- These students spent money on groceries, mortgage and rent payments, and so on at county businesses. This spending in FY 2019-20 added **\$261,000** in income to the Dufferin County economy. For the Town of Orangeville, relocated and retained students added **\$207,700** in income to the local economy.

ALUMNI IMPACT

- Over the years, students have studied at the Orangeville Campus and entered or re-entered the workforce with newly-acquired knowledge and skills. Today, many of these former students are employed in Dufferin County.
- In FY 2019-20, the net impact of the Orangeville Campus' former students currently employed in the county workforce amounted to **\$7.1 million** in added income. For the Town of Orangeville, the net impact of the Orangeville Campus' former students currently employed in the workforce amounted to **\$4.4 million** in added income for the local economy.



COUNTY IMPACTS CREATED BY THE ORANGEVILLE CAMPUS IN FY 2019-20



Operations spending impact
\$2.8 million



Student spending impact
\$0.3 million



Alumni impact
\$7.1 million



TOTAL ECONOMIC IMPACT
\$10.1 million

OR

Jobs supported
140

TOWN IMPACTS CREATED BY THE ORANGEVILLE CAMPUS



Operations spending impact
\$2.6 million



Student spending impact
\$0.2 million



Alumni impact
\$4.4 million



TOTAL ECONOMIC IMPACT
\$7.2 million

OR

Jobs supported
97



INVESTMENT ANALYSIS

STUDENT PERSPECTIVE

- The Orangeville Campus' FY 2019-20 students paid a present value of **\$1 million** to cover the cost of tuition, fees, and supplies. They also gave up **\$4.1 million** in money that they would have earned had they been working instead of attending college.
- In return for their investment, students will receive **\$7.8 million** in increased earnings over their working lives. This translates to a return of **\$1.50** in higher future earnings for every dollar students invest in their education. Students' average annual rate of return is **10.3%**.

TAXPAYER PERSPECTIVE

- Provincial taxpayers provided the Orangeville Campus with **\$2.8 million** of funding in FY 2019-20. In return, they will benefit from added tax revenue, stemming from students' higher lifetime earnings and increased business output, amounting to **\$6.3 million**. A reduced demand for government-funded services in Ontario will add another **\$160,900** in benefits to taxpayers.
- For every dollar of public money invested in the Orangeville Campus, taxpayers will receive **\$2.30** in return, over the course of students' working lives. The average annual rate of return for taxpayers is **10.2%**.

SOCIAL PERSPECTIVE

- In FY 2019-20, society in Ontario invested **\$7.3 million** to support the Orangeville Campus, including all student and all college costs. In turn, the Ontario economy will grow by **\$39.8 million**, over the course of students' working lives. Society will also benefit from **\$367,900** in present value social savings related to reduced crime, reduced demand for income assistance, and increased health and well-being across the province.
- For every dollar invested in the Orangeville Campus in FY 2019-20, people in Ontario will receive **\$5.50** in return, for as long as the Orangeville Campus' FY 2019-20 students remain active in the provincial workforce.

For every \$1...



Students gain in lifetime earnings
\$1.50



Taxpayers gain in added tax revenue and public sector savings
\$2.30



Society gains in added income and social savings
\$5.50





Minutes of Heritage Orangeville

January 21, 2021, 7:00 p.m.

Chair and Secretary Participating Remotely
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Members Present: Councillor D. Sherwood
L. Addy
L. Banks
M. Hauck
G. Sarazin
M. Woodhouse

Staff Present: C. Khan
B. Ward, Manager of Planning
A. Graham, Secretary
M. Adams, Planning Administrator

1. Call to Order

The Chair called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M.

2. Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest

None.

3. Adoption of Minutes of Previous Meeting

Recommendation: 2021-001

Moved by M. Woodhouse

That the minutes of the following meeting are approved:

2021-12-16 Heritage Orangeville Minutes

Carried

4. Presentations

None.

5. Items for Discussion and Reports

5.1 Heritage Week - Update

The Committee discussed the plan going forward with respect to Heritage Week 2021. Gary Sarazin advised that in lieu of the banner project, the Committee could put together a full page ad in the newspaper. The price quoted from the newspaper for a full page spread will be \$775.00 exclusive of HST.

The Committee agreed to use historical pictures of first responders and pictures from the calendar for the newspaper advertisement.

Lynda Addy advised that the copyright of many of the photos in the Town's possession would require an online application for permission from Dufferin County Museum.

The Committee decided to endorse the theme of Heroes and Heritage for Heritage Week.

The Committee further agreed that Lynda Addy would draft a message for the Heritage Week Proclamation.

Councillor Sherwood further advised that if the Farmer's Market display could go forward, she would be willing to set it up.

Recommendation: 2021-002

Moved by G. Sarazin

That the banner project for Heritage Week 2021 be deferred until 2022 due to Covid-19 related delays.

Carried

Recommendation: 2021-003

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That a budget of \$775.00 plus HST for the Heritage Week 2021 newspaper advertisement be approved.

Carried

5.2 Quarterly Newsletter - Update

Lynda Addy will coordinate with Communications and suggested that the date of the newsletter reflect the season of publication.

Staff offered to help generate the labels with respect to the mail out.

5.3 Report from B. Ward, Manager of Planning - Appointment of a Committee Member for Clearing Conditions of Demolition Approvals for Properties listed on the Town's Municipal Heritage Register

Brandon Ward presented a report with respect to the appointment of a Committee member for the clearing of conditions of demolition approvals for properties listed on the Town's Municipal Heritage Register.

Recommendation: 2021-004

Moved by L. Banks

That Lynda Addy be appointed as the primary contact for clearing conditions of demolition approvals for properties listed on the Town's Municipal Heritage Register and that Martin Woodhouse be appointed as the alternate contact.

Carried

6. Facade Improvement Applications

6.1 Facade Improvement Grant Application - 171/175 Broadway

The applicant, Louis Sapi, discussed with the Committee the reasons for the application, which included the need to repair the rotting wood, repaint, replace the gooseneck lighting, clean, for masonry and general repair.

The Committee had no objections to the new paint colour for the façade.

The Committee asked about the type of business that would be in the building and was advised that it could be a restaurant or retail / commercial establishment. The Committee further enquired whether the applicant would be installing a blade sign and was advised that it is a possibility.

Lynda Addy advised the applicants that the quality of masonry work can vary depending on the methods and company performing the work.

Recommendation: 2021-005

Moved by M. Woodhouse

That the Façade Improvement Grant Application submitted by Louis Sapi for 171/175 Broadway be approved, with the condition that the lighting options be reviewed prior to installation.

Carried

7. Correspondence

7.1 CHO Workshop Invitation

Councillor Sherwood and Lynda Addy expressed their intention of attending the workshop.

7.3 Notices of Hearings - A-02/21 & B-01/21 - 41 William Street

The Committee discussed both Notices of Hearing for 41 William Street (A-02/21 and B-01/21).

The Committee discussed the limited room for development and the positioning of the structures on the property and the size of the proposed new lot.

Brandon Ward explained that it may be possible to sever the property further and add another dwelling. He advised that at this time, however, there has been no application to do so. He further explained that the property is on the Heritage Register and that the Heritage Orangeville Committee may provide comments with respect to any heritage related concerns regarding these applications to the Committee of Adjustment for consideration.

Recommendation: 2021-006

Moved by L. Addy

That with respect to applications A-02/21 and B-01/21 that the Heritage Orangeville Committee recommend that the front facade of the proposed single detached dwelling be aligned with the existing heritage building in order to be consistent with the streetscape.

Carried

8. New Business

Linda Banks proposed pursuing a project to print out pictures taken for the Heritage calendar of the first responder groups and Councillor Sherwood suggested distributing the framed prints to all of the chosen organizations.

The Committee discussed distributing the Heritage Orangeville calendars in the community.

Linda Banks will provide the Committee an estimate for the printing and the frames at the next meeting.

9. Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for February 18, 2021 at 7:00 P.M.

10. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:27 P.M.



Minutes of Heritage Orangeville

February 18, 2021, 7:00 p.m.

Chair and Secretary Participating Remotely
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Members Present: Councillor D. Sherwood
L. Addy
L. Banks
M. Hauck
M. Woodhouse

Members Absent: G. Sarazin

Staff Present: B. Ward, Manager of Planning
A. Graham, Secretary

1. Call to Order

2. Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest

None.

3. Adoption of Minutes of Previous Meeting

Recommendation: 2021-007

Moved by L. Banks

That the minutes of the following meeting are approved:

2021-01-16 Heritage Orangeville Minutes

Carried

4. Presentations

None.

5. Items for Discussion and Reports

5.1 Report from M. Adams, Planning Administrator - Demolition of Addition and Detached Garage - 5 Wellington Street

Darryl Regimbal, the designer working on the property, attended the meeting on behalf of the owners.

Brandon Ward spoke to the intentions of the plan, which involves removing the addition to the rear of the dwelling and the detached garage to make way for the new addition. The property is a non-designated dwelling on the municipal heritage register.

Darryl Regimbal noted that the window on the right of elevation in stairwell will be removed, as the stairwell will also be removed to allow for a

bedroom space. The window and interior stairwell are the only elements of the historical portion of the dwelling that will be removed.

He informed the Committee that the addition that is currently there, particularly the right side of the elevation, is in state of disrepair. The window in the middle is to be filled with brick and matched as closely as possible to the existing brick.

Lynda Addy observed that the present additions are from the 1970s or later and in a bad state due to previous owner-built renovations. She endorses removing the addition and building a sound structure. She suggested the use of reclaimed brick. It is the hope of the contractor and current owners that brick from the rear wall of the existing original building will be used to fill in the window.

Martin Woodhouse noted that grouting is missing and that bricks that have decayed in the band and asked if this would be repaired in the process. Lynda Addy advised that the previous owners chipped off the edges to make room for the shutters. Darryl Regimbal will relay this suggestion and preference to the contractor.

Brandon Ward reminded the Committee that this planning application will be brought forward to Council at the March 22nd meeting.

Recommendation: 2021-008

Moved by L. Addy

That the right elevation window be filled in using reclaimed brick from the residence or matched using reclaimed brick, and to approve the demolition of the addition and detached garage.

Carried

5.2 Pricing Estimate of Heroes Pictures - Printing and Frames and Thank you Cards

Linda Banks presented her estimate of around \$88 to the Committee for the thirteen pictures to be printed and framed and for the accompanying Thank You cards and gift wrap. There were no objections to the use of Dollar Tree or Dollar Store frames.

The Thank You cards will state: "On behalf of Heritage Orangeville, thank you very much for taking part in our 2021 calendar".

Recommendation: 2021-009

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That an expense of up to one hundred dollars be approved for the gifts to the thirteen local organizations for the 2021 Heritage Calendar.

Carried

5.3 Heritage Week Update

The Committee discussed the positive feedback from the public and the intention to organize the heritage banners earlier for 2022.

5.4 Quarterly Newsletter

Lynda Addy sent minor revisions to Sheila. Archival photos from the museum are awaiting copyright permissions and once granted,

Communications will have the newsletter printed and sent out by the March meeting.

Brandon Ward will be contacted about setting up the mailing labels for the envelopes.

6. Facade Improvement Applications

None.

7. Correspondence

7.1 CHO Newsletter Winter Edition 2021

The Committee discussed submitting an article or advertisement for the CHO Newsletter in the future.

7.2 Notices of Decisions - A02-21 & B01-21 - 41 William Street

The Committee discussed the possibility of an appeal to the decision in the future from the applicants to pursue the full redevelopment concept.

8. New Business

Lynda Addy attended the CHO workshop. Changes to the Planning Act, such as reducing the length of time municipalities have to review a planning proposal were discussed. She noted that the recommendations from the workshop included designating a heritage property as soon as possible, reviewing the terms of reference for HIA, and looking at requirements for heritage permits.

Alison Scheel presented the intention of the BIA to install contemporary interactive murals on the side of The Chocolate Shop and Sport-Medic Physiotherapy Clinic building.

It was advised that given the status of Broadway as a Heritage Conservation District, comment from the Committee may be required. Staff will confirm what type of permit application is required.

9. Date of Next Meeting

10. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:03 P.M.



Minutes of Heritage Orangeville

March 18, 2021, 7:00 p.m.

Chair and Secretary Participating Remotely
The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Members Present: Councillor D. Sherwood
L. Addy
L. Banks
M. Hauck
G. Sarazin
M. Woodhouse

Staff Present: B. Ward, Manager of Planning
A. Graham, Secretary

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest**
3. **Adoption of Minutes of Previous Meeting**

Recommendation: 2021-010

Moved by M. Woodhouse

That the minutes of the following meeting are approved:

Carried

4. **Presentations**
5. **Items for Discussion and Reports**

5.1 2nd Submission 60-62 Broadway OPZ 6/19

The Committee discussed the proposal for the combined commercial and residential building that would fall outside of the Heritage Conservation District (HCD). Brandon Ward clarified that the newly proposed height at the tallest portion of structure would be 18 metres. It was noted that the standard height for buildings in the HCD is 12 metres.

Lynda Addy noted that the scale of the project, not necessarily the design, is the issue because it is adjacent to the heritage district and would be a marked contrast. Martin Woodhouse suggested a reverse in their design, in which the outdoor amenity area would face Broadway, essentially an 180 degree structural turn. Councillor Sherwood noted that the lack of on street parking is a concern for the existing business owners and the Committee agreed that this issue would put additional pressure on parking in the downtown area.

Brandon Ward confirmed that there have been extensive technical discussions with CDC regarding the building's proximity to Mill Creek.

The Committee would like to see an access point off of Broadway and it was noted that there are challenges to that access position due to the high volume of vehicle and pedestrian traffic. The suggestion of an exit off of Broadway would be resubmitted to staff.

Recommendation: 2021-011

Moved by L. Addy

That the Committee recommend that developers reduce the height of the building on the side facing Broadway to 12 metres and for the stories above that to be stepped back further south from Broadway.

Carried

5.2 Report from B. Ward, Manager of Planning - Proposed Demolition for a Municipal Heritage Register Property - 33 Church Street

The Committee discussed the demolition of an existing garage on the property which is not apart of the the original structure and the wish of the applicants to construct a two story addition.

Darryl Regimbal stated that its purpose would be to better suit the needs of his client who would be living at the property. He elaborated that the clients intend to restore it much closer to how it should look in the rendering in the package, with a nicer façade and reducing the width of the addition to give more space on the west side of building. This would move the addition a slightly closer to the sidewalk. The owners desire to use a recycled brick to match the exterior as closely as possible. Darryl Regimbal will bring the Committee's resolution to the clients through the publication of the minutes.

Recommendation: 2021-012

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That the Committee approve the demolition with the recommendation that the upper and lower (two over two) sashes to be more like the original upper and lower, that the upper windows in the addition be two over two pairs, and for the windows in the centre contain two over two sashes, with one over one on the side windows.

Carried

5.3 Report from L. Russell, Senior Planner - Proposed Demolition of Rear Addition - 14 William Street

The Committee discussed the proposed demolition of the rear addition. Lynda Addy noted that there are only a few Second Empire style buildings in Town and therefore this is a significant structure. The intention is to put vinyl over the stucco exterior as well. The Committee's chief concern was the treatment of the exterior of the house.

Recommendation: 2021-013

Moved by L. Addy

That the Committee approve the demolition of rear addition on the condition that the house's exterior is renovated using an alternative to

board and batten, such as a style of siding or brick that retains the elegance of the Second Empire architecture.

Carried

5.4 Heritage Calendar 2022

The Committee agreed to start working on the 2022 calendar in June.

5.5 Gifts of Pictures and Cards for Community Heroes - Update

Linda Banks updated the Committee as to the delivery of gift bags and that the photos were printed at F Stop because the Walmart photo printers were not compatible with USB sticks.

Recommendation: 2021-013

Moved by L. Banks

That the Committee approve the amended spending for the gifts to up to \$125.00.

Carried

5.6 Quarterly Newsletter

Linda Addy confirmed that the newsletters were printed, that the labels and envelopes were acquired, and the newsletters were in nearing the mailing stage. The next newsletter will soon be in development.

6. Facade Improvement Applications

7. Correspondence

8. New Business

The Committee discussed a query from the public and confirmed that semidetached dwellings may purchase Heritage Awareness Plaques.

Martin Woodhouse resigned from the Heritage Orangeville Committee.

The BIA's newly appointed member, Mike Beattie is joining the Committee.

9. Date of Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday April 22, 2021.

10. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:15 p.m.



Minutes of Heritage Orangeville

April 22, 2021, 7:00 p.m.

Chair and Secretary Participating Remotely The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

Members Present: Councillor D. Sherwood
L. Addy
L. Banks
M. Hauck
G. Sarazin
M. Beattie

Staff Present: B. Ward, Manager of Planning
A. Graham, Secretary
M. Adams
A. Scheel

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest**
3. **Adoption of Minutes of Previous Meeting**

Recommendation: 2021-014

Moved by L. Banks

That the minutes of the following meeting are approved:

Carried Unanimously

4. **Presentations**

4.1 **Stevie Forbes-Roberts, Dufferin Child and Family Services, Crystal Queer Project's Interactive Mural**

Ruth Phillips informed the Committee that the proposed location for the mural is 5 First Street, facing Dairy Lane on the south side. They have permission from the building owner and Ruth confirmed that it is not located in the heritage district and no permit is necessary, however they wanted to provide an opportunity to share the initiatives of Dufferin Child and Family Services and for feedback.

Stevie Forbes-Roberts spoke to the project as being one hundred percent youth led and a means to develop leadership skills and use art to reach across lines of community and lessen discrimination.

The design will be also adapted to cover the utility box on Mill Street.

4.2 **Veronica Cvet, Orangeville BIA Task Force, Proposed Mural at 114 Broadway**

Veronica Cvet confirmed that the interactive mural in the heritage district would promote Theatre Orangeville and the theme would be A Midsummer's Night's Dream. Veronica also advised that this material can allow for graffiti to be taken out in sections.

Recommendation: 2021-015

Moved by G. Sarazin

That the Committee approve the concept of the proposed mural at 114 Broadway, the material to be used and location, and will await the winning design to approve the finalization of the project.

Carried Unanimously

Recommendation: 2021-016

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That the Committee appoint Lynda Addy and Gary Sarazin to be included in the panel of judges for the mural competition and thereby approve the winning design and bring that design to the Committee for further comment.

Carried Unanimously

5. Items for Discussion and Reports

5.1 Review - Crystal Queer Project's Interactive Mural for 5 First Street

5.2 Review - Proposed Mural at 114 Broadway

5.3 Report from L. Russell, Senior Planner - Demolition of Dwelling - 14 William Street

Since receiving the demolition application for the garage, the applicant discovered more dire problems with the overall structure and submitted an application to demolish the building in entirety. The homeowner intends to rebuild a replica of the second empire style home including the typical exterior structural and decorative elements.

Mark Hauck noted that the house seemed to require much renovation from the outside.

Recommendation:

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That the Committee approve the demolition permit.

Defeated

Amendment:

Recommendation:

Moved by L. Addy

That the property owners acquire a structural engineer's report prior to the decision of the Committee.

Defeated

Recommendation:

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That staff meet with the property owners to provide an inspection and report back to the Committee.

Defeated

Recommendation: 2021-017

Moved by M. Beattie

That the Committee receive photographs and commentary to support the demolition of 14 William Street and for the Chief Building Official to provide the Committee with his professional opinion based on the documents, both of which will be provided before a special Heritage Orangeville Committee meeting in May whereby the Committee will comment on the matter for Council at the May 31st 2021 meeting.

Carried Unanimously

5.4 Memo from Mary Adams, Planning Administrator - Demolition of Accessory Building - 22 John Street

Mary Adams informed the Committee about the proposed demolition of an accessory building to be replaced by a 24ft by 25 ft detached. The building was inspected and declared to be unsafe.

Recommendation: 2021-018

Moved by L. Addy

That the Committee approve the demolition of the accessory building at 22 John Street.

Carried Unanimously

5.5 Heritage Awareness Plaque Application - 56 Mill Street

The Committee discussed both the applications for 56 Mill Street and 14 First Avenue.

Recommendation: 2021-019

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That the Committee approve the Heritage Awareness Plaques for 56 Mill Street and 14 First Avenue and will verify the historical information.

Carried Unanimously

5.6 Heritage Awareness Plaque Application - 14 First Ave

6. Facade Improvement Applications

6.1 155 Broadway - Facade Improvement Grant Application

The applicants are seeking the grant to help cover the costs of paint for the facade and have chosen the colours Cloud White and black.

Recommendation: 2021-020

Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That the Committee approve the Facade Improvement Grant for 155 Broadway for the remaining balance of \$4406.50 for the purpose of painting the facade.

Carried Unanimously

6.2 171 Broadway Facade Grant Application - Review of Lighting

Recommendation: 2021-021
Moved by Councillor Sherwood

That the Committee approve the lighting for 171 Broadway's exterior.

Carried Unanimously

7. Correspondence

7.1 Boulevard Cafe Permits - Approval Letters

Recommendation: 2021-022
Moved by L. Banks

That the Committee receive the Boulevard Cafe Permit approval letters.

Carried Unanimously

7.2 Notice of Hearing - 109 Mill Street

The Committee discussed the Notices of Hearing at 109 Mill Street and 7 York Street simultaneously. Lynda Addy has been involved at pre-consultation level and has no concerns for either proposals.

Recommendation: 2021-023
Moved by L. Addy

That the Committee receive the Notices of Hearing for 109 Mill Street and 7 York Street and comment that they have no issues with either notice.

Carried Unanimously

7.3 Notice of Hearing - 7 York Street

8. New Business

Linda Banks wished to thank Councillor Sherwood for recognizing Volunteer Week.

Staff advised that by-law is looking into the signage at 72 Broadway and Mike Beattie offered to share with the BIA Heritage Orangeville's disappointment with the non-compliance of the Sign By-law.

9. Date of Next Meeting

10. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 8:49 p.m.

Orangeville Police Services Board Regular Meeting

(Public Session)

Location – Electronic Participation Conducted Online Via Microsoft Teams

Conference ID: 509214620#
Telephone No: 1-289-801-5774

Date/Time – Tuesday December 15, 2020 @ 5:00 p.m.

Members Present

T. Taylor, Chair
I. McSweeney, Vice-Chair
S. Brown
M. Rose
K. Krakar

Staff /Invited Guests Present

Acting Detachment
Commander Nicole Randall
Nandini Syed

1. Call to Order

Chair Taylor called the meeting to order at 5:05 p.m.

2. Disclosures of (Direct or Indirect) Pecuniary Interest

None

3. Preliminary Matters

None

4. Approval of Agenda

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board discuss and approve the Agenda for the December 15, 2020 Orangeville Police Services Board Regular (Public Session) Meeting.

Moved by Member Rose
Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

5. In-Camera Meeting

Recommendation: Convene into In-Camera Session

Motion that at 4:00 p.m. the Board convene into the in-camera session of this meeting under Part III, Section 35(4) of the Police Services Act.

Moved by Member Brown
Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

6. Public Session

Recommendation: Convene into Public Session

That at 5:05 p.m. the Board reconvene into the public session of this meeting.

Moved by Member Krakar
Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

7. 2021 -2025 OPSB Budget – Presentation by Nandini Syed

Board review and discussion – The Board discussed the presentation and a number of questions were raised. Following the discussion the Board agreed that in view of the disbandment and the resulting change in the role of the Board under section 10 of the Police Services Act, the Budget should be revised to adjust the Professional Fees line item to 2021 (\$155,000), 2022 (\$55,000), 2023 (\$20,000), 2024 (\$10,000) and 2025 (\$10,000). In addition, as a result of inquiries from Member Brown, the Board asked Nandini to provide the Board with a spreadsheet setting out further information on what was included in the budgeted 2021 \$105,083 Compensation line item total. The Board agreed to reconvene in special meeting as soon as the spreadsheet is available and agreed to approve the Budget subject to the outcome of that special meeting.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the Budget presentation and approve the Budget, subject to the above revisions and subject to the outcome of the above special meeting.

[NOTE: Post-meeting the special meeting was scheduled for Dec 16/20 at 7:30 p.m.]

Moved by Chair Taylor

Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

8. OPP Paid Duty vs Volunteer - Update by Acting Detachment Commander Nicole Randall

Board review and discussion – Nicole Randall updated the Board with respect to paid duty contracts for special events in Town and indicated that paid duty requests should be made as early as possible to ensure availability. Nicole also confirmed that the recent OPP participation in Toys for Tots was completely voluntary and without charge.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the update.

Moved by Member Brown
Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

9. Ride Grant - Presentation by Acting Detachment Commander Nicole Randall (see attached excel template)

Board review and discussion – Nicole Randall advised that every year application is made to the Ontario Solicitor General for a RIDE funding grant for the period November – March. This funding is for strategic local RIDE programs above and beyond regular RIDE programs.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the presentation.

Moved by Member Brown
Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

10. Fraud - Presentation by Acting Detachment Commander Nicole Randall (see attached Dec 4/20 email)

Board review and discussion – Nicole Randall updated the Board on the OPP's focus on fraud, including drive centre and insurance address fraud. Also the Board was advised of an upcoming OPP lunch and learn.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the Dec 4th email and presentation.

Moved by Member Brown

Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

11. OPP/Bylaw (role in winter parking) – Verbal presentation by Acting Detachment Commander Nicole Randall

Board review and discussion – Nicole Randall discussed with the Board the OPP's winter parking enforcement measures, public education and roll out of two new by-law enforcement officers from the Town.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the presentation.

Moved by Member Brown
Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

12. OAPSB

12.1 Labour Seminar (\$339 payment by Vice-Chair McSweeney) – Verbal update by Chair Taylor

12.2 2021 Membership Renewal – Verbal update by Chair Taylor

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the updates and approve the Board's 2021 OAPSB membership.

Moved by Vice-Chair McSweeney

Seconded by Member Brown

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

13. Community Safety and Policing Grant – Update by Acting Detachment Commander Nicole Randall/Chair Taylor (see attached Nov 25/20 email, excel spreadsheet, interim report and supporting document)

Board review and discussion – Nicole Randall and Chair Taylor updated the Board as per the attachments.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the Nov 25th email and attachments and the update.

Moved by Member Rose
Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

14. OPP Dufferin County Detachment Commander Search Committee (“Committee”) – Any update by Member Brown

Board review and discussion - At the Nov 24/20 Special In-Camera Meeting the Board confirmed Member Brown’s appointment as the Board’s nominee for appointment to the Committee. Member Brown advised the Board that Acting Superintendent Terry Ward was the successful candidate. Nicole Randall advised that Superintendent Ward’s appointment as OPP Dufferin County Detachment Commander to replace her would be effective January 11, 2021. There will likely be a 2-3 week overlap for transition and both Ward and Randall will join our January 19, 2021 regular meeting.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the update.

Moved by Member Rose

Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

15. Board Section 10 Training – Update by Chair Taylor

Board review and discussion – Chair Taylor advised that he would follow up with Duane Sprague on potential Board section 10 training dates.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the update.

Moved by Member Rose

Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

16. Task Force on Police Presence in Upper Grand District School Board Schools (see attached link) – Any update by Member Rose

Board review and discussion - At the Nov 24/20 Special In-Camera Meeting the Board discussed the scope of engagement and next steps with respect to its interest in participating in implementation of the task force's report with Member Rose taking the lead. Chair Taylor sent a letter on November 29/20 to the two local UGDSB Trustees notifying them, and the task force, of the Board's interest in engaging as a stakeholder in the report discussions and implementation.

Following Chair Taylor's letter a package of information was received at the Town Hall. Chair Taylor will review the information and report to the Board at our next meeting on this following consultation with Member Rose.

Member Rose reported that it was her understanding that the Task Force has had several meetings in the past and their recommendations have been forwarded to the UGDSB for ratification. Member Rose further reported that only the Orangeville & Shelburne Trustees wanted a police presence in the schools.

Member Rose will keep the Board updated once the Task Force report is released at the end of the month. Vice-Chair McSweeney offered that the Board, as a stakeholder in the policing community, should not be shy about taking a position on the Task Force Report.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the update.

Moved by Member Rose

Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

17. Pass Any Motions Developed in Closed Session

None

18. Adoption of Outstanding Minutes of Previous Board Meetings

18.1 Minutes from the Orangeville Police Services Board Regular (Public Session) Meetings held on October 20, 2020

Recommendation:

Motion that consideration of the minutes from the Orangeville Police Services Board Regular (Public Session) Meetings held on October 20, 2020 be deferred to the public session of the January 2021 Regular Meeting.

18.2 Minutes from the Orangeville Police Services Board Regular (Public Session) Meeting held on Tuesday November 17, 2020

Recommendation:

Motion that the Minutes of the Orangeville Police Services Board Regular (Public Session) Meeting held on Tuesday November 17, 2020 be approved.

With respect to the motions in items 18.1 and 18.2:

Moved by Member Brown

Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor -Yes

Vice-Chair McSweeney -Yes

Member Brown -Yes

Member Rose -Yes

Member Krakar -Yes

Carried

19. Dufferin POA (Provincial Offences Act) Board Meeting – Update by Chair Taylor (See attached Dec 10/20 presentation)

Board review and discussion – presentation and update regarding COVID-19 related POAs.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the Dec 10th presentation and update.

Moved by Member Brown

Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor -Yes

Vice-Chair McSweeney -Yes

Member Brown -Yes

Member Rose -Yes

Member Krakar -Yes

Carried

20. Invoices for Approval Consideration – Update by Chair Taylor (see attached invoice #7896 for encased badges); Plus update on Bell invoices reviewed and approved at Nov 17/20 Regular (Public Session) Meeting

Board review and discussion – Chair Taylor advised that Town staff had advised him that the Bell invoices were normal and he requested Board approval to pay invoice #7896 for the encased badges.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the invoices and update and authorize Chair Taylor to approve invoice #7896.

Moved by Member Rose

Seconded by Member Brown

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

21. Claims for Special Remuneration (Claims attached)

Board review and discussion – The Board reviewed the member claims for attendance at the November 24, 2020 Special Meeting.

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board discuss and consider for approve member remuneration claims for the November 24, 2020 Special Meeting.

Moved by Member Brown

Seconded by Member Krakar

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

22. Meeting Minutes Expected to be Considered at January 2021 Regular Meeting (Public Session)

Board review and discussion - Vice-Chair McSweeney updated the Board on the minutes expected to be considered at the Board's next meeting (see also Item 18.1 above):

- October 20/20 Regular Meeting (Public Session) Minutes to be received and considered for approval at January 2021 Regular Meeting (Public Session)
- December 15/20 Regular Meeting (Public Session) Minutes to be received and considered for approval at January 2021 Regular Meeting (Public Session)

Recommendation:

Motion that the Board receive and discuss the update.

Moved by Member Brown
Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

23. Question Period

24. Presentations

None

25. Delegations

None

26. Correspondence

None

27. Reports

None

28. New Business – Porch Pirates Arrested

Board review and discussion – Nicole Randall advised that arrests had been made in the Porch Pirates (media name) investigation.

29. Adjournment

Recommendation:

Motion that the meeting be adjourned at 6:07 p.m.

Moved by Member Brown

Seconded by Member Rose

Chair Taylor	-Yes
Vice-Chair McSweeney	-Yes
Member Brown	-Yes
Member Rose	-Yes
Member Krakar	-Yes

Carried

Confirm Date and Time of Next Regular (Public Session) Meeting – Tuesday
January 19, 2021 @ 5:00 p.m.



The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number 2021-

A by-law to amend By-law 2008-102 being a By-law to license, regulate and govern mobile food and refreshment vending in the Town

Whereas the Town wishes to amend By-law 2008-102 to permit a refreshment vehicle to locate within 90 metres of another refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand or restaurant conditional upon the submission of a letter of no objection from any and all owner(s) of a refreshment vehicle(s), refreshment stand(s) and restaurant(s) located within 90 metres of the proposed location of the refreshment vehicle;

Now therefore be it resolved that the Council for The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville hereby enacts as follows:

1. That By-law 2008-102 be amended by deleting section 9.8 and replacing it with the following:

- “9.8 No person shall operate a refreshment vehicle or refreshment stand within 90 metres (295 feet) of any other refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand or restaurant:
- (a) with the exception of the three (3) locations listed in Section 9.11 and as otherwise exempt;
 - (b) unless a letter in a form as provided by the Town is submitted to the Licensing Officer from any and all owner(s) of a refreshment vehicle(s), refreshment stand(s) and restaurant(s) located within 90 metres of the proposed location of the refreshment vehicle indicating they do not object to the said refreshment vehicle being locating within 90 metres of their refreshment vehicle, refreshment stand or restaurant.”

2. That By-law 2008-102 be amended by adding the following:

“9.8.2 A letter referred to in Section 9.8 (b) is required upon making application for renewal of a licence.”

Read three times and finally passed this 31st day of May, 2021.

Sandy Brown, Mayor

Karen Landry, Clerk



The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number 2021-

A by-law to temporarily close Broadway from Second Street to Wellington Street on June 13, 2021

Whereas Council deems it expedient to temporarily close road on June 13, 2021;

Now therefore be it resolved that Council for The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville hereby enacts as follows:

1. That Council hereby authorizes the following temporary road closure on June 13, 2021 for a Peaceful Walk for Diversity Awareness:
 - Broadway from Second Street to Wellington Street from 9:00 am to 2:00 pm.

Read three times and finally passed this 31st day of May, 2021.

Sandy Brown, Mayor

Karen Landry, Clerk



The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville

By-law Number 2021-

A by-law to confirm the proceedings of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its Regular and Closed Council Meeting held on May 31, 2021

Whereas Section 5 (1) of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, provides that the powers of a municipal corporation shall be exercised by its council;

And whereas Section 5 (3) of the Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, provides that municipal powers shall be exercised by by-law;

Be it therefore enacted by the municipal Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville as follows:

1. That all actions of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville at its Regular and Closed Council Meeting held on May 31, 2021, with respect to every report, motion, by-law, or other action passed and taken by the Council, including the exercise of natural person powers, are hereby adopted, ratified and confirmed as if all such proceedings were expressly embodied in this or a separate by-law.
2. That the Mayor and Clerk are authorized and directed to do all the things necessary to give effect to the action of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville referred to in the preceding section.
3. That the Mayor and the Clerk are authorized and directed to execute all documents necessary in that behalf and to affix thereto the seal of The Corporation of the Town of Orangeville.

Passed in open Council this 31st day of May, 2021

Sandy Brown, Mayor

Karen Landry, Clerk